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Deep exploration concepts and technologies in mining camps and other previously explored crystalline bedrock areas were developed in the project Developing Mining Camp Exploration Concepts and Technologies − Brownfield Exploration in 2013–2016. The project was funded by the Tekes Green Mining Programme and was conducted by the Geological Survey of Finland and the Seismological Institute of the University of Helsinki. The Outokumpu Cu–Co–Zn ore belt (Outokumpu Mining Camp) with its surroundings in Eastern Finland acted as the domain of study.

Mining camps have usually been explored relatively thoroughly to depths of 200–300 m or more. The future challenge must be set at greater depths due to the exhausting resources of already exploited brownfield areas. This publication comprises nine papers demonstrating the development of the Outokumpu deep exploration concept, consisting of technologies and methods applicable for deep exploration data acquisition and interpretation of Outokumpu-type mineral deposits.

The present technologies allowed the project to map the bedrock to depths of several kilometres using geophysical seismic reflection, audiomagnetotellurics and the airborne Z-axis tipper electromagnetic method, as well as potential field (gravity and magnetic) and electromagnetic methods. The aim of the project was to compile and further develop common earth models of the subsurface of the Outokumpu Mining Camp area based on its elastic, electric, density and magnetic properties. In a combination of coeval geophysical and geological modelling, the deep exploration concept of Outokumpu-type mineral deposits was improved by using an iterative multidisciplinary approach.

The project developed an integrated assemblage of digital three-dimensional geo-referenced models and visualizations from a selected set of geophysical, geological and geochemical data and interpretations describing the bedrock geological features related to the Palaeoproterozoic Outokumpu nappe complex, embodying the deep mineral potential of Outokumpu ophiolites to the depth of 2 km, and in some cases to 5 km.
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EDITOR'S PREFACE

This Special Paper volume 59 compiles a set of articles reviewing and reporting the results of the Developing Mining Camp Exploration Concepts and Technologies – Brownfield Exploration Project (2013–2016). The project research was jointly conducted between the Geological Survey of Finland (GTK) and the University of Helsinki (UH), and coordinated by GTK. In this assemblage of nine papers, the project researchers review and introduce the concepts, methods and applications used or developed for deep exploration of Outokumpu-type mineral deposits. The project was established after identifying a common need of academia, sectoral research and industry to develop applicable deep exploration concepts and technologies for brownfields situated in crystalline bedrock areas, and to update the 3D understanding of the well-researched prospective Outokumpu Mining Camp (OMC) area inside the Outokumpu Mineral District (OMD), North Karelia, Finland.

The research consortium consisted of eighteen experts, twelve of whom were geophysicists, five geologists, and one mining engineer. Seven of the project geophysicists were seismologists by profession. In the nine articles of this volume, the authors describe the multidisciplinary developments in seismic reflection, audiomagnetotelluric (AMT), Z-axis tipper electromagnetic (ZTEM), electromagnetic (EM), petrophysical, potential fields (gravity, magnetic) and geological methods applicable for deep exploration of Outokumpu-type mineral systems.

First, Aatos (this volume) introduces the reader to the general framework and settings of the project. The project combined the common interests of the participating research organizations and the industry sector in developing new and more feasible concepts for deep exploration of Outokumpu-type mineral systems in collaboration with international deep exploration research projects elsewhere as well. Deep exploration is very expensive and would benefit from nationally strategic, systematic approaches with long-term funding.

In the first study paper, Aatos et al. (this volume) provide a general introduction to the project objectives and results and draw together the main accomplishments of the project, while the authors of the other eight articles of this volume (see below) report their case studies in a more detailed manner. The general concept of compiling and integrating suitable deep exploration data, selecting viable methods and applications that are being developed for Outokumpu-type deposits, encapsulates the Outokumpu deep exploration (OKUDEX) concept of this brownfield area. The concept integrates geophysical, geological and geochemical 3D interpretation, modelling and visualization methods being applied to selected study targets to identify and characterise the geophysical, geological and geochemical constraints.
of future deep prospecting in OMC-type areas. The concept is scalable and also applicable to greenfield regions having kindred mineral potential in crystalline bedrock areas with a relevant range of available data.

The second article by Komminaho et al. (this volume) presents results from seismic forward modelling to gain better understanding of the seismic signature of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks and associated Outokumpu-type ores, as well as results from re-processing and analyses of seismic reflection data from the Outokumpu area. Their results, verified by geological data from the Outokumpu Deep Hole and the Kylylahti mine area, show that the reflectivity characteristics can be used to target potentially ore-bearing Outokumpu assemblage rocks at depth, and that the seismic reflection data provide a good basis for setting regional exploration targets in the Outokumpu area. Their results even suggest that in optimal circumstances it might be possible to directly detect high-amplitude seismic diffraction anomalies from the Outokumpu-type mineral deposits.

The third paper by Kurimo et al. (this volume) introduces an overview of the parametrization, specifications and 2D-3D inversion modelling results of the first systematic regional airborne helicopter ZTEM survey in Finland in 2013, with Geotech Airborne Ltd. as a contractor. The survey area covered the entire OMC research area to test the suitability of the data for deep exploration of conductive anomalies related to Outokumpu-type mineral deposits. The ZTEM survey method is suitable for systematic regional deep exploration in conductive environments resembling Outokumpu assemblage metallogeny. The most explicable results in geophysical interpretation of this novel test data were gained with a flight line spacing of 500 m to 1 km. Modelling in 3D inversion blocks favors over 2D inversion profiles in attaining the optimal dimensional coverage of the interpretation. The crispness of depth interpretation of the ZTEM results is strongly affected by the camouflaging effects of multilayered conductive geometries.

Levaniemi (this volume) presents in the fourth paper suitable potential field data forward and inversion modelling practices with deep exploration examples from the Outokumpu Belt, Miilhaki massif and Kylylahti mining area. The scales of the shown modelling examples vary from regional to deposit scale and the modelling leans on and is constrained by petrophysics and geological interpretations. Petrophysical parameters described in this article were prepared by reprocessing, reclassifying and reanalysing the historical petrophysical data from drill-core samples. Potential field datasets of the region were complemented with new gravimetric profiles. A synthetic model example based on the constrained Kylylahti inversion model data shows that a deposit resembling Kylylahti by size and other properties would be detectable in gravity data from the depths of one kilometer or less. The paper also discusses the significant role of remnant magnetization in magnetic modelling in the OMC area.

In the fifth article Nousiainen & Levaniemi (this volume) review the previous ground electromagnetic (EM) studies concentrating on Sampo Geminex 400S (Sampo) method and data available from the OMC area, especially from Miilhaki and Kylylahti subareas. In this project, new frequency domain EM field profiles were measured by
using Sampo method. The Sampo profiles crossed the known Outokumpu-type Perttilahti ore mineralization situating between 500–1000 m below ground surface to test the depth penetration of the method used. The data was interpreted with 1D inversion method, and the modelling results were compared to geological interpretations. The locating accuracy of the method is sensitive to dipping of examined structures and multiple layering of conductive structures, e.g. abundant blackschists in OMC area.

In the sixth article, Lahti et al. (this volume) compiled, modelled and interpreted diverse systematically collected geophysical data-sets varying from the regional to the target scale from geologically important profiles crossing the lesser-known Miihkali nappe to test the adequacy of the data and forward modelling and several inversion algorithms for deep exploration. The results of geophysical AMT, ZTEM, ground EM data interpretation and potential field modelling were applied iteratively with geological knowledge. The novel AMT measurements were stationed following the existing geophysical survey profiles where applicable, and also taking into account the bedrock geological objectives of the project, i.e. tracing the deep boundaries of the Outokumpu nappe complex in addition to tracking the Outokumpu-type mineralized zones within it. The study was able to open up more the dimensionalities of the Outokumpu nappe complex, Miihkali serpentinite massif and Saramäki mineral deposit with potential field modelling. Conductive structures on the western side (Saramäki) and inside the Miihkali nappe (Miihkali massif and Lipaspuro–Kiskonjoki area) were revealed by 1D, 2D and 3D modelling of ground EM, AMT and ZTEM data, respectively. The deep EM anomalies of the area are partly explained by the low, contrasting resistivities of Miihkali serpentinites and extremely conductive blackschists. Some of the deep potential field and EM anomalies remain unverified due to the lack of geological verification.

Laine introduces in the seventh paper (this volume) a workflow and the results of 3D structural geological modelling based on existing tectonic observations, metal grades in drill cores, interpreted geological profiles and 3D models from the sulphide deposits at Keretti, Vuonos and Sola in the middle parts of the OMC area. Lithological heterogeneity possibly predicting the related ore potential of the rather unusual and significantly sheared Outokumpu assemblage rocks was studied using various geostatistical simulation applications suitable for categorical variables to estimate the heterogeneity of metal concentrations and rock types of bedrock drill core data. The results indicate that the ore bodies are strongly elongated and discontinuous in structural character and that they are associated with shearing. However, the ore potential is not directly connected to shearing. The lithological heterogeneity correlates well with the reflective parts of the reflection seismic data. The resulting models visualized together with geophysical project data, e.g. ZTEM 3D inversions, may indicate undiscovered Outokumpu-type ore potential at depths below 500 m, beneath the reach of previous drill-core campaigns.

In the eighth article, Heinonen et al. (this volume) conceptualize and demonstrate the idea of a regional, deep-reaching 2D test line integrating a composite selection of cross-sectional multi-parameter geophysical datasets with constraining geological interpretation
through the OMC area. The project has established the so-called Sukkulansalo National Test Line (SNTL), following the course of an existing high-resolution vibroseismic measurement profile (V7 HIRE profile), with the most versatile and relevant deep geophysical measurements and interpreted data gathered before (low-altitude airborne magnetic, EM and radiometric data) or during (ZTEM, audiomagnetotellurics and gravimetry) this project. The profiled geological interpretation of bedrock and constraining geological models used in this work were based on bedrock field observations and drill core data. Seismic and gravity forward modelling results from the national test profile, combined with integrated interpretation of the available geophysical data from the profile of Heinonen et al. (this volume), propose the location of the basal contact of Outokumpu assemblage rocks against the Saarivaara thrust sheet package consisting of Karelian Supergroup quartzites intertwined with slices of Archaean basement gneisses. Synform structures and younger granites can be characterised based on integrated data as well. The sulphide mineralizations and black schists cause the main conductive anomalies in the SNTL area. The black schists are difficult to separate from mica gneisses in the area due to the lack of density contrast. The authors recommend in situ petrophysical studies in linking up geological observations with geophysics to improve geophysical modelling and interpretation.

In the ninth and final article, Aatos (this volume) describes the preliminary results of the empirical scoping and conceptualization process for a regional GIS analysis of hydrothermal nickel prospectivity in the OMD area. Airborne geophysical raster data provided a possibility to develop a pseudo-lithologically classified 2D earth model to be integrated into prospectivity analysis. Some examples of the 2D GIS prospectivity analysis of surface data are illustrated as a set of earth models and combined with 3D geological interpretations. The tectono-stratigraphic features possibly constraining the metallogeny related to the Outokumpu nappe complex are discussed based on the interpretation of integrated earth model results.

I owe the most cordial thanks to all my co-workers in the project consortium for their endurance during this long writing process in an unpredictable and volatile operational environment. The idea of reporting the project results in the form of a Special Paper volume of GTK was suggested by H. Leväniemi. On behalf of the project groups from GTK and UH, and as the editor of this Special Paper volume, I express my heartfelt thanks to all our voluntary international and national referees and colleagues for sharing their precious time and expertise in reviewing the manuscripts, and for their constructive suggestions to improve the articles of the present volume. R. Siddall is thanked for checking the English language of the texts. P. Hölttä and P. Nurmi supervised and approved the scientific editing process of this compilation. The technical editor of this publication was P. Kuikka-Niemi.

Kuopio, 21 September 2016

Soile Aatos
Editor of this Special Paper volume
INTRODUCTION TO THE DEVELOPING MINING CAMP EXPLORATION CONCEPTS AND TECHNOLOGIES – BROWNFIELD EXPLORATION PROJECT 2013–2016

Present and future prospecting in long-operated exploration and mining areas, referred to as brownfield areas, demands more systematic, efficient and deeper-reaching mineral exploration means due to the exhausting shallow (from the Earth’s surface to depths of 0.5–1 km) mineral resources of these areas. Because the already explored and existing deposits are being more rapidly consumed, there is a need to go deeper, from 2 km to 5 km.

The brownfield area of the Outokumpu Mining Camp (OMC) has encompassed continuous metal exploration and mining activities focused on Outokumpu-type mineral deposits since the Kivisalmi boulder was found while dredging a channel in Rääkkylä in 1908. This finding led to the emergence of the first mining and mineral beneficiation operations focused on Outokumpu-type sulphide copper ore, which was traced and discovered in 1910 (Kuisma 1985). During the following decades, prospecting for ore deposits in mineralized zones resembling the geological environment and the metallogeny of the original Outokumpu deposit in Outokumpu Ore Belt (or Outokumpu Belt) spread to the surrounding regional entity, the Outokumpu Mineral District (OMD), now embodying several other Outokumpu-type deposits with active or ceased operations or known mineral potential. The ongoing operations in the OMD need temporal continuity and the securing of future sources of the raw materials to complement their decreasing resource base in the coming years.

As a solution to meet the present and future needs of the exploration and mining industries operating in North Karelia, Finland, a research and development project was established: Developing Mining Camp Exploration Concepts and Technologies – Brownfield Exploration Project 2013–2016. This aimed at the integrated application or development of state-of-the-art deep exploration methods and concepts with common earth modelling (CEM) using the best available geophysical, geological and geochemical data to be reprocessed and compiled with new geophysical and geological datasets, and modelled and visualized as integrated 3D interpretations covering the OMC area enclosed in the OMD.

This multi-disciplinary and multi-scaled set of projects was funded by the Green Mining Programme of the Finnish Funding Agency for Innovation (Tekes), gathering and coordinating public and private funding to develop intelligent and minimum-impact mines and new mineral resources in Finland in 2011–2016. The project was carried out as a joint-funded partnership project by the Geological Survey of Finland (GTK) and the University of Helsinki (UH). The operating research units were the former Eastern Finland Office of GTK in Kuopio, acting as the coordinator of the joint project, and the Institute of Seismology (UH). The project was scheduled for 2013–2016 with a total budget of €883,000 euros. Linked with this Tekes project, and to offer a new type of deep geophysical background dataset to be tested in the project, GTK acquired a subcontracted ZTEM flight operation from Geotech Airborne Ltd., Canada, including 2D–3D inversion modelling having a total cost of about €250,000 euros.

Three international exploration and mining companies, FinnAust Mining Finland Oy, Boliden Kylylahti/Kylylahti Copper Oy (formerly Altona Mining Ltd/Kylylahti Copper Oy) and Mondo...
Minerals B.V. Branch Finland, and a regional development company (Joensuu Seudun Kehittämiskeskus JOSEK Oy) operating in Eastern Finland funded the project, and also participated in the project steering group alongside the public funders, Tekes, GTK and UH. Additional experts were assigned to the steering group of the project from the Green Mining programme coordination, the University of Helsinki/Department of Physics and GTK. The industrial members also offered their expert knowledge, data and operating cases to act as research and excursion targets throughout the project collaboration.

Administratively, the project consisted of two parallel sub-projects: one at GTK, coordinating the whole project, and the other at UH. Technically, the sub-projects were further divided into sub-tasks aimed at gathering and compiling data, and developing and producing methods, interpretations and models according to specific methodological and/or geographical scopes. The GTK and UH sub-projects worked together, especially in the field of seismics and mutual modelling targets, but also shared some other common topics, such as international collaboration, meetings and publishing. International collaboration meetings and annual project seminars of the project took place in localities situated either in the premises of the project organizations or in the research area. In addition to the international project seminars, the industrial companies involved in the project were introduced to the project results in specific data seminars.

The Finnish Outokumpu deep exploration concept approach was benchmarked in discussions with ongoing or planned state-of-the-art research projects developing deep exploration methods at the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC), the University of Uppsala in Sweden and IGME in Greece. The project also benefited from becoming familiar with some of the state-of-the-art European geomodelling work through the future networking opportunities offered by the award-winning EU project ProMine. Compared to Finland, in the leading deep exploration countries of the world, Canada and Australia, deep exploration development projects of this kind have been programmed in the long term and sufficiently resourced with a systematic approach and control combined with high scientific ambitions. On the other hand, in recent years, some countries having deep mineral potential, for instance in Europe, have lacked the resources or social license to conduct deep prospection. This may be due to the effects of international legislation, the national economic situation or the general lack of support of the local communities in exploration and mining districts (e.g. Greece, Nikolaos Laskaridis pers. comm. 2015). To further the development and optimize the costs, the demonstration and practicing of deep exploration applications in Finland, a national strategy implemented by an action plan with sufficiently multi-sourced and long-term resources linked to international progress and networks, is highly recommended.

At GTK, this project was carried out in the context of the Mineral Potential Research Programme. During the project, our work was partly linked by mutual methodology (AMT) development to ongoing mineral systems, exploration and 3D modelling research at GTK, e.g. the project “Lapland mineral systems and exploration models” led by the former Northern Finland Office, and another Tekes Green Mining project entitled “Novel Technologies for Greenfield Exploration”. In collaboration with an in-house project of GTK, “Geologisen moniulotteisen mallinnuksen kehittäminen” (previously entitled “Numeerisen 3D-mallinnuksen kehittäminen”), dealing with the development of geological multi-dimensional modelling and led by the former Southern Finland Office, this project served as one of the cases in developing the structure of a general national database for 3D geomodels at GTK.

When we started working together in early 2013 with the steering group of the project and research colleagues in various research institutes and companies in Finland and abroad, exploration and mining industries were still fully enjoying the last warm days of resourceful bullish markets. Within a couple of years, everything had changed, and our organizations and businesses were struggling through a painfully sleepy global bear season, waiting for a turning point to come. Now, as faint positive economic indications may be lingering in the air, I hope that with our attempt to understand and explain some of the deeper features and mineral potential of the Outokumpu Mining Camp case as a whole, we could for our part enliven the present and future prospects in North Karelia, and also revitalize expectations for tomorrow’s deep exploration and mining in other long-standing mining regions in hard rock environments elsewhere. As a sign of new times dawning, at least two science and technology projects were conceived during and
inspired by this project, which aim to continue our work by developing computer simulation and passive seismic applications in the Outokumpu Mining Camp area.
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DEVELOPMENT OF MINING CAMP EXPLORATION AND TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE OUTOKUMPU BROWNFIELD REGION

by

Soile Aatos1), Pekka J. Heikkinen2) and Ilmo Kukkonen3)


This article introduces the objectives and main achievements of the project Developing Mining Camp Exploration Concepts and Technologies – Brownfield Exploration (2013–2016), funded by the Tekes Green Mining programme and jointly conducted by the Geological Survey of Finland and the University of Helsinki. The project focused on developing deep exploration concepts and technologies for previously explored and exploited mining camps in crystalline bedrock areas. The Outokumpu Cu–Co–Zn–Ni–Ag–Au ore belt (Outokumpu Belt), situated in the Outokumpu brownfield region (Outokumpu Mining Camp area), North Karelia, Eastern Finland, and having an exploration and mining history extending back over a century, was chosen as the domain of the study.

The project defined the Outokumpu Mining Camp (OMC) area, comprising the Outokumpu Belt, Mihkali Basin and Kylylahti mine area as the main research sub-areas, to demonstrate the development of the deep exploration concept by using state-of-the-art applications in deep geophysical data acquisition and modelling. The project surveyed the bedrock and ore potential of the OMC to the depth of 2 km, and to 5 km in cases, using seismic reflection data, audiomagnetotellurics, the airborne Z-axis tipper electromagnetic method, and electromagnetic and potential field methods (gravity and magnetic).

The project compiled and further developed quantitative deep geophysical interpretations and common earth models of the subsurface of the OMC based on its petrophysical, elastic, electric, density and magnetic properties in concordance with interpretations of regional to target scale structural and bedrock geology in order to trace deep existing conductive, dense, reflective and magnetic zones implying anomalies related to Outokumpu assemblage rocks having mineral potential. By combining coeval geophysical and geological modelling, the deep exploration concept for Outokumpu-type mineral deposits was improved in an iterative multidisciplinary approach involving geophysics, structural and bedrock geology and geochemistry. The project produced digital geo-referenced, visually or computationally integrated earth models, in the form of surfaces, profiles and 3D objects.
Keywords: mineral exploration, deep-seated deposits, bedrock, multidisciplinary research, concepts, methods, geophysics, geology, geochemistry, interpretation, three-dimensional models, integration, Palaeoproterozoic, Outokumpu, Finland
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INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we introduce a common geophysical, geological and geochemical approach of the Geological Survey of Finland (GTK) and the University of Helsinki (UH) to develop the integration of deep exploration concepts and methods, digital conceptual or quantitative earth models and common earth modelling for locating or identifying the deep-seated ore potential of Paleoproterozoic Outokumpu-type serpentinite-derived formations (Outokumpu assemblage). The Outokumpu assemblage is disguised in or under packages of conductive layers of black schists included in Kalevian metasedimentary rocks rimming the western edge of the Archaean craton in North Karelia, Finland (Figs. 1 and 2). The deep exploration concept developed for Outokumpu-type deposits in this project is referred to as the Outokumpu deep exploration concept (OKUDEX concept).

BACKGROUND

There is a continuously increasing global need for metals. More efficient recycling is important, but the recycling rate of infrastructure metals is already close to the maximum in the EU. Thus, continuous exploration for and discoveries of base metals are required to feed the mining and metal industries with new resources. This requires the development of data- and model-based concepts of exploration in areas with resource potential.

In modern society, all exploration activities have to take into account environmental, land-use and societal factors to a greater degree than before. Exploration in existing mining camps, known as brownfields, is one of the feasible alternatives for locating new resources. Brownfield exploration aims to grow or sustain the value of existing operations. The primary aim is to find or acquire new deposits within an economic transport distance of existing mines and processing facilities. Many mining camps that are considered to be geologically well known on the near surface level might actually hide undiscovered deposits not observed in previous exploration activities. In most cases, success requires going to depths previously only poorly covered by geophysical surveys and drilling.

As mining camps have in most cases already been explored to depths of 200–300 m, or even deeper, using airborne and ground geophysics and drilling, the natural next step is to direct exploration activities exceeding this level. Going deeper at existing mining camps provides several advantages, such as the existing mining infrastructure, often extensive geological, for instance drill core, and geophysical databases, and in many cases easier societal acceptance of further exploration and mining than in areas with less or no previous exploration history (greenfields). Although the present mining industry does not yet routinely carry out exploration at such great depths, we anticipate that in the future there will be increasing interest in developing exploration and mining technologies for much greater depths than is common at present. One example of future deep mining is the giant Resolution Cu–Mo deposit in the USA, where an exploratory shaft has been constructed to over 2000 m below the surface (Hehnke et al. 2012).

Industrial exploration approaches to find metal ore potential at greater depths in Fennoscandian crystalline bedrock areas have already been developed and demonstrated for decades in greenfields by exploration and mining companies, such as by the Swedish company Boliden from at least the 1970s and 1980s onwards (Juhani Nylander, Jarmo Vesanto pers. comm. 2015). The deep prospecting field activities in companies have continued until today based on state-of-the-art geophysical applications, e.g. by acquiring helicopter-borne Z-axis tipper electromagnetic data in Finland (Kuroponen 2013) for a combined greenfield–brownfield approach.

Current technological capabilities provide several geophysical deep exploration methods to survey the uppermost crust in detail, such as reflection seismic surveys, active transient time domain methods (both ground and airborne), magnetotelluric soundings and classical potential field (magnetic and gravity) modelling. However, deep exploration of existing mining camps calls for well-integrated geophysical and geological modelling and interpretation of the conductive, dense and reflective structures. There are challenges related to both the resolution and depth extent of the applied methods, as well as geological modelling of the detected anomalous features.

During the last decade, GTK and the University of Helsinki, Institute of Seismology (UH), have
Fig. 1. Location of the Outokumpu Mining Camp (OMC) area outlined in black inside the Outokumpu Mineral District (larger black rectangle) in Eastern Finland, plotted on the generalized bedrock map of Finland (scale of source material: 1:10 000 000). Data from the digital archives of GTK.

At the same time, globally, 3D geological modelling has taken revolutionary steps towards regional interpretations and more quantitative common earth modelling (CEM) in solid bedrock geology, besides the oil industry applications (e.g. McGaughey 2006, Sprague et al. 2006, Martin et al. 2007, Bellefleur et al. 2015, Schetselaar et al. 2016).

The study area has been covered by systematic low-altitude geophysical surveys (by GTK), 82 line-km of high-resolution seismic reflection surveys (FIRE and HIRE projects, Kukkonen et al. 2012), over 900 drill cores (mostly acquired by Outokumpu Company), and ground gravity surveys. In addition, before the present project, GTK had measured test lines of audiomagnetotelluric (AMT) soundings in 2012, showing the potential of the method to test seismic reflectors for their conductivity (Lahti et al. unpublished, Lahti et al. 2015). Furthermore, GTK acquired a novel ZTEM airborne survey of the study area in 2013, which provided a systematic survey of resistivity to a depth of about 1–2 km (Kurimo et al. 2016). The existing drill core and petrophysical data compiled by the GEOMEX joint venture project in 1999–2003 (Kuronen et al. 2004) was an important asset for detailed analysis of potential field data. All the existing public data-sets were available for the present project.

As a continuation to all these multi-domain advances in data, concepts and technologies, Finnish research organizations and international companies operating in North Karelia, Eastern Finland, identified an opportunity to join the progress, partly due to the exhaustion of more easily detectable shallow depth metal resources in the Outokumpu Mining Camp (OMC, Fig. 1) area (Kuronen et al. 2005, Kontinen et al. 2006, Peltonen et al. 2008, Rasilainen et al. 2014), and partly due to recent developments in the availability of 3D digital data from the research area, and applications and tools for geomodelling at the mining camp scale (e.g. Laine et al. 2012, Saalmann & Laine 2014, Laine et al. 2015).

**AIMS OF THE PROJECT**

The present investigation aimed to carry out a methodological and comparative analysis of concepts and technologies for deep exploration in the OMC area, one of the most important mining areas in Finland. The OMC is hosted by the Outokumpu Cu-Co-Zn-Ni±Ag-Au ore belt, also known as the Outokumpu Ore Belt or Outokumpu Belt (Figs. 1 and 2). One of the objectives in this project was to apply the concept of common earth modelling to deep mineral exploration in the area. Modern 3D software codes enable the construction of digital earth models and common earth models (CEM) comprising all available data from an area of interest. A CEM can be edited and updated after new data are collected so that the model fits the data constraints simultaneously, whether these data are geological or geophysical. This type of quantitative model can be further tested by drilling, as well as using other independent geophysical data. The project aimed at a modelling concept that is more quantitative than qualitative and a more efficient and reliable tool for exploration than traditional earth models, which are often collections of qualitative thoughts and descriptions about the subsurface.

On a general level, the present project focused on developing methods, skills and concepts for deep exploration in mining camps using the Outokumpu Belt as an example case. With the help of deep geophysical data, surface geology, geochemistry and drilling data, geological 3D interpretations and models were compiled as constraints for further testing with forward and inverse geophysical modelling. The aim was to expand the surface and drilling information to much greater depths than had previously been feasible, i.e. to depths of 1–2 km below the ground surface, and optionally to 5 km if the data allowed.

Geological modelling of geophysical deep structures was carried out in 3D with a future option to extend the modelling to 4D (i.e., additionally including the temporal evolution). The aim was to understand the geological evolution, structural development and ore potential of the area. One of the main questions was related to geologically interpreting the seismically reflective packages in
the Outokumpu Belt and to developing cost-effective means to classify the reflectors with deep EM and potential field data. Although the metal sulphide ore deposits are known to be dense, electrically conductive and seismically reflective, the spatial resolution of common EM and potential field methods is not sufficient for the direct detection of typical deposits in the Outokumpu area at depths exceeding 500–1000 m. Therefore, the aim was to provide models of the geological formations hosting the deposits for more direct testing in the future (i.e., deep drilling and drill-hole-based geophysical surveys).

STUDY AREA AND GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The present OMC study area is included in Outokumpu Mineral District (OMD) of this study, covering about 7200 km² and sharing the outlines of the previous GEOMEX project, which compiled most of the digital data from Outokumpu available before this project (Kuronen et al. 2005, Kontinen et al. 2006) (Figs. 1−5). At the beginning of this Tekes project, the GTK project group collaborated closely with the geophysical airborne ZTEM data acquisition project group of GTK (Kurimo et al. 2016) to adjust the ZTEM flight area to suit the needs of both of the projects. The flight operation area later came to outline the area of the interest with a surface area of circa 1200 km². The Outokumpu Belt area inside the OMC, having a size of 30 km x 50 km, includes the main ore belt outcropping between the localities Kuusijärvi, Outokumpu and Polvijärvi. The OMC study area also included the extinct Kejretti and Vuonos mines and the active Kylylahti and Horsmanaho mines, and the Miikkalin Basin area (Figs. 2−5). Based on Kukkonen et al. (2012), an assumption was made that the minimum thickness of the interesting parts of the Outokumpu assemblage would be about 500 m.

The Outokumpu ore deposit was discovered in 1910 and mining started shortly thereafter. It can well be said that the discovery of the Outokumpu ore deposit led to the development of the modern metal industry in Finland. Due to its paramount importance to the metal industry, the Outokumpu Belt has been the target of numerous geological and geophysical studies over the last 100 years (see summaries in Koistinen (1981) and Peltonen et al. (2008)). Nowadays, the bedrock geology of the upmost 300 m of the research area is quite well known.

Tectono-stratigraphically, Outokumpu assemblage rocks can be found in the Outokumpu nappe complex, consisting of the Outokumpu, Miikkali, Sukkulansalo and Kokka nappes (Aatos 2016, Lahti et al. 2016, Laine 2016). These nappes consist of 1970-Ga-old reworked Palaeoproterozoic peridotites and metasediments emplaced on top of the Karelian Supergroup. The latter was deposited in a passive margin setting and thrust upon the Archaean craton (Peltonen et al. 2008, Laajoki 2005, Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP). The age range of the Palaeoproterozoic subunit is from 2500 to 1600 Ma, of which the Orosirian period extends from 2050 to 1800 Ma (ICS 2015), coinciding with the age of global 2100–1800 Ga orogens portending the assembly of the pre-Rodinia supercontinent (Zhao et al. 2002), and having analogous simultaneous periods of mafic-ultramafic magmatic activity (Heaman et al. 2009, Peltonen et al. 1996).

Lahti et al. (2016) and Laine 2016 have more thoroughly summarized the geological setting of the Outokumpu nappe complex.

The Outokumpu-type massive to semimassive, polygenetic Cu–Co–Ni ore deposits are bound to ophiolitic-sedimentary units with original or tectonic contact to skarns, quartz, carbonate rocks and black schists named the Outokumpu assemblage (Kontinen et al. 2006, Peltonen et al. 2008). According to Peltonen et al. (2008) the Outokumpu ore deposits include two main types: the primary Cu-rich proto ore and secondary disseminated Ni ore.

The Outokumpu Belt hosted three major metal mines during 1913–1988. The Outokumpu mine achieved a total production of 28.5 Mt at 3.8% Cu, 0.2% Co, 0.1% Ni, 1.1% Zn and 25.3% S. The Vuonos mine produced 5.89 Mt @ 2.45% Cu, 0.15% Co, 1.6% Zn, 0.13% Ni, 0.1g/t Au, 11.0g/t Ag and 24.8% S (Papunen 1987, Parkkinen 1997, Peltonen et al. 2008), whereas the Luikonlahti mine produced 7.5 Mt of ore at 1.0% Cu, 0.1% Co, 0.5% Zn, 0.1% Ni and 16.5% S (Parkkinen 1997, Kontinen et al. 2006).

In 2014, Altona Mining Limited announced that the new Kylylahti underground mine in operation due northwest of the Outokumpu Belt had measured resources of 8.8 Mt at 1.33% Cu, 0.78 g/t Au and 0.54% Zn. The mine was acquired by Boliden from Altona Mining Limited in 2014 (Altona Mining
Fig. 2. Geological bedrock map (modified after Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP) of the Outokumpu Mineral District, including the Outokumpu Mining Camp research area (grey outline), audiomagnetotelluric measurement lines (blue, Lahti et al. 2016) and FIRE and HIRE seismic reflection lines (red, adapted from Kukkonen et al. 2012).
Limited 2014, Boliden 2016, New Boliden 2016). In addition to metal sulphide deposits, the Outokumpu Belt hosts talc deposits, one of which is situated in Horsmanaho, presently being mined by Mondo Minerals B.V. Branch Finland. Moreover, exploration in the Outokumpu Belt is active and there are tens of exploration claims and permits (both active and pending) by several companies.

### DATA AND METHODS

The available geological and geophysical datasets on the Outokumpu Belt included geological maps and models with a large coverage, over 900 drill cores, airborne low-altitude geophysical surveys (magnetic, electromagnetic (EM), U–Th–K gamma ray), ground geophysics (especially gravity) and petrophysical measurements of rock samples. Recently, the ore belt has been covered by extensive 2D reflection seismic surveys (Kukkonen et al. 2006, Kukkonen et al. 2011), which suggest possible deep-seated host rock formations of the metal sulphide ore deposits (Kukkonen et al. 2012) (Fig. 2). A 2500-m-deep research borehole (the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole) was drilled in 2004–2005 to reveal the geological character of the seismic reflections (Kukkonen 2011) (Fig. 2).

Due to its depth extent of several kilometres, the modern deep EM audiomagnetotelluric (AMT) method is a very efficient means to map the electrical conductivity of the uppermost crust. Thus, AMT data provide an important dataset for classifying the seismic reflectors based on their electric conductivity.

Fig. 3. An illustrated example of geophysical survey data from the Outokumpu area integrated into a 3D bedrock geological earth model of the Outokumpu Mineral District (OMD). Geophysically interpreted AMT model data are suitable for delineating large regional deep conductive and resistive features and structures (Lahti 2015, Lahti et al. 2015, Lahti et al. 2016). Integrated model data on AMT profiles (purple = resistive, red = conductive bedrock) modelled by I. Lahti were inserted into a regional 3D geological interpretation (beige = Archaean basement rocks, red = Maarianvaara granitoid, grey lines = inferred and sketched faulting) by S. Aatos. The bedrock geological and fault interpretation were based on the digital bedrock map of Finland (Bedrock of Finland = DigiKP), the gravity map of the GEOMEX project (Ruotoistenmäki 2006), and aerogeophysical raster data (Airo et al. 2014). PjSZ = Polvijärvi Shear Zone. The extent of the geological solid model in the background is about 80 km x 90 km x 5 km. The depth of AMT model profiles ranges up to 8 km.
conductivity (Lahti 2015). At the beginning of the project, AMT data were rather limited in the Outokumpu Belt, although the first two test lines had been surveyed by GTK in 2012. During this project, four more test lines were measured over the research area, two of them concatenated (Figs. 2 and 3). The ground-based AMT was complemented in 2013 with the already commercially available ZTEM (Z-axis tipper electromagnetic) airborne method designed for surveying deep resistivity distributions to a depth of 1–2 km (Kurimo et al. 2016). The Outokumpu Belt provided an excellent opportunity to test the AMT and ZTEM methods in a well-known ore belt, as Lahti et al. (2016) demonstrated also in the Miihkali Basin area.

Analysis of potential fields (magnetic and gravity anomalies) was performed to estimate the sizes of geological formations and major ore potential deposits. Existing field measured gravity data of GTK were complemented with selected profile measurements (Leväniemi 2016) (Figs. 4 and 5). Together with petrophysical information, these were fitted with seismic observations of acoustic impedance discontinuities to produce border surfaces of geological objects (Komminaho et al. 2016, Tuomi 2016). Frequency-domain electromagnetic measurements were carried out at a known deep-seated mineralization in Perttilahti (Figs. 4 and 5) to test the depth penetration of the method and to interpret the data with layered earth modelling application vs. geological interpretation (Nousiainen & Leväniemi 2016).

Geological 3D modelling and visualization required the use of special software applications designed for geology and mine planning, structural and subsurface geology, geostatistics (Laine 2016), and 3D GIS (Aatos 2016) and 3D visualisation system tools. GIS modelling and visualisation tools with geo-processing and raster analysis modules enabled 2D prospectivity mapping and the development of digital interpretation concepts and aids for bedrock mapping (Aatos 2016). All these codes had specific features, and reflected different aims of modelling (for 3D, see e.g. Laine et al. 2012). The choice of software was highly relevant to later applications and needed to be carefully considered in designing concepts for deep exploration and modelling.

A regional 3D bedrock geological interpretation was constructed (Fig. 3) based on a 2D pseudo-lithological computational GIS interpretation (Aatos 2016), existing gravity interpretation (Ruotosteinenmäki 2006), present geological and structural geological knowledge of the model area, according to the national stratigraphic guide (Strand et al. 2010), and the bedrock geological map database (Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP). The concept model unit geological boundaries were further optimized according to the existing 3D bedrock geological observational and lithogeochemical data, structural geological 3D interpretations, and reflection seismic and ZTEM data (Aatos 2016).

The project provided an excellent opportunity to establish a geophysical test line for deep-reaching geophysical methods. There is a need for validated data on deep exploration methods, especially their depth extent and spatial resolution. The research group evaluated the premises of the reflection seismic lines measured earlier in HIRE and FIRE programmes (Kukkonen et al. 2006, Kukkonen et al. 2011) to choose an eligible test line. The chosen test line was vibroseismic line V7 of the HIRE project with known drilled targets at <1.5–2 km depth that had previously been surveyed and were surveyed again in this project with different methods (Heinonen et al. 2016).
RESULTS

The OMC turned out to be a highly suitable target area for developing deep exploration concepts and technologies on a mining camp scale. All the geophysical methods applied in this project were of use in achieving the main result of the project, i.e. the Outokumpu deep exploration concept (OKU-DEX concept) (Table 1). The results suggest that reprocessed and modelled reflection seismic survey data, potential field models based on petrophysical parameters, together with geological interpretations could be used to constrain other, geophysical data having a more robust resolution, such as deep EM (ZTEM and AMT). The chosen deep exploration methods of the project generally responded to different petrophysical properties of the rock mass (elastic properties, conductivity, density, magnetic properties) and complemented each other (Heinonen et al. 2016, Komminaho et al. 2016, Kurimo et al. 2016).
The project established the Sukkulansalo National Test Line (SNTL), following the location of high-resolution reflection seismic profile V7 (Kukkonen et al. 2011) and encompassing a versatile set of geophysical and geological deep exploration data and interpretations to facilitate the development and testing of new deep exploration methodology in the future (Heinonen et al. 2016).

The project was able to reach the main goals of the project, i.e. to develop deep (2–5 km) mineral exploration concepts and methods suitable for Outokumpu-type ore deposits and to build a general framework for common earth modelling and an integratable set of various types of earth models and CEMs of the OMC and surrounding areas. With integrated sceneries of state-of-the-art congruent geo-referenced field and model data and interpretations, the project was able to characterize the main regional bedrock formations and structures of the research area to a depth of 2 km and potentially to 5 km, possibly constraining the Outokumpu-type mineral potential below the relatively well known uppermost 300 m of the bed-
Table 1. A generalized characterisation of the pre-competitive phase of the Outokumpu deep exploration concept (OKUDEX concept), comprising a combination of some equally important parts of the exploration process useful in predicting favourable deep features indicating the existence of Outokumpu assemblage rocks or Outokumpu-type mineral potential.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controlling feature</th>
<th>Deep exploration proxies</th>
<th>Geological data and methods</th>
<th>Geophysical data and methods</th>
<th>Verification of concepts and modelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Tectono-stratigraphic units and their history</td>
<td>Constraining unit boundaries and positioning favourable sequences of strata included in the Outokumpu nappe complex</td>
<td>Regional to targeting bedrock geological inference by expert knowledge or by modelling</td>
<td>Systematically collected surface and deep geophysical airborne or field measurement data. Forward modelling of reflection seismics, inversion modelling of regional ZTEM, AMT data</td>
<td>Reviewing strategic targets with deep drilling, possibly complemented by shallow diamond drill coring, and with targeted field geophysical measurements and down-hole geophysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Structures</td>
<td>Continuation of structural controls related to favourable unit boundaries and remobilized zones related to mineral deposits in Outokumpu assemblage rock sequences</td>
<td>Structural geological mapping and expert knowledge, predictions and simulations based on structures</td>
<td>CEM modelling of deep EM and other deep geophysical survey data, constraining e.g. with reflection seismic data</td>
<td>Reviewing strategic structures with structural geological mapping, oriented structural observations while deep drilling, analysis of whole rock and metal concentrations of drill-core samples, down-hole geophysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Properties of the ore or ore-hosting rock assemblages</td>
<td>Mineralogy-bound; ore potential differentiating signatures and pathfinders in mineralized parts of Outokumpu assemblage rocks</td>
<td>Geochemical whole-rock drill core analysis data, mineralogy</td>
<td>Petrophysical properties, potential field modelling, seismic forward modelling. Identifying coinciding anomalies e.g. high-amplitude reflectors vs. ZTEM data</td>
<td>Reviewing strategic targets by deep drilling with whole rock and metal concentration analyses, down-hole geophysics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Long-term exploration and mining activities in brownfield areas related to specific type(s) of mineral systems have an increasing need to develop cost-efficient and informative predicting methods for deep exploration reaching depths extending 500 m. This is due to the exhausting of near surface deposits and high cost of deep data collection. Exploration in brownfield areas also requires technologies that avoid unnecessary disturbance of inhabitants and the environment. One solution fulfilling these demands is the efficient integration of existing, and if necessary, diversely optimised new geo-data with interpretation and modelling for deducing the deep characteristics of geological features indicative of the mineral deposit styles in focus.

Most of the methods applied and developed in this project are suitable for regional deep exploration projects in the orienting work phase, before the targeting phase with complementary field observations and measurements. One geological key for focusing the deep exploration of a brownfield region more cost-efficiently is understanding of the mineral system context of the sought ore type, as well as thorough knowledge of the surficial geology of the explored region. Modelling results should always be evaluated and validated with field data (e.g. by drilling) and interpretations updated in an iterative way. Brownfield areas usually have advantages on their side when compared to greenfield areas, such as plentiful data. In many cases, however, there may be a lack of specific data and knowledge needed for CEM or 3D earth model purposes (e.g. geological, geophysical and geochemical deep drilling data combined with reflection seismic profiles) from a location of interest, and in such cases, the gathering of new complementary data cannot be avoided.

The geological environments hosting the Outokumpu-type ores are known for difficulties in interpreting the confusing or disguising effects of black schist interbeds or other conductive rock types typical of these regions. All of the used EM methods are sensitive to the masking effects caused by multiple layers of conductive rocks, emphasizing the need to develop complementary deep EM measurements and modelling methods (e.g. joint inversion with other relevant geophysical data) to resolve these issues. The results of seismic modelling, other geophysical interpretation and CEM can mostly be verified inside a measurement profile where geological interpretation of deep drilling data is available. Potential field modelling provides multi-disciplinary perceivable
geometries for constraining the ore potential of rock formations. Expanding geophysical interpretation to greater depths increases the uncertainty of the results as a function of depth and the detectability of the deposit. The uncertainty of the results generally increases as a function of distance from the observation points or measurement lines, unless the observation or measurement density in the area of interest is increased. The uncertainty of the results can be diminished by using multi-sourced data and integrated interpretations. Systematic observations of surficial and subsurface structural geological data and interpretations are indispensable in constructing geological earth models constraining geophysical CEM.

As a whole, the project was able to delineate geophysical anomalies and geological constraints, probably indicating the main deep-reaching zones of Outokumpu assemblage rocks in the OMC area. At the end of the project, the present compiled versions of the project earth and common earth models represent the best available geophysical interpretations backed up by bedrock and structural geological and geochemical expert knowledge of the OMC. Eventually, the main outcome of the project, the OKUDEX concept, will provide suggestions for general concepts, experience and guidance for novel applications of such datasets in modelling the bedrock at mining camps and exploration in analogous areas resembling the OMD. The most strategic targets of the project are proposed to be reviewed, or undergo deep drilling (1000 m), possibly complemented with shallow diamond coring of the surface (300 m).
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The main goals of the work presented here were (1) to utilize seismic forward modelling to gain a better understanding of the seismic signature of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks and associated Outokumpu-type semi-massive to massive sulphide Cu–Co–Zn–Ni–Ag–Au deposits, and (2) to develop tailored seismic reflection data processing schemes and analyses for aiding seismic ore exploration in the Outokumpu area. Seismic reflection profile OKU1 at the southwestern end of the Outokumpu area was chosen as the main focus of the seismic forward modelling and processing efforts, because OKU1 is located close to the 2.5-km-deep Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole, which provides direct lithological control for the observed reflectivity and well-documented acoustic properties of the Outokumpu rocks to be used in forward modelling. The forward modelling results show that within mica schist hosting them, the Outokumpu assemblage rocks (and black schist enveloping them) form internally strongly reflective packages typically characterized by numerous diffraction hyperbolas in the stacked sections. These reflectivity characteristics can be used to target the potentially ore-bearing Outokumpu assemblage rocks at depth, and the seismic reflection data provide a good basis for setting regional exploration targets in the Outokumpu area. Based on the results of this study, it seems also possible that the Outokumpu-type sulphide mineralizations could even be directly observed as high-amplitude anomalies in the seismic reflection sections, if the dimensions and orientation are optimal. A careful crooked-line data processing sequence should be able to preserve the direct signals, except for very shallow signals that are more likely to be distorted by the crooked-line effects. However, the crooked-line effects can also potentially produce high-amplitude anomalies, and care is required when interpreting the high-amplitude anomalies in the light of ore exploration. In addition to seismic reflection profile OKU1, forward modelling results are also presented for the Kylylahti mine area at the northwestern side of the Outokumpu area, where the complex 3D geometry – especially the occasionally near-vertical orientation of the Outokumpu assemblage rock units – poses a challenge for surface seismic data acquisition. Finally, seismic reflection profile V7
is used as an example of another strongly reflective unit present in the Outokumpu area in addition to the Outokumpu assemblage rocks, i.e., the Archaean basement and overlying Proterozoic supracrustal rocks, and how the true orientation of the reflectors obtained from a 2D seismic reflection section can be analysed with the help of surface geological data.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the past few decades, considerable research efforts have been put into developing seismic reflection methods, initially developed for hydrocarbon exploration in sedimentary environments, to better match the needs of hard-rock ore exploration (e.g., Eaton et al. 2003, 2010, Salisbury & Snyder 2007, Malehmir et al. 2012 and the references therein). In addition to their depth penetration and resolution, seismic reflection methods are of particular interest because the elastic properties of sulphide minerals imply that in many of their typical host environments, the ore deposits could potentially be directly observed if they meet the geometrical constraints required for reflection or diffraction (e.g., Salisbury et al. 2003, Salisbury & Snyder 2007). However, in terms of direct delineation, seismic reflection methods are currently only likely to be cost effective in brownfield environments and for ore bodies that are several hundreds of metres across (Malehmir et al. 2012 and the references therein). Consequently, the goal of seismic reflection surveying in hard-rock mining and exploration areas has most commonly been to map structures or contacts between rock units where ore deposits are known to accumulate (e.g., Drummond et al. 1998, Malehmir et al. 2007, Willman et al. 2010, Koivisto et al. 2015).

Seismic forward modelling can be used to gain a better understanding of the seismic signatures of ore deposits and ore-bearing formations (e.g., Bohlen et al. 2003, Ahmadi et al. 2013). First, seismic forward modelling is used to predict the seismic signature that would be recorded given an assumed ore body and host formation within the crust. Then, the model is compared with real seismic reflection data. For forward seismic modelling, a preliminary 3D geological model, or competing models, of the target of interest is needed, along with the density and seismic velocity structure of the medium in which the seismic waves are travelling. Based on the forward modelling results, the underlying 3D geological model can be verified or further improved, and in the light of the acquired understanding of the seismic response at the deposit scale, real data can be analysed for potential direct indications of new ore deposits. Furthermore, forward modelling can, for example, also be used for testing of optimal acquisition geometries and data processing schemes for seismic ore exploration.

Extensive seismic reflection data are available from the Outokumpu area, including a crustal-scale seismic reflection profile crossing the area (Kukkonen et al. 2006, Sorjonen-Ward 2006) and altogether 82 km of high-resolution 2D profiles across central parts of the area (Kukkonen et al. 2011, 2012, Fig. 1). These seismic data have revealed internally reflective units that have been interpreted as deeper occurrences of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks, known to contain Cu–Co–Zn–Ni–Ag–Au ores (Kukkonen et al. 2006, 2011, 2012, Heinonen et al. 2011, Saalmann & Laine 2014). The bodies of Outokumpu assemblage rocks, which consist of serpentinite, carbonate, skarn (calc-silicate) and quartz rocks, are usually wrapped in black schist, which also occurs as separate layers in the hosting mica schist (Fig. 1). Kukkonen et al. (2012) and Saalmann & Laine (2014) have used the Outokumpu seismic reflection data to constrain 3D geological models of the deep continuation of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks. Kukkonen et al. (2012) have also identified high-amplitude diffractions within their interpreted units of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks as potential direct indicators of new Outokumpu-type ore deposits. The work presented here builds on the findings of these earlier studies with the main goals (1) to utilize seismic forward modelling to gain a better understanding of the seismic signature of the ore-bearing Outokumpu assemblage rocks and the Outokumpu-type semi-massive to massive sulphide Cu–Co–Zn–Ni–Ag–Au deposits, and (2) to develop data processing schemes and analyses for aiding seismic ore exploration in the Outokumpu area.
Below, we first review the acquisition parameters of the Outokumpu seismic reflection surveys and discuss the processing of these data, with a specific focus on the reproprocessing of seismic reflection profile OKU1 (Fig. 1). Seismic forward modelling results for the seismic response of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks and Outokumpu-type sulphide deposits are then presented along the seismic reflection profile OKU1. Profile OKU1 at the southwestern end of the Outokumpu area was chosen as the main focus of the seismic forward modelling and reproprocessing efforts, because OKU1 is located only 400 meters away from the 2.5-km-deep Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole (R2500) (Fig. 1), which provides direct lithological control for the observed reflectivity and well-documented acoustic properties of the Outokumpu rocks (Heinonen et al. 2011, Kern et al. 2009, Elbra et al. 2011, Aalto et al. 2011) to be used in forward modelling. In addition to seismic profile OKU1, forward modelling results are also presented for the Kylylahti mine area at the northeastern end of the Outokumpu area (Fig. 1), where the complex 3D geometry – especially the occasionally near-vertical orientation of the Outokumpu assemblage rock units – poses a challenge for surface seismic data acquisition. Then, seismic reflection profile V7 is used as an example of another strongly reflective unit present in the Outokumpu area in addition to the Outokumpu assemblage rocks, i.e., the Archaean basement and overlying Proterozoic supracrustal rocks, and how the true orientation of the reflectors obtained from a 2D seismic reflection section can be analysed with the help of surface geological data. Finally, the implications of all these results for seismic ore exploration in the Outokumpu area are discussed.

Initial seismic forward modelling results for the seismic reflection profile V7 have been discussed in Heinonen et al. (this volume). For background geology, the reader is referred to Koistinen (1981), Park et al. (2004), Kontinen et al. (2006), Peltonen et al. (2008) and Aatos et al. (this volume).
Altogether, 82 km of high-resolution seismic reflection profiles have been collected in the Outokumpu area (Fig. 1). From these, the vibroseis profiles OKU1, OKU2 and OKU3 were collected in 2002 as a part of the project FIRE (Finnish Reflection Experiment 2003–2006, Kukkonen et al. 2006) and vibroseis profiles V1, V2, V3, V7 and V8 and explosion profile E1 in 2008 as a part of the project HIRE (HIGH RESOLUTION reflection seismics for ore exploration 2007–2010, Kukkonen et al. 2011, 2012). The project FIRE also includes a crustal-scale FIRE3 profile that crosses the Outokumpu area. SFUE Vniigeofizika, Moscow, Russia, worked as the seismic contractor for all these surveys. The seismic reflection survey profiles are displayed in Figure 1 on a geological map of the Outokumpu area, and the acquisition parameters for the high-resolution FIRE and HIRE surveys have been presented in Table 1. The acquisition of these Outokumpu seismic reflection data has been explained in more detail by Kukkonen et al. (2012).

For the interpretation of seismic reflection data, it is essential to know the size of the structures that are resolvable given the acquisition parameters used in the surveys. The dominant frequency of the high-resolution FIRE profiles (OKU1, OKU2 and OKU3) is about 80 Hz, and that of the HIRE profiles (V1–V8 and E1) about 100 Hz. Using the Rayleigh quarter-wavelength criterion (Widess 1973) for an assumed average P-wave velocity of 6000 m/s (typical velocity for the Outokumpu area; see below for more), the vertical resolution, i.e., the ability to resolve the top and bottom of a layer, is about 20 m for the FIRE data and 15 m for the HIRE data. However, thin layers (down to 2–3 m) could be detectable if the signal-to-noise ratio and impedance contrasts are sufficiently high, and the boundary has sufficient lateral continuity (e.g., Yilmaz 2001). Using the Fresnel zone criterion (e.g., Yilmaz 2001) for given depths of 500 m and 1000 m, the horizontal resolution of unmigrated stacked data is respectively about 270 m and 390 m.

Table 1. Acquisition parameters for the Outokumpu high-resolution seismic reflection profiles shown in Figure 1 (modified from Kukkonen et al. 2012). Vibroseis profiles OKU1, OKU2 and OKU3 were collected in 2002 as a part of the project FIRE (Finnish Reflection Experiment 2003–2006, Kukkonen et al. 2006) and vibroseis profiles V1, V2, V3, V7 and V8 and explosion profile E1 in 2008 as a part of the project HIRE (HIGH RESOLUTION reflection seismics for ore exploration 2007–2010, Kukkonen et al. 2011, 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FIRE</th>
<th>HIRE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recording system</strong></td>
<td>I/O-2</td>
<td>I/O-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acquisition geometry</strong></td>
<td>Symmetrical, split spread</td>
<td>Symmetrical, split spread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spread length</strong></td>
<td>7775–11825 m</td>
<td>5012.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of active channels</strong></td>
<td>312–474</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geophone type</strong></td>
<td>GS-20DX (Fres = 10 Hz)</td>
<td>GS-20DX (Fres = 10 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of geophones in a group</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geophone group length</strong></td>
<td>25 m</td>
<td>12.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geophone group spacing</strong></td>
<td>25 m</td>
<td>12.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seismic source</strong></td>
<td>3 x Geosvip 15.4 t</td>
<td>3 x Geosvip 14.5 t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source spacing</strong></td>
<td>50 m</td>
<td>25 or 50 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of sweeps/ shots/point</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shot hole depth</strong></td>
<td>2.5 m</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sweep frequencies</strong></td>
<td>20–130 Hz</td>
<td>30–165 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sweep length</strong></td>
<td>12 s</td>
<td>16 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Listening time</strong></td>
<td>18 s</td>
<td>24 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recording time after correlation</strong></td>
<td>6 s</td>
<td>6 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sampling interval</strong></td>
<td>1 ms</td>
<td>1 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for the FIRE data and 240 m and 350 m for the HIRE data. Nevertheless, objects smaller than the Fresnel zone can produce diffractions, and successful migration can collapse the Fresnel zone to approximately the dominant wavelength, thus increasing the horizontal resolution to at least the dominant wavelength (or half of that). However, it should be noted that the presence of migration-velocity errors and noise adversely affects migration, and could significantly degrade the horizontal resolution.

Data processing

All the Outokumpu seismic reflection profiles have been commercially processed by the Russian company SFUE Vniigeofizika. Generally, these commercially processed sections are of good quality and have been used for the interpretations presented by Kukkonen et al. (2012) and Saalmann & Laine (2014). However, the quality can be further enhanced via reprocessing, as also previously documented by Koivisto et al. (2012) and Heinonen et al. (2013) with HIRE seismic reflection data sets from Kevitsa and Vihanti mining and exploration camps. Specifically, good control of the processing parameters is required for the comparisons between the real and simulated data. In this work, the seismic reflection profile OKU1 was chosen as the main focus of the reprocessing efforts, because the seismic forward modelling also focused along profile OKU1. Profiles OKU2 and OKU3 were also reprocessed with similar processing flows to that of OKU1. Reprocessing of seismic reflection profile V7, also conducted as a part of the overall project, has been reported by Aihemaiti (2014).

In the reprocessing sequence of OKU1, specific emphasis was given on finding the optimal geometry of the CMP line (Common Mid-Point line, i.e., the processing line) for the crooked-line survey geometry, on static corrections in order to compensate for near-surface time delays caused by variations in the low-velocity weathering layer, and due to the highly variable bedrock velocities, on detailed velocity analysis. Amplitudes were first corrected for geometrical spreading, and each trace was balanced with a slowly time-varying scalar before and after stacking. This simple balancing procedure was preferred over the commonly used automatic gain control or other similar nonlinear processes, which destroy relative amplitude information and can alter the frequency content of the traces. The final sections were then migrated using the Stolt f-k migration algorithm (Stolt & Benson 1986) employing a 1D velocity model that was derived from the velocity analysis of the data by obtaining the optimal stacking velocity function for approximately horizontal reflectors. The same velocity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Processing sequence of profile OKU1.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prestack processing steps</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Quality check: Removal of anomalously noisy traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Assigning geometry: Inline CMP spacing 12.5 m, crossline CMP bin size 500 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. First break picking: Maximum offset 1000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Refraction static corrections (through inversion of the first breaks): Two-layer model with variable overburden velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Band-pass filtering: Corner frequencies 20–40–130–150 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Geometrical spreading correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Spiking deconvolution: Operator length 150 ms, white noise percentage 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Band-pass filtering: Corner frequencies 20–40–130–150 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Whole trace balancing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Air-wave and first break muting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Sort to CDP domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Velocity analysis (iterative, 3 runs; CVS panels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Surface consistent residual static corrections (iterative: 3 runs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. NMO corrections and stacking (NMO stretch: 60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poststack processing steps</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Time-variant trace balancing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imaging</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Stolt F-K migration: rms velocity function: 5400 m/s at 0 ms, 5800 m/s at 500 ms, 6200 m/s at 1500 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Time-variant trace balancing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
function, with root-mean-square velocities varying from 5400 to 6200 m/s, was also used for the final time-to-depth conversion. The processing flow of the profile OKU1 is presented in Table 2.

Seismic surveys in hard rock environments are typically so-called crooked-line surveys, i.e., the survey profiles are not straight, but more or less crooked because the surveys are forced to follow existing roads for logistical and economic reasons. This is also the case in Outokumpu. Crooked-line seismic data challenge many common processing tools, which are based on straight-line geometry (e.g., Schmelzbach et al. 2007, Malehmir et al. 2009). A critical step in crooked-line processing is the choice of a CMP processing line to represent the subsurface reflection points, which can potentially have a significant impact on the final results (e.g., Koivisto et al. 2012). To test the effect of the CMP processing line choice, OKU1 was processed along three different CMP lines: along a line closely following the survey line (which is here used to present the results of this work), along a more smoothly varying processing line always approximately in the middle of the cloud defined by the source–receiver midpoints, and also along a processing line that was as straight as possible (Fig. 2). Shallow reflections at depths of less than a few hundred meters are generally better imaged and located along the processing line closer to the survey line. This is because for crooked survey-line

Fig. 2. The CMP geometries tested in the processing. For presenting the results of this work, the CMP processing line following the survey geometry as closely as possible was chosen (black line). The CMP locations along this CMP line have been indicated on the map to aid comparisons with Figures 5, 7, 8 and 9.
geometry, the source–receiver midpoints of traces associated with shorter offsets, and thus potentially including shallower reflections, always lie closer to the survey line. Consequently, shallow reflections are more likely to stack constructively along processing lines more closely following the actual survey geometry. On the other hand, it is the source–receiver midpoints of traces associated with larger source–receiver offsets, and thus containing deeper information, that deviate most from the survey geometry. Depending on the geometrical relationship between the survey line and the CMP processing line, the midpoint distribution may be such that a CMP line approximately in the middle of the distribution may allow, on average, better imaging of some deeper reflections; however, this comes at the cost of losing the shallow reflections. Nevertheless, the final results were similar for all our CMP processing line tests. For presenting the results of this work, the CMP processing line following the survey geometry as closely as possible (Fig. 2) was chosen.

**SEISMIC FORWARD MODELLING**

Crystalline bedrock is a challenging environment for seismic forward modelling, in particular at the ore deposit scale. This is because the structures involved tend to have a very complex geometry and heterogeneous petrophysical properties due to their complicated geological history, and because the dimensions of the ore bodies, if present, are typically comparable to seismic wavelengths. This means that approximative methods, e.g. those based on ray tracing (e.g., Červeny 2005) or Born approximation (e.g., Eaton 1997), are not suitable as they do not handle diffractions and the scattering of seismic waves from a heterogeneous medium and small objects. For example, results presented by Bohlen et al. (2003) indicate that massive sulphide ore bodies produce a strong and complex scattering response characterized by strong dependence on azimuth and offset, as well as phase reversals. Consequently, fully elastic algorithms are required for accurate seismic forward modeling, especially at the ore deposit scale.

In this study we used Sofi2D/3D, a parallel finite difference (FD) code which implements 2D/3D full waveform viscoelastic wave equations (Bohlen 2002). The code shows good performance on massive parallel supercomputers, which makes the computation of very large grids feasible.

**Modelling scheme**

The geological models were created using the in-house program MODEL. In MODEL, the geological models are constructed by cubic spline interfaces defining the geometry of different formations and rock units. The petrophysical parameter distribution (P-wave velocity, S-wave velocity, density) associated with the model is also defined by cubic splines, and can be varied in the desired way. There are no limits to the number or complexity of interfaces. 3D geometries and models are formed by the scaling and rotation of interfaces between 2D sections using program codes developed in this project. The final grid of seismic velocities and density is then discretized from the original model to the desired grid spacing for the FD seismic forward modelling code. Below, the construction of the geological models and assigning of the petrophysical parameters for OKU1 and the Kylylahti area are described in more detail.

In FD modelling two factors are crucial: discretization in space and sampling in time. These parameters have to be small enough to guarantee accuracy and stability of the calculations, but they also should be as large as possible to guarantee efficient computing because they control the computing time. In our case, we have used grid point spacing of 2.0–2.5 meters to meet the requirements of numerical calculation accuracy and to be able to model small details like ore lenses. The sampling interval used is 1.6e-4 s.

For running Sofi2D/3D, free surface condition was used at the top of the model. Absorbing boundary conditions based on exponential wave field damping were applied at the bottom and side boundaries of the model to minimize wave fields reflecting back from these boundaries. All synthetic sections display the divergence of the particle velocity field.
Seismic signature of the Outokumpu assemblage along OKU1

Kukkonen et al. (2012) have suggested that most of the reflectivity within the uppermost 2 km of high-resolution seismic reflection sections OKU1, OKU2 and OKU3 (as well as V1–V8 and E1) could be due to bodies of the potentially ore-bearing Outokumpu assemblage rocks, i.e., serpentinites, and carbonate, skarn and quartz rocks enveloped by black schists and enclosed within rather homogeneous mica schists (Figs. 1 and 3). This conclusion is based on the known surface geological features and drill-hole data, in particular the 2.5-km-deep Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole. At the depth of about 1.3 km, the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole intersects a previously unknown, approximately 200-m-thick body of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks, which correlates well with the onset of the strongly reflective package on the seismic reflection section OKU1, a package that can also be followed along the seismic reflection profiles OKU2 and OKU3 (Fig. 3). Furthermore, density and seismic velocity data from the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole, i.e., geophysical logging data and laboratory measurements reported by Heinonen et al. (2011), Kern et al. (2009) and Elbra et al. (2011), indicate a strong contrast in acoustic impedance (product of density and seismic velocity) between the Outokumpu assemblage rocks and the surrounding mica schists, as well as strongly varying acoustic impedances of the various Outokumpu assemblage rocks as the cause of the internally reflective appearance of the units (Figs. 3 and 4).

The near surface structures of our 3D geological model, used for seismic forward modelling, are based on the geological cross-sections by Koistinen (1981). The model has been continued to greater depths by creating a model of the deeper units from the seismic reflection data, based on the rock units observed in the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole (Figs. 3 and 5). Besides Outokumpu assemblage rocks, metagabbro and amphibolite could be the cause of reflectivity in the Outokumpu mica schist.

Fig. 3. 3D view from south showing seismic reflection profiles OKU1–OKU3 (Fig. 1), the location of the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole (R2500) and geological cross-sections 189.900, 192.000 and 194.500 by Koistinen (1981) (green: serpentinite, yellow: quartz rock; lilac: black schist). The depth range of Outokumpu assemblage rocks intersected by the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole is indicated by a black dashed line along OKU1, with the continuation of the same unit along OKU2 also indicated by a black dashed line. The seismic sections have been presented as variable area plots of averaged instantaneous amplitude and displayed on a dB scale in the background as a colour-coded map.
Fig. 4. Average density and P-wave velocity for the different rock types of the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole (R2500 in Fig. 1) derived from gamma–gamma density and sonic logging (Heinonen et al. 2011), with standard deviations presented as error bars. These data indicate strong contrasts in acoustic impedance (product of density and seismic velocity) between the Outokumpu assemblage rocks, the black schists enveloping them and the surrounding mica schists, as well as strongly varying acoustic impedances of the various Outokumpu assemblage rocks. The massive sulphide mineralizations have a distinctly higher density, and therefore acoustic impedance, than the other rock types. The properties of the Outokumpu-type massive sulphide mineralization were theoretically calculated based on the average composition of the Keretti deposit (Fig.1, Peltola 1978, Peltonen et al. 2008, see Kukkonen et al. 2012 for details), because the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole does not penetrate any sulphide mineralization. Furthermore, the average values for amphibolites and metagabbro have been adapted from Kern (2009) and Salisbury et al. (2003), respectively, as no representative P-wave velocity measurements are available for these rock types from the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole. However, the average densities of amphibolites and metagabbros (Leväniemi, this volume) in the general Outokumpu area are very close to the average densities listed in these sources. Constant impedance curves (black lines) are shown for reference. A difference between two lines should be enough for a detectable reflection.

environments (see Fig. 4). For comparing the seismic responses from different sources, some metagabbro and amphibolite were added to the northwestern lower part of the model. The transformation to 3D was carried out for the near surface part by interpolating rock units along strike to agree with the geological cross-sections presented by Koistinen (1981) ~1.8 km apart (see Fig. 1 for the locations of the cross-sections). For deeper units, the transformation was based on the surface part and 3D correlation of the same reflectivity unit along the seismic reflection sections OKU1, OKU2 and OKU3. P-wave velocities and densities are averages for the rock units as obtained from the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole geophysical logging data (Heinonen et al. 2011), complemented by values from the literature for the amphibolites and metagabbros (Kern 2009, Salisbury et al. 2003), as shown in Figure 4. S-wave velocities are theoretical, because no logging data for S-wave velocities are available. Theoretically, the S-wave velocity in crystalline bedrock can be calculated by dividing the P-wave velocity values by 1.732 (e.g., Salisbury et al. 2003).

The seismic forward modelling results for the 3D geological model described above are presented in Figure 5. Seismic forward modelling was carried out with the real survey geometry of OKU1. The modelled shot gathers were processed with the same processing sequence (listed in Table 2) as used for obtaining the stacked section of the real OKU1 shown in Figure 5C, as applicable (adding the CMP geometry, muting of air waves and first arrivals, NMO corrections and stacking). The main features of real OKU1 profile are visible in the synthetic data, confirming that the Outokumpu assemblage
Fig. 5. A) A cross-section (location of the cross-section shown in Fig. 1) of the 3D geological model used for seismic forward modelling in the OKU1 area. Near the surface, the model has been derived from the digitized cross-sections of Koistinen (1981); lower parts have been interpreted from the 2D seismic reflection data based on the rock units observed in the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole. Some metagabbro and amphibolite were added to the northwestern lower part of the model for a comparison. The area inside the large red rectangle corresponds to the sections shown in this figure and in Figures 7 and 8. The area inside the small red rectangle corresponds to the sections shown in Figure 9. The black dashed rectangles indicate close-ups of the geological model presented in Figure 6. R2500 indicates the location of the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole. B) Forward modelling results for the model presented in A. Modelling has been carried out with the real survey geometry of OKU1. The modelled shot gathers have been processed with the same processing sequence as used for obtaining the stacked section of the real OKU1 shown in Figure C, as applicable (adding the CMP geometry, muting of air waves and first arrivals, NMO corrections and stacking). C) The processed CMP stack of OKU1. The processing sequence used for the data is provided in Table 2. While there are differences, the reflection events marked by R1, R2, R3 in the OKU1 section in Figure C are generally in good agreement with the simulated ones presented in Figure B (see text for more details). The CMP locations are indicated on the map of Figure 2.
rocks can be identified from the seismic sections as internally strongly reflective packages (see reflections marked by R2 in Figs. 5B and 5C). However, the real observed reflectivity patterns shown in Figure 5C have not been completely reproduced in the synthetic section presented in Figure 5B. This naturally means that the geological model (Fig. 5A) used for seismic forward modelling is not 100% correct. For example, the upper reflection package marked by R3 in Figures 5B and 5C is more complex in the modelled than in the real data. This indicates that in reality, the unit causing the observed upper reflection events is simpler than in our geological model (for example, just black schists within mica schists). In the scope of this study, further modifications to the geological model were not possible, and will be the focus of future work.

Seismic signature of the Outokumpu-type sulphide deposits

As discussed above, internal lithological contacts within the Outokumpu assemblage are strongly reflective (Figs. 3, 4 and 5). To examine the seismic response of the Outokumpu-type, typically semi-massive to massive sulphide ore bodies within the Outokumpu assemblage, we added hypothetical ore bodies to our geological model. The Outokumpu-type sulphide deposits typically form thin, narrow and sharply bounded sheets, lenses or rods of semi-massive to massive sulphides, located along or close to the interfaces between black schists and quartz-carbonate rocks. For example, the footwall of the main Outokumpu (Keretti) ore body, discovered in 1910, was dominantly against quartz rocks, while at its hanging wall the ore body was in contact with serpentinite and skarn-carbonate rocks. The contacts of the ore body with the wall rocks are typically very sharp (Peltonen et al. 2008). Accordingly, our hypothetical ore bodies (Fig. 6) have been placed in contact with quartz rock, skarn and serpentinite, and just for a theoretical comparison, also between amphibolites and metagabbros. Our ore bodies are 12–16-m-thick and 75–120-m-wide lenses, with a length spanning the whole length of the 3D geological model (about 1 km) perpendicular to the cross-section shown in Figure 5A. For a comparison, the main Outokumpu (Keretti) ore body was a sheet of semi-massive to massive sulphides ∼4 km long, 50–350 m wide and up to 30–40 m thick (on average ∼10 m) (Peltonen et al. 2008, Paapunen 1987). Petrophysical values for the ore bodies are from Kukkonen et al. (2012), i.e., a P-wave velocity of 5900 m/s and density of 3800 kg/m³ (Fig. 4). With these seismic velocity and density values, the ore should have a sufficient acoustic impedance contrast with all the Outokumpu assemblage rocks to produce a detectable reflection (Fig. 4).

Fig. 6. Close-ups of sections in Figure 5A, in which the sections shown here are indicated by dashed black rectangles. Here, the geological model additionally involves four 12–16-m-thick and 75–120-m-wide sulphide lenses striking perpendicular to the cross-section presented in the figure, across the whole 3D geological model. Our test ore bodies have been placed between quartz rock, skarn and serpentinite, and for a comparison also in contact with amphibolites and metagabbros. Otherwise, the geological model is the same as in Figure 5A. The colour scheme used for the lithologies is the same as in Figure 5.
Fig. 7. A) Forward modelling results for the geological model presented in Figure 5 without ore bodies. B) Forward modelling results for the geological model presented in Figure 6 with the hypothetical ore bodies. C) Residual response of the ore derived by subtracting the stack obtained without the hypothetical ore bodies shown in Figure 7A from the stack obtained with the ore bodies and shown in Figure 7B. D) An amplitude envelope image of the stack displayed in Figure 7B (black and dark grey tones showing high and white and light grey tones low amplitudes, respectively). The signals produced by the ore bodies in Figure 6 are shown with labels ORE1–ORE4. To produce these sections, modelling of shot gathers was carried out with the real survey geometry of OKU1. The shot gathers were then processed with the same processing sequence as also used to obtain the final stacked image of the real OKU1 presented in Figure 5C, as applicable for the synthetic data.
However, it should be noted that Kukkonen et al. (2012) theoretically calculated their P-wave velocity and density values based on the known average composition of Keretti deposit (Peltola 1978, Peltotenn et al. 2008, location of the historical Outokumpu mine indicated in Fig. 1), as no representative direct measurements were available. For more detailed future work, direct density and seismic velocity measurements of the Outokumpu-type sulphide deposits as well as host rocks across the Outokumpu area are required.

The seismic forward modelling results for the 3D geological model without (Fig. 5A) and with (Fig. 6) the hypothetical ore bodies are displayed in Figure 7. Seismic forward modelling was conducted with the real crooked-line survey geometry of OKU1. The modelled shot gathers were then processed with the same processing sequence as used to obtain the stacked section of the real OKU1, as applicable for the synthetic data. As seen in Figure 7C, which presents the residual response of the ore bodies only (derived by subtracting the stack obtained without the hypothetical ore bodies shown in Fig. 7A from the stack obtained with the ore bodies shown in Fig. 7B), diffractions are produced from all the hypothetical ore bodies. The strongest response is from the ore body placed in contact between serpentinite and quartz rocks (ORE4 in Figs. 6, 7C and 7D), as expected based on the acoustic impedance contrasts shown in Figure 4. However, comparison of the stacks presented in Figures 7A and 7B indicates that the diffractions produced by the ore bodies are not easily distinguishable from the other reflective contacts within the Outokumpu assemblage, and the amplitude envelope image in Figure 7D shows that while all the hypothetical ore bodies within the Outokumpu assemblage rocks are associated with high-amplitude anomalies, there are also other high-amplitude anomalies. At least some of these appear to be associated with crooked-line effects (see Fig. 9 and discussion below). Therefore, any high-amplitude anomalies need to be interpreted with care.

Migrated sections of the stacks displayed in Figure 7 are presented in Figure 8. The migrated response from the ore is even more difficult to separate from the other reflective contacts within the Outokumpu assemblage than the response seen in the stacks.

To test the effect of the crooked-line survey geometry and processing on the visibility of the ore bodies, seismic forward modelling was conducted for shot gathers with the real crooked-line survey geometry of OKU1 (results presented in Figs. 5 to 8), as well as for shot gathers along a straight survey line approximating the survey geometry of OKU1, for a part of the geological model near the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole (the part of the model indicated in Fig. 5A with the smaller red rectangle). The modelled shot gathers were processed with the same processing sequence as used to obtain the stacked section of the real OKU1, as applicable for the synthetic data. As seen in Figure 9, diffractions from the hypothetical ore bodies, labelled as ORE3 and ORE4 (Fig. 6), are visible in both stacked sections (Figs. 9A, 9B and 9C for straight survey geometry and Figs. 9D, 9E and 9F for crooked-line survey geometry). This can be seen most clearly in the residual response of the ore bodies in Figures 9C and 9F, for the straight and crooked survey line, respectively, derived by subtracting the ore-free stacks (Figs. 9A and 9D) from the ore-containing stacks (Figs. 9B and 9E). The residual response is similar for both stacks. This indicates that the seismic signature of the ore bodies is preserved in the crooked-line processing. However, it should be noted that the diffractions observed in Figures 9D, 9E and 9F for the crooked-line survey geometry are smeared and less clear when compared to the diffractions observed in Figures 9A, 9B and 9C for the straight survey geometry, and that especially the shallow parts of the geological model (Outokumpu assemblage rocks near the surface based on the cross-sections by Koistinen (1981) in Fig. 5A) produce a more detailed response with the straight survey geometry. Furthermore, the crooked-line survey geometry and/or processing produces features that are not present in the stacks obtained for the straight survey geometry. For example, note the events marked by Rx in the stack obtained for the crooked-line survey geometry in Figure 9D. These events are not present in the stack obtained for the straight survey geometry (Fig. 9A). Such differences indicate that the crooked-line survey geometry and processing may neglect shallow signals and yield artefacts, and that the results therefore need to be approached with care (a subject for future research).
Fig. 8. A) A migrated synthetic stack (stack shown in Fig. 7A) without ore bodies. B) A migrated synthetic stack (stack shown in Fig. 7B) with hypothetical ore bodies. C) The residual response of the ore derived by subtracting the migrated section in Figure 8A from the migrated section in Figure 8B. The residual signals produced by the ore bodies (Fig. 6) are identified with labels ORE1–ORE4.
Fig. 9. A) A synthetic stack obtained with straight-line survey geometry following the dashed straight line shown in yellow in Figure 1 (i.e., the location of the cross section presented in Fig. 5A) for the geological model in Figure 5A (without the ore bodies). The part of the geological model presented in this set of figures is indicated with the smaller red rectangle in Figure 5A. B) A synthetic stack obtained with straight-line survey geometry approximating the real geometry of OKU1 for the geological model presented in Figure 6 (with the ore bodies). C) The residual response of the ore derived by subtracting the stack in Figure 9A from the stack in Figure 9B. The signals produced by the ore bodies numbered in Figure 6 have been identified with labels ORE3 and ORE4. D) A synthetic stack obtained with the real crooked-line survey geometry of OKU1 for the geological model presented in Figure 5A (without the ore bodies). E) A synthetic stack obtained with the real crooked-line survey geometry of OKU1 for the geological model presented in Figure 6 (with the ore bodies). F) The residual response of the ore derived by subtracting the stack in Figure 9D from the stack in Figure 9E.
Seismic response of the near-vertical Kylylahti formation

Currently, the Kylylahti mine run by Boliden is the only operational sulphide mine in the Outokumpu area. At Kylylahti (as also elsewhere in the Outokumpu area), the Outokumpu assemblage rocks hosting the ore show multiple phases of deformation with strong foliations, faulting and folding. The Cu–Co–Zn–Ni–Ag–Au mineralization is located in a tight synformal fold along the near-vertical eastern limb of the Kylylahti formation (Fig. 10). The Kylylahti deposit comprises three north-northeast elongated semi-massive–massive sulphide lenses along the contact of the carbonate–skarn–quartz rocks and the black schists (Fig. 10). The total length of the mineralized zone is about 1.5 km. Whether the deposit continues to greater depths towards the south is not yet known. The deepest and largest one of the subvertically oriented ore lenses has a maximum height of approximately 150 m, and a thickness ranging between 5 and 60 m. Our geological model for the Kylylahti deep ore body (Fig. 10) is based on a geological cross section presented in Kontinen et al. (2006). In the seismic forward modelling, the east-west section is for simplicity assumed to keep its geometry constant in the north-south direction. The petrophysical values used in the seismic forward modeling were the same as used for modeling along the OKU1 profile (Fig. 4).

In Figures 11 and 12 snapshots of the P-wave field (divergence of the particle velocity field) are shown for a shot located at the surface and for another located in a borehole at the depth of 500 m, respectively, for the Kylylahti geological model (presented in Fig. 10). Also shown in Figures 11 and 12 are residual wave fields obtained by extracting the wave field of a geological model without the ore from the wave field of the geological model with the ore presented in Figure 10. In the case
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Fig. 10. The geological model used for the Kylylahti seismic forward modeling (modified from Fig. 74 in Kontinen et al. 2006). The location of the cross section is indicated on the geological map of Figure 1.
of the surface shot (Fig. 11), most of the scattered energy is directed downwards through the ore body and only a minor part is scattered to the sides. Almost no energy is scattered upwards back to surface. In this case, there is no recordable response of the ore at the surface and only a weak response in borehole receivers. This illustrates well the difficulty in observing near-vertical contacts from surface seismic data. In the case of a borehole shot (Fig. 12), clear P-waves are scattered back from the ore towards to the shot location and would be recordable with borehole receivers.

Fig. 11. (A) Snapshots for a surface shot at t ~ 0.097 s, for the full wave field obtained from the geological model presented in Figure 10 and the residual wave field obtained by extracting the wave field of a geological model without the ore from the wave field of the geological model with the ore shown in Figure 10 (i.e., residual response of the ore). (B) Snapshots for a surface shot at t ~ 0.131 s. The shot point location is indicated by a red star.
Fig. 12. (A) Snapshots for a borehole shot at $t \sim 0.079$ s, for the full wave field obtained from the geological model presented in Figure 10 and the residual wave field obtained by extracting the wave field of a geological model without the ore from the wave field of the geological model with the ore shown in Figure 10 (i.e., residual response of the ore). (B) Snapshots for a borehole shot at $t \sim 0.107$ s. The shot point location in the borehole is indicated by a red star.
Effect of petrophysical variation on the seismic response of the Outokumpu assemblage and Outokumpu-type sulphide deposits

Reliable knowledge on the densities and seismic velocities is crucial for successful seismic forward modeling. The gamma-gamma density and sonic logging data from the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole (Heinonen et al. 2011) provide a good basis for modeling along OKU1. Gravimetric density measurements are available for samples across the Outokumpu area (Leväniemi, this volume), however, in addition to the sonic logging data from the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole, only some laboratory measurements of P-wave velocities for samples from the Saarivaara area (Fig. 1) were available for this work, including mainly data for a Proterozoic supracrustal sequence on the top the Archaean basement underlying the Outokumpu allochthon (Kontinen & Säävuori 2013). Thus, we have also used the densities and P-wave velocities of the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole for the Kylylahti seismic forward modelling.

However, based on the available petrophysical data across the Outokumpu area, i.e., in particular the density measurements (Leväniemi, this volume), it is clear that the petrophysical characteristics of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks and Outokumpu-type sulphide mineralizations vary, and consequently are expected to affect the seismic response. In particular, the relative amplitudes produced by the internal contacts within the Outokumpu assemblage are likely to vary across the area. Furthermore, if the density and seismic velocity properties of the Outokumpu-type ores are assumed approximately the same across the study area, then the implied higher density values of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks in the Kylylahti mine area (Leväniemi, this volume) in the east decrease the acoustic impedance contrasts between the Outokumpu assemblage rocks and the ore bodies when compared to the western part of the Outokumpu area (where the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole is located and where the parameters for the modeling work presented here thus come from). The contrasts should still be enough to cause detectable reflected signals. However, as also the composition of the sulphide mineralizations (in particular, with changing contents of pyrite and pyrrhotite, which are characterized with high and low seismic velocities, respectively) varies across the Outokumpu area, the relative strengths of the expected signals need to be further investigated. Currently there are simply not enough density, and especially seismic velocity measurements, to really understand the detailed variation in the seismic signature of the Outokumpu assemblage and the Outokumpu-type sulphide mineralizations across the whole area. Such measurements are crucial for the success of any future project focusing on seismic exploration in the Outokumpu area.

THE CAUSE OF REFLECTIVITY ALONG SEISMIC REFLECTION PROFILE V7

A major problem with seismic reflection surveys in areas of crystalline bedrock is the complexity of the structures, which can only be properly imaged by using expensive 3D acquisition geometry. However, because of the tyranny of costs, in most cases we have to be satisfied with 2D reflection surveys. The location and orientation of a reflector observed in a seismic section acquired along a 2D profile can only be determined with respect to the profile: all structures that are cylindrically symmetrical with respect to the profile will give a similar seismic image. To estimate the true attitude of a reflector seen in a 2D section, we need additional information. If two crossing survey line image the same reflector, the true dip and strike can be determined. Unfortunately, this can only be applied in the vicinity of the crossing point; quite often, the reflectors cannot reliably be continued very far. The second possibility arises if the survey line is suitably crooked (Nedimovic and West 2003a, 2003b, Schmelzbach et al. 2007). Then, the lateral spread of the source–receiver midpoints can potentially be used to estimate the cross-dip of a reflector. The cross-dip here is defined as the angle of the reflector from the horizontal in the plane perpendicular to the seismic profile. The third option is to utilize the information provided by geological mapping or drilling, which is what was used to analyse the origin of the reflectivity patterns observed along the seismic reflection profile V7.

Figure 13 presents the migrated and depth-converted section of the seismic reflection profile V7 (see Fig. 1 for the location), displaying two groups of cross-cutting reflected signals with different
or-orientations at the northwestern end of the pro-
file. The uppermost reflection events of these two
groups are marked with A and B in Figure 13. The
reflection event marked with A, and the parallel
events beneath it, is dipping to the southeast
(called group A). The reflection event marked with
B, and the parallel events beneath it, is more or
less horizontal (called group B). The interpreted
orientations of the reflecting boundaries along the
seismic reflection section are of course apparent,
because all structures that are cylindrically sym-
metrical with respect to a 2D profile give similar
seismic images.

There are no deep holes that would provide us
with a possibility to correlate the subhorizontal re-
fection events of group B with geology. However,
the dipping reflection events of group A can be
correlated with the surface geology. Slightly fur-
ther to the northwest from the end of the seismic
reflection profile V7, the mica schists of the Ou-
tokumpu allochthon change into granite gneiss of the Archaean basement on the surface geological
map (Fig. 1) approximately where the reflection
event marked by A in Figure 13 is expected to reach
the surface. If we assume that the cross-dip of re-
lection event A is about 20 to the northeast, the
calculated projection on the surface (shown with
a red dashed line in the Saarivaara area in Fig. 1)
coincides quite closely with the boundary between
the Kaledian schists and Archaean basement in-
dicated on the geological map to the northwest of
the profile V7. With this orientation, the true dip of
the reflecting boundary is 32, which is close to the
dip value of 30 estimated by Kontinen & Säävuori
(2013) for a supracrustal sequence on top of the Ar-
chaean basement rocks (see more below).

Fitting geometry alone is not enough for the in-
terpretation of seismic results. The suggested re-
fection structures should also have large enough
acoustic impedance contrasts with their host rocks,
and in this case, particularly the internally re-
fective nature of the reflective groups A and B needs
to be explained. The observed reflectivity of group
A can be understood in the light of the lithological
and petrophysical parameters determined by Kon-
tinen & Säävuori (2013) for samples from two shal-
low boreholes at Itikkapöksynkangas in the Saariv-
aara area (Fig. 1). The holes were drilled to dissect
the contact between the Archaean basement and the
Outokumpu allochthon to the northwest of the northwestern end of seismic reflection profile
V7 (Fig. 1). Instead of the expected sharp bound-
ary between the Archaean basement and the Outo-
kumpu allochthon, Kontinen & Säävuori (2013)
found at least 300-m-thick southeast-dipping Proterozoic supracrustal sequence, composed of
intercalated amphibolite, black schist, mica schist,
quartzite and arkosite mica-quartzite. Within this
so-called Heinä-Sukkula sequence, the layer thick-
nesses vary from metres to tens of metres, with
sharp contacts between the individual pervasively
schistose rock layers. Densities vary from 2.45 to
3.18 g/cm³ and P-wave velocities from 3.2 to 7.2 km/s
(determined by laboratory measurements of drill
core samples). By taking into account the sharpness of the contacts one can expect strong reflectivity that could be furthermore enhanced by constructive interference produced by layering within the sequence. Thus, it can be concluded that the dipping reflectors of group A image the Heinä-Sukkula sequence between the Archaean basement and the Outokumpu allochthon. However, as the Heinä–Sukkula sequence has been estimated to be at most about 400–500 m thick, while the reflective package of group A in Figure 13 is over 1 km thick, Kontinen & Säävuori (2013) propose that the lowermost reflectors of the package could be the relatively high density amphibolite layers in the strongly foliated, relatively low density granodiorite gneisses of the Archaean basement below the supracrustal Heinä–Sukkula sequence.

However, the reflectors of group B are not necessarily explained by the Heinä–Sukkula sequence and Archaean basement rocks. If we assume that reflector B and the parallel reflectors beneath it have no cross-dip, then the areas illuminated by groups A and B are clearly different, and the reflectors of group B appear to be located above the reflectors of group A (Fig. 14). This leaves space for speculation that the reflectors of group B could be Outokumpu assemblage rocks instead of the Archaean basement and Proterozoic cover as has been interpreted by Kukkonen et al. (2012) and Saallmann & Laine (2014).

**IMPLICATIONS FOR SEISMIC ORE EXPLORATION IN THE OUTOKUMPU AREA**

The lithological contacts within the Outokumpu assemblage are reflective (Figs. 4 and 5) and produce internally reflective packages in the seismic reflection sections (Fig. 5). Units of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks are characterized by multiple phases of deformation and consequently associated with short reflector segments. This is especially noticeable in the stacked sections as numerous diffraction hyperbolas (Fig. 5) that are caused by the short segments and disruptions of the reflectors. In addition to the Outokumpu assemblage rocks, Archaean basement rocks and the overlying supra-
crustal Heinä–Sukkula sequence are also characterized by internally strongly reflective packages in the Outokumpu seismic reflection sections (Fig. 13). However, the piecewise reflectivity characteristics of the known units of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks is somewhat different from the more continuous southeast dipping reflectors that have been interpreted as the supracrustal Heinä–Sukkula sequence and Archaean basement rocks at the northwestern end of seismic reflection profile V7 (Fig. 13). Thus, in addition to the spatial relationships between different internally reflective units, the units of the Archaean basement and supracrustal rocks can potentially be separated from units of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks based on their different reflectivity characteristics.

In our seismic forward modelling example from the Kylylahti area, the near-vertical orientation of the Outokumpu assemblage causes surface seismic data to have only a weak response from the Outokumpu assemblage (Figs. 11 and 15). However, the real seismic reflection sections across the Kylylahti area show continued reflectivity at depth below the known depth extent of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks (an example from seismic reflection profile E1 is presented in Fig. 15), which indicates continuation of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks at depth (see also Heinonen et al. 2016). To image the near-vertical parts of the Kylylahti formation, borehole data acquisition is required (Fig. 12). To ensure the most optimal survey geometry of any future campaign, the best way forward would be to use forward modelling with a realistic 3D geological model of the area to test different data acquisition strategies.

As discussed earlier, the petrophysical variation across the Outokumpu area (Leväniemi, this volume) indicates that the reflectivity characteristics of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks and the Outokumpu-type ores change across the region. However, as representative seismic velocity measurements are currently only available from the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole, and no seismic velocity measurements exist for the Outokumpu-type ores at all, in particular new seismic velocity measurements, in addition to density measurements, are critical for better understanding of the seismic signature of the Outokumpu assemblage and the Outokumpu-type ores across the area. Nevertheless, the available petrophysical data indicate that the petrophysical characteristics of mica schists remain relatively stable across the area, and there should always be sufficient contrast between the mica schists and Outokumpu assemblage rocks to cause a reflected signal. However, the relative strengths of the reflected signals from internal contacts within the Outokumpu assemblage might vary. In addition, the Outokumpu-type semi–massive to massive sulphide ores should always have a sufficient acoustic impedance contrast with the Outokumpu assemblage rocks to produce a detectable reflection, or more likely a diffraction signal; however, these signals could easily be masked by other reflective contacts within the Outokumpu assemblage (Fig. 7).

Even if strictly only applicable in this particular case, the seismic forward modelling results indicate that the crooked-line survey geometry and processing can preserve direct signals from the ore deposits (Fig. 9). However, the crooked-line survey geometry and processing may contribute to the loss of shallow signals and cause artefacts, and need to be approached with care. Specifically, the crooked-line effects might cause high-amplitude anomalies comparable to those caused by the ores (Fig. 7D).
Kukkonen et al. (2012) and Saalmann & Laine (2014) have interpreted both the southeast dipping and the more horizontal reflection packages at the northwestern end of seismic reflection profile V7 (uppermost reflection events of these two packages marked with A and B, respectively, in Fig. 13) as one unit corresponding to the Archaean basement and Proterozoic supracrustal rocks. Our example from profile V7 illustrates the importance of taking into account the 3D effects when interpreting 2D seismic profiles. In particular, it is possible that the more horizontal reflectivity at the northwestern end of profile V7 is actually associated with yet another unit of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks; this unit is spatially separate from the southeast dipping reflectors.

CONCLUSIONS

The Outokumpu assemblage rocks, and black schist enveloping them, form internally strongly reflective packages within the mica schist, typically characterized by numerous diffraction hyperbolas in the stacked sections. The reflectivity characteristics can be used to target the Outokumpu assemblage rocks at depth, and the seismic reflection data provide a good basis for setting regional exploration targets in the Outokumpu area, in particular in combination with other geophysical and geological study approaches (e.g., see Leväniemi, this volume and Lahti et al., this volume).

New seismic velocity measurements, as well as more and better constrained density data, are required to investigate the seismic response of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks across the Outokumpu area, and in particular the Outokumpu-type sulphide mineralizations, in more detail. Nevertheless, based on the results of this study, it seems possible that the Outokumpu-type sulphide mineralizations could even be directly observed as high-amplitude anomalies in the seismic reflection sections, if the dimensions and orientation are optimal. A careful crooked-line data processing sequence should enable the preservation of direct signals, if they are present in the data, except for very shallow signals that are more likely to be distorted by the crooked-line effects. The crooked-line effects can also potentially produce high-amplitude anomalies, and care is required when interpreting the high-amplitude anomalies in the light of ore exploration.

For surface seismic data acquisition, as expected, near-vertically orientated formations, such as that of the Kylylahti deposit, are problematic. Seismic forward modelling is an excellent tool to test and improve 3D geological models, and also for optimally planning new surveys if an initial geological model of the target area is available. The Outokumpu area has a complex geology, but numerous geological models are available. Thus, any future seismic endeavours should already resort to seismic forward modelling in the planning stage of the seismic surveys to ensure optimal data acquisition.

When interpreting 2D seismic reflection profiles, 3D effects must be taken into account. In particular, our results indicate that the reflectivity previously interpreted as one unit at the northwestern end of seismic reflection profile V7 is probably caused by two spatially separated units, one dipping towards the southeast and one with a more horizontal orientation. While the southeast dipping reflective package has been confirmed to represent Archaean basement with a supracrustal Heinä-Suukkula sequence (Kontinen & Säävuori 2013), the more horizontal reflection events could even be associated with Outokumpu assemblage rocks located above the southeast dipping Archaean basement and supracrustal rocks.
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ZTEM SURVEY IN THE OUTOKUMPU REGION

by

Maija Kurimo1), Ilkka Lahti1) and Maarit Nousiainen2)


Geotech Ltd. carried out a helicopter-borne geophysical survey for the Geological Survey of Finland over the Outokumpu Mining Camp area in 2013. Geophysical sensors included a Z-axis tipper electromagnetic (ZTEM) system, and a caesium magnetometer. A total of 1250 line-kilometers of geophysical data were acquired during the survey. The line spacings were 2 km, 1 km and 500 m.

The delivered survey results included in- and cross-line ZTEM tipper components at six frequencies (25, 37, 75, 150, 300 and 600 Hz), total magnetic intensity data, and various derivatives of ZTEM tipper data. 2D inversion tests over selected lines were performed by the contractor in support of the ZTEM survey results. Additional inversions were purchased from the contractor after the survey: 2D inversions from all survey lines, 3D inversion with a large cell size from the whole survey area, and high resolution 3D inversion from the area with 500 m line spacing.

Here, we present an overview of the ZTEM survey and compare the delivered inversion results. Although the results are generally in good agreement, the 3D inversion model with the finest mesh yields the best results. The inversion models are used in other papers of this volume.
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INTRODUCTION

The ZTEM or Z–Axis Tipper Electromagnetic system is a variant of the electromagnetic (EM) airborne tipper AFMAG method (Ward 1959) for studying the electrical conductivity of bedrock in the depth range of 0 – 2 km. The method uses the natural or passive fields of the Earth as the source of transmitted energy. The naturally occurring audio frequency magnetic fields are used as the source of the primary field signal. The primary field is usually ~ 1 Hz – 1 kHz, derived from worldwide atmospheric thunderstorm activity, and propagates vertically up to several kilometers into the earth. This magneto-telluric (MT) skin depth is directly proportional to the ratio of the bedrock resistivity to the frequency. (Sattel & Witherly 2012a,b, Legault et al. 2009a,b, Holtham & Oldenburg 2010, 2012, Geotech technical reports and presentations).

In ZTEM system, the vertical magnetic field component ($H_z$) is recorded by a sensor mounted on a bird towed by a helicopter (Fig. 1). The vertical magnetic field component ($H_z$) is caused by lateral conductivity contrasts in the Earth. The ZTEM base station deployed in this survey consisted of three orthogonal coils. The fields measured by these coils provide horizontal X and Y components of the magnetic reference field, which is further used with the airborne coil data to calculate the in–line and cross–line tipper component ($T_{zx}$ and $T_{zy}$) field data.

The aim of the ZTEM survey was especially to test the capability of the ZTEM system in deep exploration. A challenge was to test how well very narrow geological units could be verified from ZTEM inversion results.

SURVEY

The survey was planned to cover the Outokumpu and Miihkali formations to support the Developing Mining Camp Exploration Concepts and Technologies – Brownfield Exploration project in deep exploration targeting. The survey was funded by Geological Survey of Finland (GTK). It was regarded as useful to cover the existing deep seismic survey lines (HIRE and FIRE) in the area for comparison purposes. The survey line plan (Fig. 2) was a difficult compromise between available resources and the need for high-quality spatial information. Altogether, 1250 line kilometers...
were flown during the survey. The central area was flown with 500 m line spacing, and elsewhere with 1 km spacing, while the furthermost lines had line spacing of even 2 km. The line direction was 140 degrees, roughly SE-NW. It was understood that cultural noise could have a marked influence on the results, as two major and several minor power lines cross the area, and many other sources of electromagnetic disturbance also exist, such as industrial plants, active mines and Outokumpu town.

The helicopter flights were conducted in June 2013 during six active days. The survey was operated by Geotech Ltd. Joensuu airport acted as the main base for the helicopter, and the base station was situated inside the survey area, in a remote, uninhabited and electrically quiet location. The base station measured the horizontal X and Y magnetic components of the electromagnetic field, and this reference field was further utilized in data processing. In-field data quality assurance and preliminary processing were carried out on a daily basis during the data acquisition phase. Preliminary and final data processing, including the generation of the final digital data and map products, were undertaken from the office of Geotech Ltd. in Canada. In general, the data quality was good, as well as the ZTEM responses. The quality also depended on the primary signal recorded at the base station, which during the survey was strong. For this reason, it was possible to record 300 Hz and 600 Hz data during all flights, which could not be guaranteed beforehand.

GTK representatives received the raw data almost daily for quality control (QC) purposes and paid a visit to the survey area and the field and base stations. The operator was very helpful in providing ample information on the survey methodology and progress. The survey process was described in detail in the Technical Report (Geotech 2013a).
SURVEY DATA

The survey data included real (in-phase) and imaginary (quadrature) parts of the tipper transfer functions both in-line (Tzx) and cross-line (Tzy) at six frequencies (25, 37, 75, 150, 300 and 600 Hz) totaling 24 electromagnetic data components. The data were processed using receiver and base station coil data, corrected for the local magnetic field declination and filtered for power line monitor (PLM) and helicopter noise frequencies. The final data set included all tipper components, coordinates, elevation and terrain clearance information, total magnetic field with base station data and IGRF and Power Line Monitor (PLM) recordings (50 Hz).

The calculated EM grids provided by Geotech Ltd. were Total Divergence (DT, sum of horizontal derivatives) and Total Phase-Rotation (TPR, changes bipolar anomalies into single pole anomalies with a maximum over conductors) of all components, totaling 48 grids. The varying flight line plan caused variation in the resolution of the grids, which were interpolated with 150 m cell size.

The average terrain clearance of the helicopter ZTEM receiver coil was 95 m with standard deviation of 10 m, and due to rather windy conditions, its horizontal position and angle somewhat varied. The attitudinal position of the coil was recorded by 3 GPS antennas for internal QC and attitude correction.

The locations of the power lines were available digitally. It was noted that the PLM revealed well the main power line (110 kV transmission line) crossing the area from about SEE to NWW. The other regional power line, with a direction almost N–S in the middle of the area, was mostly recognized by PLM, but not always. According to the information from Geotech, the effect of the power line on the ZTEM results was surprisingly minor, as power lines could easily be modelled in the inversions. The reason was unclear, but might be connected with the well-grounded and precise 50 Hz frequency of the regional power line utility grid and the power lines not being major, but only 110 kV.

2D INVERSION

Prior to the inversion, the optimal resistivity for the initial model was studied. This was done by performing several 2D inversions using a broad range of initial half-space resistivities along the few selected lines. Values from 500 to 8000 Ωm were tested, and according to the input error calculation (Geotech 2013b) and discussions, the 2000 Ωm value was chosen, as this value yielded to lowest RMS misfit values.

After examination of the example inversions, the whole-data 2D inversions were performed by Geotech using Av2dtope software. The 2D inversion uses only the in-line component Tzx whereas the 3D inversion utilizes both Tzx and the cross-line components Tzy. Flight lines were divided into two or three parts in which the inversions were performed. Each model mesh consisted of 440 cells laterally and 112 cells vertically, and the cell size consequently varied slightly depending on line length. The typical cell size was 20 – 30 meters.

3D INVERSIONS: WHOLE AREA

The start value test was now run for the whole area using 3D inversion software and a 1 km cell size. The tested resistivities were 1000, 2000 and 4000 Ωm (Fig. 3). Again, the start value had an effect on the model depth, and the most resistive start value gave the deepest and most resistive model. According to the previous study, the same initial resistivity value, 2000 Ωm, was chosen. Due to the large survey area and the limited cell number (max 80 x 80 x 80) of the inversion code, to achieve better resolution, the whole survey area was divided into 8 smaller overlapping sub-blocks using the method described by Holtham and Oldenburg (2012). The mesh cell size of the sub-blocks was 250 x 300 x 50 m and the initial resistivity value was 2000 Ω. The sub-block inversion models were merged together using the Geosoft Oasis Merge-Voxel function. The resistivity value range for the model was 100 – 40 000 Ωm.

The 3D inversion model was congruent with the known geology in the middle area of 500 m line spacing, but was sometimes inconsistent in the
area of 1–2 km line spacing. The lithology of the boreholes is described in the GEOMEX database (Anonymous 2006), which is a result of a large research project GEOMEX in 1999–2003 in the Outokumpu area (Kontinen et al. 2006). Like the 2D inversion, all 3D inversions were performed by Geotech.

**3D INVERSION: FINE MESH IN THE CENTRAL AREA**

A sub-area was selected for detailed inversion in order to obtain a better understanding of 3D inversion possibilities and to try to enhance the resolution of the results (Fig. 4). The 3D inversion was carried out using a much finer mesh: a cell size of 50m along line, 50m vertically and 250m across the lines. The selected area had been flown with 500m line spacing. The cell size effect was tested, and with this line spacing and geology it was noted that the smaller cross-line cell size of 125m did improve the results slightly. In this area, the wider model resistivity range between 1 and 100 000 Ωm was utilized.
Fig. 4. Fine mesh and normal 3D resistivity inversions: Resistivity at the depth of 500 m. The fine mesh inversion area is outlined by a black line. Both resistivity grids have the same colour scale, but the fine mesh 3D inversion results are presented in brighter colours than the result of the normal 3D inversion. Grey lines are the flight lines. Lines 1106 and 1130 (highlighted in blue) and boreholes OKU-754, OKU-755 and OKU-759 are used in the comparison of the inversions. Contains data from the National Land Survey of Finland Topographic Database 03/2013.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS: COMPARISONS IN THE OUTOKUMPU SURVEY AREA

Figure 5 compares the three different inversions along line L1106, whose location is presented in the Figure 4. The topmost panel displays 3D inversion with a 50 m cell size, the middle panel 3D inversion with a 250 m cell size and the lowest panel 2D inversion. As mentioned above, the 3D inversion with a 50 m cell size was a test and is only available for a subarea of the whole Outokumpu study area.

The location of the conductors is rather similar in all inversions, but the depth extent varies considerably. Conductive zones are notably larger in 2D inversion than either of the 3D inversions, i.e. the resolution is better in 3D inversion than in 2D inversion. The 2D inversion combines some conductors that are seen as separate bodies in the 3D inversions.
Figure 6 presents a detail from line L1106. As one would assume, the 3D inversion with the smallest cell size shows the most detail. The 3D inversion with a larger cell size also has the conductor on the left side, but there is no sign of the near surface conductor on the right side. The 2D inversion has no resolution power in this area. Comparison with drillholes illustrates the different scales of inversions and lithology. Whereas inversions mostly show large and round conductive areas, the real lithology is complex and full of details (Anonymous 2006). The boreholes in the figure are located about 140 m to the NE of the flight line and they do not therefore represent the exact geology under the flight line. In general, blackschists and sulphides have low resistivity and can thus be interpreted as conductors in this type of data. The lowest resistivities of the blackschists in the main Outokumpu zone and Miinkali area are of the magnitude of $10^{-2}$–$10^{-3}$ Ωm but not all the blackschists are conductive (Lehtonen 1981).

Figure 7 compares inversion results on line L1130, whose location is also presented in the Figure 4. At location N697 0000, the 3D inversion with a 250 m cell size shows barely anything conductive, whereas the 3D inversion with a 50 m cell size and the 2D inversion display a large and deep conductor. The resolution of 2D inversion is again poorer than in 3D inversions. The observed differences could be also partly explained by the wider allowed resistivity ranges that were used in 2D and high resolution 3D inversions (50 m cell size).

The 3D inversion report by Geotech compares the 2D and 3D inversions on lines L1070 and L1106. This comparison also states that 3D resistivity inversion has a better resolution than 2D inversion. The report additionally includes a list of 10 interpreted conductive targets of interest. Six of these are discussed in detail in the report. In this report Geotech finds it unlikely that ZTEM would respond directly to Outokumpu deposits, but it images the black shale and serpentinite units and possibly the surrounding hydrothermal mineralized halo.
Fig. 6. A detailed view from profile L1106. The upper row presents 3D inversion slices with a 50 m cell size on the left, 3D inversion with a 250 m cell size in the middle and 2D inversion on the right. The lower row presents three drillholes and their lithology about 140 m to the NE of the flight line. Black schists (purple) and sulphides (red) are usually conductive. The view is to SW and all inversions have the same colour scale. Northings 696 7200 and 696 7400 are marked as thick black lines in all images to help comparing the locations of the images. Boreholes are from the GEOMEX database (Anonymous 2006).
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PETROPHYSICAL PARAMETERS AND POTENTIAL FIELD MODELLING IN THE OUTOKUMPU BELT

by

Hanna Leväniemi


The Outokumpu and Miihkali regions have been extensively covered by drilling and geophysical ground surveys. The work presented in this paper aimed at defining suitable parameters and methods for modelling the responses of deep-set bedrock features in gravity and magnetic (i.e. potential field) datasets in the Outokumpu region in order to study the depth ranges, dimensions and characteristics of the anomaly sources. The first part of this paper considers the typical petrophysical parameters for the various Outokumpu region rocks from both outcrop and drill core sample data and the second part illustrates the application of these parameters with modelling examples (with emphasis on gravity data).

Results from 2D/3D forward modelling and inversion demonstrate that in the study region, gravity modelling is a more easily controlled process than magnetic modelling due to high amount of remanent magnetization, the parameters of which vary locally and are mostly only known on a general level. However, lithological units also show petrophysical heterogeneity, and changes in metamorphic grade, in particular, can significantly affect the densities within a certain rock class; in order to understand the density distributions, it is also necessary to include a spatial aspect in the petrophysical data classification and analysis. Modelling examples show that with the careful application of geological and geophysical constraints, potential field modelling can detect sources at depths of several kilometres.
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INTRODUCTION

Geophysical modelling and inversion is by nature ambiguous: for any given observed dataset, there are countless combinations of source geometries and properties that result in the observed anomalies. Thus, in order to produce geologically realistic models from geophysical data, knowledge of the dimensions and geometries of geological formations, and also their physical properties, is crucial for delimiting the degrees of freedom and for constraining and guiding the modelling towards the most realistic solution.

This study aims at discovering suitable model constraints, modelling parameter values and modelling methods in order to extend the detection depth ranges of potential field models (with emphasis on gravity data) for the Outokumpu Belt and its surroundings (Fig. 1). The first part of the study focuses on re-evaluating the existing petrophysical outcrop and drill core sample data. In the second part the petrophysical data is applied to modelling and inversion to study the potential for gravity and magnetic models to detect anomaly sources of different dimensions and at various depths.

An essential aspect in potential field modelling is the use of realistic petrophysical parameter values. Most recent petrophysical study in the Outokumpu region is the paper by Airo et al. (2011) that presents the petrophysical data from the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole core samples. Petrophysical modelling parameter values “typical of the region” are listed in several reports, but they show some variation (Ahokas 1984, Ketola 1973, Ketola 1974, Ruotoistenmäki & Tervo 2006, Pekkarinen & Rekola 1994), most likely reflecting the modelling purposes and increase in the amount of data and regional coverage during the decades of exploration. The two previous comprehensive key documentations concentrating on petrophysical data are the detailed statistical approach of Lehtonen (1981), which uses lithological classification as a starting point, and the study by Ruotoistenmäki & Tervo (2006) based on petrophysical clustering. Although both of these works offer useful insights into the physical properties of the rocks in the study region, the statistical analysis according to rock classes of Lehtonen (1981) is the closer of these two to the purpose of this study. However, since Lehtonen’s work, more data and also knowledge of the spatial variation in the properties has become available, and it is therefore appropriate at this point to update and complement his work.

Several extensive geological studies have been published in the Outokumpu region (e.g. Gaál et al. 1975, Koistinen 1981, Kontinen et al. 2006), but, although potential field data have been collected and modelled for the Outokumpu Belt throughout its exploration history, the previously documented modelling cases appear mostly scattered in various reports and unpublished papers. Ketola (1979) summarized the geophysical studies of the time on the Vuonos and Saramäki deposits: potential field modelling played an important role in exploration by Outokumpu Oy, albeit more as an indirect exploration tool. The gravimetric modelling that revealed the existence of the deep–plunging extension of the Outokumpu Belt between Vuonos and Kylylahti (“Papan putki”) is extensively covered by Ahokas (1984) and discussed by Rekola & Hattula (1995) and Outokumpu News (1982).

The potential field datasets used in the examples of this study comprise ground gravity and magnetic data (by Outokumpu Oy) and various regional datasets. Four new gravimetric profiles were also measured as part of this study to complement the existing ground datasets.

Several reflection seismic profiles have been acquired in the study region during 2002–2008 in the FIRE and HIRE projects (Kukkonen & Lahtinen 2006, Kukkonen et al. 2011, Kukkonen et al. 2012). These seismic profiles provide a natural starting point for potential field modelling of deep–set sources. We discuss the possibilities and challenges on forward modelling of gravity data along the extended V7 and V8 seismic profiles. Deposit–scale modelling examples examine the application of the determined petrophysical parameters at the ends of the Outokumpu Belt, the Keretti and Kylylahti mining sites. The source detection depth range for gravity modelling is investigated with a synthetic example similar to the Kylylahti formation.
GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Outokumpu Belt, part of the Northern Karelian Schist Belt (NKSBN), is located in Eastern Finland, close to the suture between the Archean gneissic–granitoid basement domain in the northeast and the Palaeoproterozoic Svecofennian domain in the southwest (Fig. 1). The Outokumpu area within the NSKB has been one of the most important mining districts in Finland, the main target having been the Outokumpu-type semi–massive–massive Co–Cu–Zn sulphides. The sulphide ores are hosted by the “Outokumpu assemblage” or “Outokumpu association” rocks, a rock assemblage comprising carbonate, skarn and quartz rocks appearing as folded and faulted fringes to massive serpentinitised peridotite bodies (Gaál et al. 1975, Gaál & Parkkinen 1993, Peltonen et al. 2008). The Outokumpu assemblage rocks are hosted by allochthonous, metaturbiditic Kaleva greywackes (“mica schists”) with intercalations of graphitic shales (“black schists”), in a nappe complex that rests upon the Archean gneiss granitoid basement. A sheet of Jatulian quartzites and arkoses occurs northwest of the Miihkali area in between the Archean basement and overlying Kalevian strata. The quartzite sheet is intruded by

Fig. 1. Generalised bedrock map of the Outokumpu region. Modified from Bedrock of Finland − DigiKP. Contains data from the National Land Survey of Finland Topographic Database 03/2013.
ultramafic–mafic sills up to hundreds of metres thick that are also common in the Archean basement close to the Jatuli–Archean interface. West of the Outokumpu Belt, the Archean basement is intruded by the 1.86 Ga Maarianvaara granitoid suite. (Huhma 1971, Huhma 1986, Koistinen 1981, Peltonen et al. 2008, Kontinen et al. 2006).

The cross-section for profile 186.630 (Koistinen 1981) across the Outokumpu rock assemblage at the Outokumpu mine presented in Figure 2 illustrates a local geological set-up typical of the Outokumpu Belt: the meta-ultramafic massif, here mainly comprising serpentinised peridotite, quartz rocks and carbonate–skarn rocks, reaches the depth of several hundreds of metres, and with some variation extends along its northeast–bound strike for tens of kilometres. The carbonate, skarn and quartz rocks flank the folded serpentine body (originally a thin, wide sheet (Koistinen 1981)) as thin fringes; all the presently known Outokumpu-type sulphide deposits are found in association with the fringing carbonate–skarn–quartz rock assemblage (Peltonen et al. 2008 and references therein). The black schists envelope the ultramafic rocks, and outside the Outokumpu assemblage rocks also appear as layers in the mica schist. The ultramafic bodies may also contain deformed and metamorphosed mafic rocks, mainly as small stocks, sills or dykes (Peltonen et al. 2008).

**DESCRIPTION OF DATASETS**

**Petrophysical data**

In this study we use petrophysical data from two sources: the regional GTK petrophysical database and the petrophysical data from drill core samples. The sparsely sampled GTK regional petrophysical database lacks data from the Outokumpu association rock types, but contains samples from all surrounding lithologies listed in Figure 1 except the volcanic and ultramafic rocks and black schists.
Thus it is ideal for characterizing the physical properties of regional lithological units. As the Outokumpu Belt has been quite thoroughly covered by drilling, for the Outokumpu association rock types, petrophysical cognizance can be augmented with analysis of the petrophysical samples from the drill cores, mainly produced by mining companies.

**GTK database**

The regional petrophysical database of Geological Survey of Finland (GTK) (Airo & Säävuori 2013) currently contains petrophysical data on more than 130,000 outcrop samples systematically collected over the whole country. The database entries contain location coordinates, rock class attributes and determinations of density, magnetic susceptibility and intensity of remanent magnetization. Petrophysical sampling methods, data acquisition and measurement equipment are described in Airo & Säävuori (2013). In the study region, the data selected for analysis amount to 591 samples (Fig. 1). The selection only includes samples that could be properly assigned to one of the lithological units in Figure 1 based on their rock class.

**Petrophysical samples from drill cores**

The Outokumpu Belt has been extensively drilled during the decades of exploration. In this study, three different data sources for petrophysical data from drill cores could be specified:

1. The GEOMEX project (Kontinen et al. 2006, Peltonen et al. 2008) assembled a database comprising historic drill core data from the Outokumpu Oy archives, as well as a few drill cores acquired during the GEOMEX project. For a significant number of the drill holes, petrophysical data (magnetic susceptibility, density and galvanic resistivity) are also available: within the GEOMEX project, the petrophysical data were addressed by Ruotoistenmäki & Tervo (2006).


The data selected for analysis amount to ca. 38,000 density and 26,000 magnetic susceptibility samples.

In order to manage large amounts of data in a reasonable and meaningful way for modelling purposes, two questions must be considered: what is the suitable lithological classification and scale for analysing the data, and what are the factors affecting the value distributions within the selected lithological classification scheme?

The selected drill core data contains hundreds of distinct rock unit names (“field names”) that make the classification of samples according to rock type an arduous task. For this reason, this study adapted the classification of the rock unit names used by Outokumpu Oy provided by the GEOMEX team in their assembled drill-core database. The data in the two other mining site databases were reclassified according to the GEOMEX convention. The classification was further narrowed down to seven key classes as per the typical geological setting (Fig. 2), each of which, for the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General class</th>
<th>Class name</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outokumpu assemblage / Rocks of ultramafic origin</td>
<td>Serpentinites</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Serpentinised peridotites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Talc-carbonate rocks (soapstones)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Over 90% of carbonate and talc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Outokumpu assemblage rocks of ultramafic origin</td>
<td>OUM</td>
<td>Carbonate rocks, skarns and quartz rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outokumpu assemblage / other rocks</td>
<td>Outokumpu metabasites</td>
<td>OBA</td>
<td>Metamorphosed mafic rocks: gabbrons, amphibolites and chlorite schists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulphide mineralizations</td>
<td>SUL</td>
<td>Semi-massive to massive mineralizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schists (sedimentary origin)</td>
<td>Mica schists</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>Meta-greywackes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black schists</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Black schists</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
purposes of potential field modelling, form a single modelling unit. The seven classes and their abbreviation used throughout this study are presented in Table 1.

The rocks within the rock classes presented in Table 1 show variation in mineral composition largely due to an increase in the metamorphic grade from a low amphibolite facies grade in the east to a high amphibolite facies grade in the west. Additional variables such as the local redox conditions and intensity of retrograde alteration also affect the variation, with all of these particularly affecting the serpentinites, but also calc-silicates and the hosting metasediments (Säntti et al. 2006). Thus, the region and accordingly the petrophysical databases should be further divided based on metamorphic zoning.

Figure 3 illustrates the locations and spatial division of the drill holes with petrophysical samples in the GEOMEX database, together with the metamorphic zoning. For serpentinites, antigorite and carbonate–antigorite serpentinites are most abundant in the east (zone A in Fig. 3), whereas the serpentinites in the west (zones B and C) are mainly mesh-textured lizardite–chrysotile serpentinites, in B zone dominantly derived from talc–olivine and anthophyllite olivine rocks and in zone C from anthophyllite and enstatite–anthophyllite rocks. Talc–carbonate rocks (soapstones) are restricted to zone A, there flanking the antigorite serpentinites. In zones B and C no prograde talc–carbonate rocks occur but retrograde talc–schists are met in late thin shear/fault zones dissecting serpentinites and skarn rocks. (Säntti et al. 2006)

In this study, the GEOMEX database was divided into five regional groups (Fig. 3): the Keretti group comprises the drill holes in Zone C, zone B is divided into the Vuonos group (in the Outokumpu Belt) and Miihkali group in the north, and in Zone A the database is divided into Horsmanaho and Kylylahti groups. Zone A also includes the Horsmanaho and Kylylahti mine site datasets.

---

![Fig. 3. Sites for the drill cores with petrophysical sampling and the metamorphic zones A, B and C (after Säntti et al. 2006). Bedrock map modified from Bedrock of Finland − DigiKP. Contains data from the National Land Survey of Finland Topographic Database 03/2013.](image)
As emphasised by Ruotoistenmäki & Tervo (2006), all available drill-core data originate from various exploration projects and as such do not provide an objective view of the geological setting, but are biased towards certain rock types or, independently of the rock type, may be abnormally enriched in disseminated sulphides. The rock class distributions from samples with density measurements for each drill-hole data group are presented in Figure 4. The serpentinites (SP) are most abundant in the westernmost zones (Keretti–Miihkali–Vuonos). Talc-carbonate rocks (SS) are most dominantly present in the data from the Horsmanaho mining site, but the distribution also depicts the relative scarcity of soapstones in the west (see text related to Fig. 3). The Kylylahti mine data emphasise the Outokumpu altered ultramafic rock class (OUM), which hosts the sulphide mineralization. The GEOMEX database drill holes in Horsmanaho and Kylylahti mainly consist of mica schists and enclosed black schist layers.

**Potential field datasets**

Both ground gravity and magnetic data (by Outokumpu Oy) exist for the study region and for the most part cover the Outokumpu Belt and Miihkali massif formations (Fig. 5). The vertical component gravity data were mostly collected with 100–m line spacing and 20–m sample spacing, and the vertical component magnetic data with 50–m line spacing and 10–m sample spacing.

In addition to local ground surveys, the study region is mostly covered by more sparsely sampled regional gravity data (Elo 2003) with mostly dispersed sample locations and ca. 500–1000 m sample spacing, the 5 × 5 km gravity grid of the Finnish Geospatial Research Institute FGI (Kääriäinen & Mäkinen 1997) and airborne magnetic data of GTK (Hautaniemi et al. 2005). The regional gravity datasets are used and referred to, together with local data, in the modelling examples. Several reflection seismic profiles have been acquired in the study region during 2002–2008 in...
the FIRE and HIRE projects (Kukkonen & Lahtinen 2006, Kukkonen et al. 2011, and Kukkonen et al. 2012). The profile locations are shown in Figure 6. One aim of this study is to model the deep-set features across the Outokumpu region, and the existing seismic profiles provide a natural starting point for potential field modelling. However, as the existing detailed potential field datasets were found to cover the Outokumpu Belt rather narrowly (Fig. 5), in order to complement the data on the reflection seismic profiles and in other regions lacking coverage, new gravity profiles were measured as part of this study along local roads with 50-m sample spacing in 2014 (Fig. 6).
Fig. 6. New gravimetric profile locations. Contains data from the National Land Survey of Finland Topographic Database 03/2013.

PETROPHYSICAL DATA ANALYSIS

GTK database

The density–susceptibility diagram for the rock classes in the GTK database is presented in Figure 7 and the characterizing density statistics in Table 2. The granites (mostly from the Maarianvaara batholith) have the lowest densities, with a median of ca. 2600 kg/m³, although the medians of granodiorites and quartzites are quite close (2622 and 2635 kg/m³, respectively). The granite gneisses (2666 kg/m³) dominating the Archean basement complex are lighter than the mica schists in the overlying Kaleva package (2704 kg/m³). Gabbroic rocks in the ultramafic–mafic sills along the Archaean–Proterozoic interface expectedly have the highest densities, with the median value of 2985 kg/m³.

The susceptibilities of the samples mainly remain in the paramagnetic population (values below 2000 μSI as defined by Airo & Säävuori 2013). The only rock class with clearly ferrimagnetic samples is the gabbro class, indicating the presence of magnetite–rich, high-density gabbros in the region. Some granites also have high magnetic susceptibilities.
Table 2. Descriptive density statistics for the rock classes in the GTK database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock class</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Median (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Standard deviation (kg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granites</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2598</td>
<td>2596</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granodiorites</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2610</td>
<td>2622</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite gneisses</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2666</td>
<td>2666</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartzites</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2625</td>
<td>2635</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mica schists</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>2702</td>
<td>2704</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabbros</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2985</td>
<td>2985</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>591</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Drill-core data**

**Density distributions**

Density distributions and statistical key figures for each group are presented in Figure 8 and Table 3. The serpentinite (SP) densities clearly reflect the metamorphic zonation; the chrysotile serpentinites in the west are on average notably lighter than the antigorite serpentinites in the east. This difference was also noted on a general level by Lehtonen (1981). The talc–carbonate rocks (SS), which are in fact restricted to zone A in Figure 3, have a median density of 2908 kg/m³ in Horsman–aho, where this rock type is most abundantly present in the data; in the west, the rocks labelled as talc–carbonate rocks are most likely shear–related talc schists (A. Kontinen, pers. comm. 2015). The other rocks of ultramafic origin (OUM) show a wider range of values, but the value distributions are quite similar to the median values, ranging around 2800–2900 kg/m³. Metabasites (OBA) are mostly
Fig. 8. Density distributions for each Outokumpu Belt rock type according to region (KER = Keretti (GEOMEX), VUO = Vuonos (GEOMEX), MII = Miihkali (GEOMEX), HOR = Horsmanaho (GEOMEX), HOR_MINE = Horsmanaho (mine site), KYL = Kylylahti (GEOMEX), KYL_MINE = Kylylahti (mine site). The vertical scale for the sulphide mineralization class differs from the rest of the diagrams. (Note: not all groups have samples from all rock classes).
Table 3. Density statistics according to rock class for each drill-hole group. For rock classes, see Table 1. For groups, see Figures 3 and 4. Q1 = first quartile, Q3 = third quartile. The unit for values is kg/m³. (Note: not all groups have samples from all rock classes). KER = Keretti (GEOMEX), VUO = Vuonos (GEOMEX), MII = Miihkali (GEOMEX), HOR = Horsmanaho (GEOMEX), HOR_MINE = Horsmanaho (mine site), KYL = Kylylahti (GEOMEX), KYL_MINE = Kylylahti (mine site).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROCK CLASS</th>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Std.dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>KER</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>2568</td>
<td>2490</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VUO</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>2598</td>
<td>2510</td>
<td>2570</td>
<td>2640</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>1721</td>
<td>2572</td>
<td>2510</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>2742</td>
<td>2662</td>
<td>2756</td>
<td>2826</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR_MINE</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>2772</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>2772</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL_MINE</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>2772</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>KER</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2727</td>
<td>2655</td>
<td>2715</td>
<td>2810</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VUO</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>2843</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>2910</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>2825</td>
<td>2780</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>2910</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>2901</td>
<td>2878</td>
<td>2908</td>
<td>2931</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR_MINE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2854</td>
<td>2838</td>
<td>2854</td>
<td>2862</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>2811</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>2790</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL_MINE</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>2811</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>2790</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUM</td>
<td>KER</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>2842</td>
<td>2690</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>2970</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VUO</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2837</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>2960</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>2933</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>2930</td>
<td>2990</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2843</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>2945</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR_MINE</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>2869</td>
<td>2783</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>2943</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2795</td>
<td>2732</td>
<td>2780</td>
<td>2862</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL_MINE</td>
<td>7802</td>
<td>2926</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>2910</td>
<td>2990</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBA</td>
<td>KER</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2865</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>2855</td>
<td>2950</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VUO</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2829</td>
<td>2688</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>2960</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>2920</td>
<td>2970</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2854</td>
<td>2804</td>
<td>2828</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR_MINE</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>2914</td>
<td>2810</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>2914</td>
<td>2810</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>KER</td>
<td>2654</td>
<td>2747</td>
<td>2730</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VUO</td>
<td>5063</td>
<td>2757</td>
<td>2730</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>4102</td>
<td>2753</td>
<td>2730</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>2742</td>
<td>2720</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR_MINE</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>2754</td>
<td>2728</td>
<td>2745</td>
<td>2773</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>2746</td>
<td>2730</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL_MINE</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>2894</td>
<td>2807</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>2950</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>KER</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>2819</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VUO</td>
<td>1367</td>
<td>2804</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td>2790</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>2785</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR_MINE</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2799</td>
<td>2756</td>
<td>2790</td>
<td>2829</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2837</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KYL_MINE</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2986</td>
<td>2890</td>
<td>2970</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUL</td>
<td>KER</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2794</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>2810</td>
<td>2890</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VUO</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3210</td>
<td>3025</td>
<td>3260</td>
<td>3455</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3494</td>
<td>3028</td>
<td>3430</td>
<td>3963</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOR</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>3454</td>
<td>3290</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>3610</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
relevant to the large Miihkali massif (see Fig. 4), and there have a median density of 2920 kg/m³.

The density value distributions for mica schists are very uniform, with median values of 2740–2750 kg/m³, although there is a spread from c. 2650 to 2780 kg/m³. The median is slightly higher than the median value for the mica schists in the GTK regional database (Table 1), which probably reflects two factors: 1) the general enrichment of sulphides in the mica schists in drill cores from ore environments where mica schists often occur close and grade into sulphiditic black schists, and 2) the more weathered nature of the outcrops where the GTK samples have been collected (A. Kontinen, pers. comm. 2015). The black schists, heavier than the mica schists, also show more deviation in their densities. For both of the schist classes, the Kylylahti mine densities are notably higher than for the other datasets; Pekkarinen & Rekola (1994) also reported high densities for black schists, but as the GEOMEX magnetic susceptibility dataset proved that the number of sulphide mineralization samples is generally very low, with the exception of the Kylylahti mine site dataset. Moreover, the sulphide mineralization grades in the samples vary from semi-massive to massive ore, which inevitably affects the density ranges. The most reliable (with regard to the number of samples) Kylylahti dataset has a median density of 3450 kg/m³. Given the relatively low density of the Keretti samples, they most likely originate from the disseminated/veined type Co–Ni Hautalampi deposit parallel to the Keretti main ore (A. Kontinen, pers. comm. 2015).

**Magnetic susceptibility distributions**

The GEOMEX magnetic susceptibility dataset proved to be more problematic than the density data, as it contains an abnormally large number of zero susceptibility values (18% of all data). Some of these values are without doubt true readings, but the high number of zero values leads to a suspicion that missing values have been transformed into zeroes sometime during the long life span of this dataset. Therefore, in this study, all the zero values were rejected from the statistical analysis. Given that this most likely also removed true readings, the statistics may be slightly biased towards larger ends of the value ranges. It should also be noted that some of the data may date back to a time when the measurement sensitivity for magnetic susceptibilities was not yet up to current standards, and this may affect the overall accuracy of the data analysis.

The magnetic susceptibility value distributions are often bi-peaked due to their paramagnetic and ferromagnetic components (e.g. Airo & Säävuori 2013), and as such are often best presented as value distribution histograms instead of statistical key figures. The histograms for magnetic susceptibilities according to the region and rock class are presented in Figure 9 (note: some groups have no or very few samples from a certain rock class; if the number of samples N < 15, no histogram is plotted). The two clear rock classes with moderately high magnetic susceptibilities are the (chrysotile) serpentinites (SP), due to their magnetite content, and the black schists (BS), due to their monoclinic pyrrhotite content. In general, according to the distributions, the magnetic susceptibility values of the serpentinites appear to decrease towards the east, mirroring the change from chrysotile serpentinites in the west to antigorite serpentinites in the east (Horsmanaho, Kylylahti). The black schist susceptibilities similarly decrease towards the east, reflecting either a decrease in the amount of pyrrhotite or the presence of hexagonal (anti-ferromagnetic) pyrrhotite, which has also been detected in the black schists of the Outokumpu region (Airo et al. 2011).

**Remanent magnetization**

The GEOMEX database does not contain remanent magnetization measurements. According to Aho-kas (1980), during 1974–1977, Outokumpu Oy performed measurements of remanent magnetization intensities and directions on an outcrop sample set mostly containing black schists, serpentinites and skarn rocks. However, due to the low number of samples and large spread of values, the results were not considered reliable except for the black schists: the remanence magnetization parameters in the Outokumpu and Miihkali regions are presented in Table 4.

The Horsmanaho mine site dataset contains determinations for the intensity of remanent magnetization, which enables calculation of the Koenigsberger ratio (Q), i.e. the ratio of remanent magnetization to induced magnetization (dependent on magnetic susceptibility k). The Q value indicates whether induced or remanent magnetization is more dominant in a given sample; for the
Fig. 9. Magnetic susceptibility histograms according to the rock class and region. KER = Keretti (GEOMEX), VUO = Vuonos (GEOMEX), MII = Miihkali (GEOMEX), HOR = Horsmanaho (GEOMEX), HOR_MINE = Horsmanaho (mine site), KYL = Kylylahti (GEOMEX), KYL_MINE = Kylylahti (mine site). For rock class descriptions, see Table 1.
latter (Q > 1), modelling becomes more challenging if the direction of the remanent magnetization is not known (as is often the case). This is especially the case for small-grained pyrrhotite and magnetite, as they can better retain stable remanent magnetization directions that deviate from the current direction of the Earth’s field (e.g. Clarke 1997).

In the Horsmanaho mine site dataset, for samples with moderate to high susceptibility ($k > 1000 \, \mu\text{SI}$), there are numerous Q values of 10–100, most notably for the black schists (for other rock classes, the majority of the samples belong to the $k < 1000 \, \mu\text{SI}$ population; see Fig. 9). This conforms to or, in fact, exceeds the findings of Outokumpu Oy discussed above, indicating that especially for black schists, remanent magnetization plays a prominent role as the magnetic anomaly source. For serpentinites, Q reaches values of 1–5, but the dominant direction of remanent magnetization, if exists, remains unknown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Koenigsberger ratio (Q)</th>
<th>Inclination</th>
<th>Declination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outokumpu Belt</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miihkali</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 10](image)

Fig. 10. Magnetic susceptibility ($k$) versus Q ratio diagram for the Horsmanaho mine site dataset for samples with $k > 1000 \, \mu\text{SI}$. Data courtesy of Mondo Minerals B.V. For rock class descriptions, see Table 1.

**MODELLING EXAMPLES**

The following chapters present both regional-scale (along the extended V7 and V8 seismic profiles) as well as the deposit-scale (the Keretti profile and the Kylylahti deposit) modelling examples. Modelling of the Miihkali massif north of the Outokumpu Belt is presented by Lahtti et al. (2016, this volume) and the V7 example is discussed in a more extensive context by Heinonen et al. (2016, this volume).
Regional modelling of seismic profiles V7 and V8

To study the deep-set features across the Outo-kumpu region, the gravity data were forward modelled along the extended V7 and V8 seismic profiles (Kukkonen & Lahtinen 2006) (Fig. 11). The modelling was performed with Tensor Research Model-Vision 14.1 software.

For the V7 profile, the gravity modelling data consist of the new 2014 profile data (Fig. 6 and related text). For the profile V8, the data were sampled from the interpolated regional gravity data (Elo 2003), and outside the regional data coverage the values were estimated based on the interpolated raster of the 5 x 5 km national data grid courtesy of the Finnish Geospatial Research Institute FGI (Kääriäinen & Mäkinen 1997).

The seismic section images of the profiles V7 and V8 show strong reflectors at the depths of one kilometre and below. These are similar to the strong upper-crust reflector on FIRE-3 pierced by the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole; the reflective response there was confirmed to originate from Outokumpu assemblage rocks (Kukkonen 2011), and consequently, Kukkonen et al. (2012) discussed all the seismic profiles and the nature of strong reflectors in the region. For profile V7, instead of or at least in addition to possible Outokumpu assemblage rocks, the most likely source candidate for the strong, deep-plunging reflectors was discovered by Kontinen & Säävuori (2013), who documented a shallow two-hole drilling in Saarivaara, ca. 1 km NW of the northern end of V7 (Fig. 11). The data have since been augmented by two additional drill holes (Fig. 12). The drilling revealed a sequence of alternating layers of calc-silicate gneisses, metadiabases, Jatulian quartzites and Archean gneisses, and the strike and dip of the layers is well in accordance with the strong reflectors in the northwestern part of the V7 seismic section image. For profile V8, there are similar reflective features, and as the distance between the profiles is relatively small, it is assumed here that the same geological environment prevails in both profiles.

Fig. 11. Gravity modelling profile locations (in red) along the seismic lines V7 and V8 (in black). The sample coverage of the regional gravity data is marked by dot symbols. Outside the regional data coverage, the map displays FGI data.
Based on the drill core densities in the Saarivaara drilling profile, the density of quartzites and arkoses in the strata is ca. 2650 kg/m³, mica gneisses ca. 2750 kg/m³, calc-silicate gneisses ca. 2850–3150 kg/m³ and amphibolites 2950–3050 kg/m³. The unit of alternating layers is estimated to be 300–500 m thick and internally strongly foliated. Even more high-density amphibolite layers may exist within the underlying Archean gneisses, which would explain the considerable thickness of the reflectors. (Kontinen & Säävuori 2013)

The V7 profile is located on the metamorphic zone B (Fig. 3) and the V8 profile crosses from zone A to B. The strong upper-crust reflector on FIRE-3 related to Outokumpu assemblage rocks encountered at the depths of 1300–1500 m in the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole (also on zone B, ca. 2 km east of the Outokumpu town) shows mean densities of ca. 2600 kg/m³ (serpentinites) to ca. 2900–3000 kg/m³ (skarns and black schists) (Airo et al. 2011). On zone B, in general, the median density of serpentinites is ca. 2550 g/cm³ and on zone A 2760 kg/m³. The density of the other altered ultramafic rocks varies approximately between 2800–2930 kg/m³ (Table 3).

The density ranges of both the Saarivaara reflector rocks and Outokumpu association rocks are wide and for any gravity model body, the overall density contrast with the host rock (mica schist) depends on the rock composition. With the many degrees of freedom present in interpretation models, the Outokumpu association rocks and Saarivaara type rocks could not be reliably separated from each other by their overall densities, even if some of the strong seismic reflectors were due to the Outokumpu association. In the model presented here, the estimated average density of 2800 kg/m³ is used for all reflective packages.

The modelling parameters on gravimetric profiles V7 and V8 are presented in Table 5. The V8 profile extends from the Maarianvaara granite in the northwest to the Archean Sotkuma gneiss in the southeast (Fig. 1, Fig. 11). On the gravimetric Bouguer anomaly map, granite and gneiss present as gravity minima due to the low density of the granitoids of ca. 2670 kg/m³ (Table 2). This value

### Table 5. Gravity model parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Profile V7</th>
<th>Profile V8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gravity data source</td>
<td>GTK 2014 survey (projected on a straight profile)</td>
<td>Regional gravity data (APV); outside the APV survey region, FGI data were used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model body extents / densities</td>
<td>Outokumpu allochthon metasediments: 20 km / 2720–2740 kg/m³</td>
<td>Saarivaara reflectors: 10 km / 2800 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saarivaara Belt (on V8): 5 km / 2800 kg/m³</td>
<td>Outokumpu Belt (on V8): 5 km / 2800 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional level</td>
<td>-13.0 mgal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 13. Bouguer anomaly model along seismic profile V8.

Fig. 14. Bouguer anomaly model along seismic profile V7 (after Heinonen et al. 2016 (this volume)).
was used as the background density value for the model.

For seismic profile V8, the measured gravity profile shows a large, long-wavelength maximum between the profile ends (Fig. 13). The first model bodies to enter in the model were the deep-plunging high reflectivity bodies, named here as Saarivaara reflectors and constructed after the seismic profile images; they produce a major part of the total Bouguer anomaly at the NW end of the profile. The metasediments are on average heavier than the granitoids (Fig. 7, Table 2), and thus contribute to the long-wavelength maximum between the granitoid minima; as the strong reflectors more or less disappear towards the southeast, their absence is compensated in the model by the thickening metasediment layer. The SE-dipping Outokumpu Belt formation shows as a local gravity maximum 23–25 km from the NW end of the profile.

The density of the metasediment formation in the model varies between 2720 and 2740 kg/m³ so that the SW part of the formation is heavier. The density values for metasediments in the model are thus slightly lower than metasediment values in the drill holes (Table 3), suggesting that the rocks labelled as metasediments in the Outokumpu Belt may be slightly heavier than the metasediments regionally.

The V7 profile model (Fig. 14) is described in detail by Heinonen et al. (2016, this volume). The data on this profile were collected with denser sample spacing than the data used for modelling V8, but with the deep structure responses, the effect of sample spacing on the model is quite insignificant.

**Deposit-scale modelling examples**

**Keretti profile: magnetic and gravity interpretation**

No systematic gravity data exist or remain in the Outokumpu Oy gravimetric database for the historic Keretti mine (see Fig. 6). However, as it is probably the best place to validate the density parameters for chrysotile serpentinites due to both the abundance of serpentinites and the well-known geological constraints, one of the new gravity profiles (Fig. 6) was measured across the Outokumpu Belt at Keretti.

The new gravity data were jointly modelled with vertical component magnetic data (profile 185,400) from the data archives of Outokumpu Oy. The locations of the new gravimetric profile and the magnetic profile are indicated in Figure 15. The map also plots soil thickness readings derived from the drill-core database; they show values as high as >50 m in the mid-part of the gravity profile. This NE-trending region (Sumppi) is part of the Outokumpu/Keretti mines tailings area (e.g. Tornivaara & Kauppila 2014). Even though the region has been reworked since drilling, it can be assumed that in the mid-part of the profile, the soil cover can reach thicknesses of dozens of metres, and this information should be included in the model.

The physical/geological model (Fig. 16) comprises three rock classes (excluding the model background rock mica schist) and the soil cover, assumed to be wet sand with a density of 2000 kg/m³ (Parasnis 1971). The density values for the model rocks were selected according to median values in Table 3 and magnetic susceptibilities estimated from Figure 9. As remanent magnetization parameters are known only for the black schists (BS) (Table 4), the values were included in the model. However, in the course of modelling, the Koenigsberger ratio (Q) values were increased from eight to fifteen, as this improved the model fit; the Horsmanaho data example (Fig. 10) shows that for black schists, values of Q > 10 are also well justifiable.

Two nearby cross-profiles of Koistinen (1981) were used as geological constraints (Fig. 17). All model bodies were extended 1500 m away from the profile in both directions.

The magnetic model response in Figure 16 highlights the importance of understanding the role of remanent magnetization as an anomaly source. Assuming the remanent magnetization parameters were not known, i.e. the magnetization of black schists was assumed to be caused by induced magnetization only (model profile $Q_{bs} = 0$), it is practically impossible (considering the geological constraints and the measured susceptibility range) to match the model response to the observed values. However, as soon as the remanent magnetization parameters are included (model profile $Q_{bs} = 15$), the model response amplitudes increase significantly and the modelled response conforms significantly better to the observed data.

On the gravimetric profile, the 2.5–mgal minimum is largely caused by the low-density chrysotile serpentinite (SP) body, but the rather thick soil cover in the Sumppi region also contributes to the minimum. On this profile, OUM and BS rock class
Fig. 15. Keretti modelling profile locations and soil thicknesses (as circle symbols) based on the drill-core database. Contains data from the National Land Survey of Finland Topographic Database 03/2013.

Fig. 16. Joint magnetic (MG, model profiles on the top) and gravity (GR, model profiles in the middle) forward model over Keretti (model bodies on the bottom). Rock class abbreviations as per Table 1; \( \rho \) = density, \( k \) = magnetic susceptibility, \( Q \) = Koenigsberger ratio.
model densities are identical, and thus here the two rock classes cannot be distinguished from each other by gravity modelling.

**Kylylahti model**

The isolated gravity anomaly in the Outokumpu Oy systematic ground survey data over Kylylahti (see location in Fig. 6) was interpreted with 3D inversion. Contrary to the conventional 2D and 3D forward modelling of previous examples, which calculates the theoretical response of a given subsurface property distribution, inversion results in a model that predicts the property distribution for a given observed response. The UBC-GIF GRAV3D inversion algorithm (Li & Oldenburg 1996), when run without any constraints, can be used for a quick, first-pass estimation of the gravity distribution, but for a more careful and realistic end result it is advisable to apply physical and/or geological constraints to inversion (e.g. Williams 2006).

The observed gravity data (Pekkarinen & Rekola 1994) contain vertical component gravity data collected with 50–100-m line spacing and 20-m sample spacing (Fig. 18A). The data lines were reorganised and the data microlevelled to improve the quality. The total value range in the dataset is ca. 4.5 mgal (Fig. 18B). Much of the response at the lower end of the range results from the regional long-wavelength feature in the southeast, caused by the low-density Sotkuma granite gneiss formation (Fig. 1). Prior to inversion, the regional trend must be subtracted from the observed data. The resulting residual anomaly (Fig. 18C) has its maximum at ca. 2.5 mgal.

In this study, the inversion constraints were based on the drill-core densities in the vicinity of the ore zone (Fig. 19) provided by Boliden Kylylahti. The drill-core data extend from the northern shallow drill holes along the ore zone to the depth of ca. 850 m, penetrating the deepest of the three separate mineralizations, the Wombat ore (Altona Mining Limited 2014). Two high-density zones can be identified in the density dataset, one related to the main mineralization zone by the eastern contact of the Kylylahti formation and the second to a shallower black schist zone; the densities of black schists in the Kylylahti region are notably higher than elsewhere in Outokumpu (Pekkarinen & Rekola 1995; Table 3).

Based on the drill-core densities, three mutually excluding density zones were extracted from

---

**Fig. 17.** Model cross section (MG+GR) in comparison to the geological profiles 185.100 and 186.630 of Koistinen (1981).
Fig. 18. A) Survey lines on a geological map (Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP), B) observed gravimetric data, C) residual data after regional trend removal.

Fig. 19. Drill-core densities in the vicinity of the mineralised zone (viewed from southeast). Drill-core data and ore body model courtesy of Boliden Kylylahti. The geological map with gravity survey lines (bottom right) outlines the surface projection of the drill holes (black rectangle).

the data and used as inversion constraints (Fig. 20; values presented relative to the density $\rho$ of 2750 kg/m$^3$ (2.75 g/cm$^3$), the common density value of mica schist in Outokumpu (Table 3)). The constraints were included in the inversion as sub-regions where the recovered density is not allowed to vary outside the density boundaries indicated by the constraint. Outside the constraining density zones, i.e. in the unconstrained part of the inversion volume, the density is allowed to vary in the range $-1.0 \leq \rho \leq 1.0$ g/cm$^3$. Inversion parameters are presented in Table 6.

To validate the effect of the applied constraints, the inversion was also run without constraints (with the universal allowed value range of $-1.0 \leq \rho \leq 1.0$ g/cm$^3$). Comparison between the con-
strained and unconstrained results (Fig. 21) demonstrates that the selected constraints have a crucial effect on the results. The constrained model shows the two high-density zones in the densely drilled region (marked as a constraint region in Figure 21A) as expected, but in addition, it also shows better continuity of features in the regions where constraints do not apply. The unconstrained model is not able to separate between the two high-density zones, but goes for the simplest solution, that is, a single high-density structure that shows little correlation with the drilling results. Based on this, the southern high-density structure outside the constraint region in Figure 21A may just as well be divided in several parts, but the inversion is unable to separate between the parts. The necessary constraints could possibly be constructed based on the crossing reflection seismic profile V1.

Three north–south cross-sections of the constrained model (Fig. 22) highlight the main features in more detail. In the ore zone (A) and the shallow black schist zone (B), the model shows densities ≥ 3.0 g/cm³ due to the initial constraints; in the western section (C), the two high-density features are effectively solved unconstrained, as no or very few drill holes with density data are available in this section. The features most likely represent an imprecise, smoothed version of the actual physical value distribution.

**Synthetic example**

The constrained Kylylahti inversion model provides an opportunity to examine the theoretical change in the gravimetric Bouguer anomaly response as a function of source depth in the case of the high-density Outokumpu association rocks. Figure 23 shows the forward modelled gravity response of the 2-km-deep 3D density mesh extracted from the top part of the Kylylahti constrained inversion model and transferred to various depths. With the top of the structure at the surface (depth 0 m), the corresponding anomaly amplitude is ca. 2 mgal. As the source is moved deeper, the amplitude naturally attenuates; were the top of the formation located at 500 m depth, the corresponding anomaly could still be separated from the background level, but at 1000 m depth the low amplitude and longer wavelength already make the task more challenging. Below 1000 m, it would be difficult to distinguish the response of the formation from the regional level, especially with there is any noise included in measurement data.

---

**Fig. 20. Density zones corresponding to inversion constraints. A) True densities 2.75–2.78 g/cm³, B) true densities over 2.95 g/cm³, C) true densities over 3.1 g/cm³.**

**Table 6. GRAV3D parameters for the Kylylahti gravity inversion.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inversion parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesh size</td>
<td>100 x 120 x 100 cells (excluding padding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell size</td>
<td>50 x 50 m, cell height increasing downwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum depth</td>
<td>5000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data error</td>
<td>0.1 mgal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chifact</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topography level</td>
<td>100 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth weighting</td>
<td>$\beta = 2.0$, $z_0 = 51.00$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial and reference models</td>
<td>0.0 mgal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 21. The general shape of high-density structures according to the constrained (A) and unconstrained (B) recovered inversion models clipped at 0.1 g/cm³ in the vicinity of the Kylylahti mine (viewed from the east).
Fig. 22. Constrained inversion model clipped with NS plane A) E=3619650, B) E=3619450, C) E=3619200, together with a surface geological map (Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP), geological cross-section (Kontinen et al. 2006) and drill-core densities courtesy of Boliden Kylylahti.
DISCUSSION

A major part of this study focused on the classification and grouping of the large petrophysical drill-core sample datasets available for the Outokumpu–Miihkali region. When connected to modelling, petrophysical data cannot be treated as a solitary dataset, but need to be evaluated together with other sample qualifiers. In order to manage, classify and analyse the data for modelling purposes, the rock type and location of samples need to be correctly defined. It is therefore not only the quality of the petrophysical measurements performed on samples, but also the quality and accuracy of other qualifiers that is essential for a successful modelling outcome.

The historical data used in this study have been generated over several decades of exploration in the Outokumpu region. Inevitably, lithological definitions for the drill-core samples, in particular, show great diversity. The work performed in the GEOMEX project in standardizing and documenting the rock classes in the drill-core database is noteworthy. Without their antecedent efforts it would not have been possible to complete the petrophysical classification presented in this study.

The choice of data classification scheme in this study was based on major rock classes and rock combinations typical of the Outokumpu association. It may be justifiably argued that, for example,
the OUM class containing carbonate rocks, skarns and quartz rocks should be subdivided in petrophysical analysis, as the densities of the rock types within the class diverge from each other. However, the subjective choice of combining the rock classes in the way presented in this study was based on the available geological cross-sections: the aim was to have the geophysical models equate to the existing geological models with reasonably sized modelling units.

The importance of including all available geological and geophysical background knowledge in the modelling/inversion process is evident, especially when dealing with low-amplitude responses from deep-set sources. In the Outokumpu region, density modelling is better controlled than magnetic modelling: the significant amount of remanent magnetization, especially in black schists but also in other rocks, can easily lead the modeller astray, as even today the remanent magnetization parameters are only known on a general level. Modelling examples demonstrated that with the help of background data and constraints, gravity modelling can detect sources at depths of several kilometres; however, the geological nature of these sources is not easily resolved. When the sources are set deeper or the density distributions become more complex, the roles of reliable constraints and integration of data in an effective 3D modelling environment increase.

CONCLUSIONS

The data from petrophysical drill-core samples from the Outokumpu brownfield mining camp, collected over several decades of exploration, were re-classified and reanalysed in this study. In addition to lithological classification, the data were also grouped by location, because, mainly due to the changing metamorphic grade, the Outokumpu Belt and its vicinity cannot be treated as a homogeneous region with regard to any of the rock unit’s physical parameter ranges.

In the Outokumpu Belt and Miihkali, serpentinites are present in the Outokumpu assemblage throughout the region. Due to metamorphic zoning and the resulting mineral compositions, their densities vary from ca. 2560 kg/m³ in the southwest to ca. 2760 kg/m³ in the northeast. At the high end of the density range, the sulphide ore in Kylylahti has a median density of 3450 kg/m³. The talc-carbonate rocks and talc schists and the rest of the rocks of ultramafic origin have densities of ca. 2800–2850 kg/m³, and locally even 2900 kg/m³. The metabasites, most prominent in Miihkali, have there densities of 2920 kg/m³. The density range for the Outokumpu allochthon metasediments is narrowly constricted to values around 2750 kg/m³ and for black schists around 2800 kg/m³. Various granitoids (2600–2670 kg/m³) are lighter than the allochthon metasediments that, in the regional gravity models presented in this study, give rise to a regional long-wavelength Bouguer anomaly between the granitoids in the Maarianvaara–Saari–vaara region and Sotkuma.

Both forward modelling and inversion algorithms were applied in gravity modelling cases. The regional and deposit-scale modelling problems set in the study could all be reliably solved by applying the density parameters obtained from the petrophysical data together with available geological and geophysical constraints. Forward models for the seismic profiles V7 and V8 presented in the study have their deepest model bodies at depths of 8–9 km. However, as the response from these depths is already strongly attenuated, the model is at these depths a rather subjective interpretation of the seismic reflection image: detailing these sources without the constraints provided by the seismic data would be a challenge. Also, as shown by the V7 and V8 profile model cases with the Saari–vaara reflector rocks that have the overall density similar to Outokumpu association rocks, reliably judging the yet unknown geological nature of other strong, deep reflectors on the profiles based on the long-wavelength response in the gravity data is a task that remains unresolved by petrophysical classification and potential field modelling.

In addition to density contrasts, the depth of detection also depends on the source body dimensions: a synthetic gravity modelling example indicates that a body with dimensions and properties similar to the Kylylahti formation, small in size in comparison to the massive reflectors on the seismic profiles, can be detected in gravity data from a depth of one kilometre or less.

All the rock classes show low to moderately high magnetic susceptibilities. Most of the induced magnetization originates from serpentinites and black schists. However, remanent magnetization often dominates over induced magnetization as the
magnetic anomaly source, as shown by the Keretti modelling example in this work. Including remanent magnetization as a petrophysical parameter is an aspect that warrants careful consideration in magnetic modelling on the region.
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EM SAMPO SOUNDINGS IN THE OUTOKUMPU REGION

by

Maarit Nousiainen1) and Hanna Leväniemi2)


Electromagnetic (EM) methods have been widely used over decades in mineral exploration of the Outokumpu ore belt in Eastern Finland. This paper describes a frequency-domain electromagnetic Sampo Gefinex 400S survey carried out in 2014 at Perttilahti, and reviews the older Sampo data-sets of Miihkali and Kylylahti. At Perttilahti, there is ca. 2-km-long Cu-Co-Zn ore lens with a thickness of less than 10 m and a width of 30–50 m. The depth of the ore lens increases from less than 500 m to 800–1000 m, which offers an opportunity to test the depth penetration of the method used. This was done by using different coil spacings (distance between the transmitter coil and the receiver coil) in the Sampo survey.

In this paper, Sampo data are interpreted using 1D (layered earth) inversion and the interpreted models are compared with geological sections. The detected conductors are shallower than the known Perttilahti mineralization and assumed to be black schists. However, their location may not be accurately detected with the available 1D interpretation method due to various 3D effects caused by e.g. the dipping structures. The tilt correction addressing the possible misorientation of transmitter and/or receiver coils and its effect on the interpretation are also discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

This paper and the Sampo survey in Perttilahti belong to the project Developing Mining Camp Exploration Concepts and Technologies – Brownfield Exploration. The project took place in 2013–2016 in Outokumpu area (Fig. 1). The aim of this particular study is to understand and define the geological constraints and challenges for ground EM data interpretation. In addition, the Perttilahti deposit provides an opportunity to study the range and resolution of EM measurements for deep-seated targets. In this project, we employed Sampo Geofinex 400S equipment in order to compare the results with the earlier datasets, as well as to examine the feasibility of the Sampo interpretation software with Outokumpu-type geology.

The role of geophysics in the exploration of the Outokumpu zone has generally been in localizing the Outokumpu-type of lithological association, not the ore itself. The outer part of the association is commonly composed of black schist (graphite schist) (Rekola & Hattula 1995). The most common resistivity values of the black schists in the Outokumpu zone and Miihkali are of the magnitude of 0.01 – 0.1 Ωm but there are also very poor conductors among these black schists (Lehtonen 1981). Black schists, chrysotile serpentinites and copper ore can be distinguished from the resistive surroundings using electromagnetic methods (Ketola 1973).

Fig. 1. Sampo surveys in Miihkali, Kylylahti and Perttilahti and the Perttilahti and Vunos mineralizations. Contains data from the National Land Survey of Finland Topographic Database 03/2013. (Saalmann & Laine 2014)
The Outokumpu Belt has been thoroughly covered by ground frequency-domain EM slingram surveys dating back to the 1960s (Ketola 1973). These surveys, although quite intuitively interpretable, only offer depth penetration of less than 50 m and as such the interpretation results are too shallow for the current work. Other ground EM methods can provide information on greater depths but their data coverage available in the archives of Geological Survey of Finland (GTK) (including historical Outokumpu Oy datasets) in the Outokumpu study region is significantly poorer, mainly single to a few survey profiles. Anyhow, the results from the historical wide-band frequency-domain Sampo surveys in Miikhali and Kylylahti areas have been collected and are analysed here in parallel with the new Sampo survey in the Perttilahti region.

In addition to slingram and Sampo, there has been a variety of other EM methods applied in the Outokumpu area. To mention a few documented examples, horizontal loop electromagnetic method (HLEM) and mise-a-la-masse were used for outlining a small-scale mineralization in Kyllyskaali (Rekola & Hattula 1995). Time-domain PRO-TEM method was used in Viurusuo in 2000 both in borehole and on the ground together with the borehole method SlimBoris (Västi et al. 2003). Both audiomagnetotellurics (AMT) and controlled source audiomagnetotellurics (CSAMT) are applied in Polvijärvi and Saramäki (Hjelt et al. 1990). The whole Outokumpu area is also covered by airborne EM measurement by GTK in 1980–81 (Hautaniemi et al. 2005).

CASE STUDY: SAMPO MEASUREMENT IN 2014 AT PERTTILAHTI

The Perttilahti deposit, ca. 5 km NE of the Vuonos deposit, was first discovered in the early 1980s (Mäkelä 1983, Rekola & Hattula 1995) when investigating an assumed plunging extension of the Vuonos ore. The first deep-drilling profile, Sukkulanjoki 200.000, intersected six meters of ore, and later drillings confirmed the presence of a ca. 2-km-long near-horizontal ore lens with a thickness of less than 10 m and a width of 30–50 m (Hakanen 1985). The SW part of the formation is located at a depth of 500 m, and towards the NE the ore-hosting formation plunges to depths of 800–1000 m and can be followed on the cross-cutting seismic profile V1 (Kukkonen et al. 2012) (Fig. 2). The Perttilahti deposit, digitized from geological sections (Kontinen et al. 2006), bears a resemblance to the Vuonos deposit, and Kontinen et al. (2006) suggested that the former may even be a direct extension of the latter.

Fig. 2. The Perttilahti formation presented as geological sections (from Kontinen et al. 2006) on seismic profile V1 (Kukkonen et al. 2012). Viewed from the west.
At Perttilahti, as usual in Outokumpu, the ore-hosting formation is mostly surrounded by black schists, and they also appear scattered within the mica gneiss. In the Kylylahti deposit NE of Perttilahti (Fig. 1), the black schists have resistivities of $0.01-10 \, \Omega m$. In the ore-hosting layers, the black schists contain disseminated pyrite and the conductivity is low in comparison to the ore mineralization ($10-1000 \, S/m$ equaling to $0.001-0.1 \, \Omega m$). Thus, although in other parts of the formation there exist layers of pyrrhotite-bearing black schists with high conductivity, in Kylylahti, the employment of EM surveys enables direct exploration. (Rekola & Hattula 1995) This information encouraged us to apply the same methodology at Perttilahti, although it was already in advance clear that the source of any conductivity anomaly could be suspected to be a black schist layer.

The Sampo equipment comprises a wide-band frequency-domain ground EM system developed by Outokumpu Oy in co-operation with GTK. A brief description of the system has been provided, for example, by Rekola & Hattula (1995), and more recently by Korhonen & Lehtimäki (2007). The Sampo system consists of a loop transmitter and a three-axial receiver. The system operates in the frequency domain and employs 82 discrete frequencies from 2 to 20,000 Hz (Korhonen & Lehtimäki 2007). The measured responses are processed into ratios of vertical to radial field. These ratios are transformed into apparent resistivity versus depth values which can be presented as apparent resistivity versus depth curves (ARD curves, Aittoniemi et al. 1987). The interpretation is completed with a 1D layered model inversion using the ratios.

Sampo measurements were conducted along four profiles (Fig. 3) at Perttilahti, Outokumpu, at the beginning of November 2014. The mineralization lies deepest, at 950 m, at the northeastern end of the formation, and rises up to the depth of c. 450 m in the southwest. The Sampo lines are aligned perpendicular to the mineralization. Planning of the line locations was also affected by the need to avoid power lines, which would disturb the measurement.

Fig. 3. The Sampo lines in black, geological sections in grey and the geology of Perttilahti. The yellow body is the surface projection of the Perttilahti sulphide mineralization. Boreholes OKU-740 and OKU-745 are marked on the map. Geology from Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP. Contains data from the National Land Survey of Finland Topographic Database 03/2013.
The outcropping black schists shown on the geological map (Fig. 3) can be seen as magnetic and conductive features on the magnetic (Fig. 4) and 1,775 kHz slingram in-phase (Fig. 5) maps. In the western parts of the magnetic and slingram surveys there are similar features that are likely to originate from black schists within the mica schist outside the Outokumpu Belt. Based on geological interpretations (Fig. 2), the general dip direction in Perttilahti is 35–40 degrees towards the southeast.

Conductivity logging is done only in two boreholes in the Perttilahti area, holes OKU-740 and OKU-745 (location presented in Fig. 3). Borehole information used in this paper is from GEOMEX database (Anonymous 2006), which is a result of a large research project GEOMEX in 1999–2003 in the Outokumpu area (Kontinen et al. 2006). The conductivity logging of OKU-745 is presented later with geology (Fig. 16).

The Sampo measurement was carried out using a broadside configuration in which the transmitter and receiver are offset from the actual survey line in a direction perpendicular to it (Fig. 3). Dipping features and 3D features in general can introduce problems in the 1D inversion applied in Sampo data interpretation. However, 1D inversion results using the broadside approach have proven superior to other configurations in the case of dipping conductors (Korhonen & Lehtimäki 2007). Nevertheless, despite the configuration, as the dip angle of the conducting structure increases, 1D inversion unavoidably fails to represent the whole 3D electrical conductivity structure reliably, and distortions and artefacts may remain in the final interpretation (Fig. 6).

The actual Sampo measurement stations lie between the transmitter and the receiver. There are different views about the accurate location of the stations for data presentation. According to Ahokas (2003) and Korhonen & Lehtimäki (2007), the stations should be located at the midpoint between the transmitter and the receiver. The other convention is to locate the measuring station from one quarter or one third of the coil spacing from the transmitter towards the receiver. This convention is common in GTK but not properly documented. Nevertheless, in this Perttilahti study, the stations...
Fig. 5. Electromagnetic slingram survey at Perttilahti, in-phase component (Ketola 1973). The coil spacing of the survey was 40 m and measuring frequency 1,775 Hz. Contains data from the National Land Survey of Finland Topographic Database 03/2013.

Fig. 6. A synthetic example of 1D interpretation of Sampo results with different configurations (moving left to right) over a thin conductive sheet with 60° dip (conductance 1 S, background resistivity of 5000 $\Omega$ m, coil spacing of 200 m). From Korhonen & Lehtimäki 2007.
are projected one third of the coil spacing from the transmitter.

The depth extent of a Sampo survey is approximately the same as the coil spacing (Sipola 2002, Rekola & Hattula 1995). In this survey, to compensate for the increasing depth of the formation towards NE, the coil spacing was different for each line, from southwest to northeast being 600, 800, 700 and 900 m. Details of the measurement are presented in Table 1.

### Table 1. Technical details of the Perttilahti survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmitter loop size</td>
<td>50 x 50 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal point separation</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of sounding points</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length of all measuring lines</td>
<td>2750 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>3–6 November 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical frequency band</td>
<td>54.98–19,840 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interpretation**

The interpretation was carried out with the Sampo 1D layered earth inversion software Salt and Grain (Sipola 2002). The data are presented as apparent resistivity vs. depth curves (ARD curves) and interpreted as layer models.

Figure 7 presents the interpreted resistivity on line 1. The Perttilahti mineralization is projected in the section, but does not correlate with the interpreted conductors. At every station except one, there is a layer where the resistivity is less than 10 Ωm. The depth of these layers is approximately 250–450 m below the surface. The electromagnetic field attenuates in a conductor (skin effect) and therefore overlaying conductors can prevent the

Fig. 7. Sampo results from line 1. Colour bands present the interpreted layer model from which the black and white grid is created. The lilac body at point 1–2350 is a projection of the Perttilahti mineralization. The coil spacing of the survey was 600 m and the view is from the southwest.
propagation of the EM waves to a deeper ore body. Thus, the most reliable part of the interpreted layer models is the upper boundary of the uppermost conductive layer and the layer(s) above it.

On the left of the section (in the northwest), there is a nearly horizontal conductive layer. Its upper boundary is approximately at the depth of 240–250 m. The conductive layers of the stations 2300–2400 can also be seen as a conductor with a dip of about 45°. In the nearest geological section 197.500 (Fig. 3), there are black schists of a similar dip above the Perttilahti mineralization. The geological section is located 180–210 m away from line 1 (the Sampo line and the geological section are not exactly parallel).

The interpreted resistivity of line 2 is presented in Figure 8. When the location of the actual measurement station between the transmitter and receiver is projected as explained earlier, the distance between lines 1 and 2 is only about 66 m. Both lines display the nearly horizontal conductor on the left of the section (in the northwest). The dipping structure at stations 2400–2600 is clearer in line 2 than line 1. The dip is the same as the general dipping direction in the geological section 197.500 (Fig. 3). The distance between the geological section 197.500 and Sampo line 2 is 250–270 meters.

The coil spacing on the survey on line 1 is 600 m and on the survey line 2 800 m, and the depth penetration of a Sampo measurement is estimated to equal the coil distance. However, increasing the coil spacing did not increase the depth penetration in this case, because the depth of the conductors was shallower than the coil spacing. Thus the coil spacing was not the limiting factor in detecting the conductors.

Figure 9 displays the Sampo results for line 3. The data from stations 2400, 2550 and 2750 were not suitable to be interpreted as a layer model. There are thin conductive layers at each interpreted station and their depth varies from 160 to 390 m. The conductive layers at stations from 2800 to 3000 can be seen as a dipping conductive structure. Although there are no interpreted geological sections such as presented in Figure 3 near line 3 for detailed comparison, the dip of this structure is consistent with the general dip of the geological units in this area.

Figure 10 displays the Sampo results for line 4 in the same format as previous lines are presented.

![Fig. 8. Sampo results from line 2. Colour bands present the interpreted layer model from which the black and white grid is created. The lilac body at point 2-2350 is a projection of the Perttilahti mineralization. The coil spacing of the survey was 800 m and the view is from the southwest.](image)
Fig. 9. Sampo results from line 3. Colour bands present the interpreted layer model from which the black and white grid is created. The lilac body at point 3–2500 is a projection of the Perttilahti mineralization. The coil spacing of the survey was 700 m and the view is from the southwest.

Fig. 10. Sampo results from line 4. Colour bands present the interpreted layer model from which the black and white grid is created. The lilac body at point 4–2580 is a projection of the Perttilahti mineralization. The coil spacing of the survey was 900 m and the view is from the southwest.
Fig. 11. Sampo results from line 4 and the geological section 200.000 (from Kontinen et al. 2006). The distance between the Sampo line and the geological profile is from 60 to 90 m. Colour bands present the interpreted layer model of the Sampo data. In this figure the intersection of the Perttilahti mineralization at station 4–2580 is marked in yellow and highlighted with a black box around it. The view is from the southwest.

The geological section 200.00 is only from 30 to 60 m away from the line 4 so they are presented together in Figure 11. Many of the interpreted conductive layers are really thin, only some meters or less than one meter and their resistivity is of the magnitude of 0.1–1 Ωm. There is no equivalent to the Sampo conductors in the geological section, but again the dip of the Sampo conductors in the northwest is similar to the dip of the geological structures seen in section 200.000 (Fig. 2). In this 1D interpretation, the dip of the conductor at stations from 2400 to 2550 seems to be opposite to the geological structure. However, it is possible that this feature is a 3D effect such as shown in Figure 6 from the shallow black schists on the southeastern side of the Sampo stations.
HISTORICAL DATASETS

Although the Sampo Gefinex 400S system may have been widely used in the region by the Outokumpu Oy exploration teams, only few properly documented results remain to be found today. In addition to the measurements of Outokumpu Oy, some later profiles in the region have been reported by the GEOMEX team. Ruotoistenmäki & Tervo (2006) give an overview of the geophysical work conducted in the project, but do not discuss the Miihkali Sampo survey. The available data were digitized into a 3D environment in order to integrate and better compare various datasets and the performance of frequency-domain ground EM systems in the region.

Miihkali

The Miihkali massif and the enveloping and nearby black schists were mapped in the GEOMEX project with Sampo profiles (Fig. 12). The survey was conducted as broadside measurement with 500 m coil spacing and 50×50 m transmitter loop.

The serpentinite massif together with the thin black schist layers on its eastern and western boundaries show as a conductive structure. The conductors in the northernmost Kiskonjoki profiles are likewise interpreted to be black schists, although the results have not been verified by drilling (Kuronen et al. 2003). The Miihkali interpretations are discussed in more detail by Lahti et al. (2016, this volume).

Lipaspuro profiles in the north appear to indicate west-dipping black schists when compared with the slingram results. The conductors in the northernmost Kiskonjoki profiles are likewise interpreted to be black schists, although the results have not been verified by drilling (Kuronen et al. 2003). The Miihkali interpretations are discussed in more detail by Lahti et al. (2016, this volume).

Kylylahti

Two documented Sampo profile interpretations can readily be found: the Outokumpu Oy profile reported by Rekola & Hattula (1995) and discussed by Pekkarinen & Rekola (1995) and the later GEOMEX project profile reported by Ruotoistenmäki & Tervo (2006) (Fig. 13). Both profiles run approximately in a direction parallel to the mineralized zone west of it; the Outokumpu profile covers the northern part of the formation in the general strike direction, whereas the GEOMEX profile turns slightly southwest and probes the western margins of the main formation (Fig. 13). The GEOMEX survey was conducted with 300–500 m coil spacing and an inline configuration, whereas in the Outokumpu survey used 300–1000 m coil spacing and a broadside configuration.

A synthesis of the interpretation results is presented in Figure 14. The GEOMEX profile is interpreted to mainly depict conductive black schist structures (Ruotoistenmäki & Tervo 2006). The
Fig. 13. Sampo profile locations of Outokumpu Oy and GEOMEX project on a Kylylahti geological map (Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP).

Fig. 14. Sampo surveys in the Kylylahti region viewed from the southeast. Regions < 100 Ωm are digitized. Geological cross-section from Kontinen et al. (2006), schematic ore presentation after Pekkarinen & Rekola (1995). Surface geological map: Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP.
deepest-plunging, most conductive part of the more northern Outokumpu profile was reached by drilling. Based on the geological drill-hole logging and resistivity logging, Pekkarinen & Rekola (1995) suggested that the conductive layer is caused by a thin (13 m) sulphide conductor within quartz rock, but black schists interfere with the interpretation (Rekola & Hattula 1995). Together, the interpretation profiles give insights into the longitudinal conductivity distribution within the Kylylahti formation. However, with no further constraints on the local conductivity of the black schists, it is impossible to separate the various sources of conductivity anomalies in detail.

**DISCUSSION**

An important factor concerning the reliability of Sampo data is the tilt error between the transmitter and receiver. The tilt error is mainly due to either a difference in level between the transmitter and the receiver or not having the transmitter or the receiver in exactly horizontal position (Sipola 2002). Since the receiver is a solid coil with a stand and a spirit level, its position should be vertical whereas for example in a sloping area the position of the transmitter is likely not vertical.

In the 1D interpretation software Salt and Grain (Sipola 2002), the interpreter can correct the tilt error manually or automatically. Automatic tilt error correction is based on the assumption that no induction occurs in the lowest measuring frequency and therefore the field should be purely vertical. Hence any deviation from vertical can be attributed to the tilt of the transmitter or receiver and/or level differences between them i.e. tilt error (Korhonen & Lehtimäki 2007).

Thus, Salt and Grain uses the five lowest measuring frequencies to determine the tilt error automatically. Figure 15 presents an example of Sampo data and tilt correction as ARD curves. Often, these curves should more or less vertical at lowest frequencies, but a tilt error can notably change the direction of the curve.

In the interpretation of the data from Perttilähti, the suggested automatic tilt error values would have been tens of degrees in magnitude, which seems unrealistic. This is possibly caused by the conducting black schists nearby at various depths, which affect the signal of the lowest frequencies. In other words, the base assumption of automatic tilt error correction is not met.

Testing different values for tilt error correction showed that the chosen tilt correction has an essential effect on the ARD curve, which forms the base of the layer model. The conductive layers along line 4 would have been located some hundreds of meters deeper if automatic tilt correction had been used. However, it was decided not to apply any tilt error correction.

For profile 199.000 (Kontinen et al. 2006; see location in Fig. 3), galvanic conductivity logging results from drill hole OKU-745 can be found in the Outokumpu Oy drill-core dataset (Fig. 16). These data clearly indicate that both the shallow and deep black schists appear highly conductive in comparison to other rocks, with the exception of the ore intersection at deeper levels. Since the layers of shallower conductive black schists effectively mask the conductive response from lower depths, it is highly unlikely to obtain a direct response from the ore formation in a geological scenario, as illustrated in Figure 16.

Fig. 15. Tilt correction. The black ARD curve presents a sounding with a typical tilt error. The resistivity of the lowest frequencies is erroneously low. The blue ARD curve presents the tilt-corrected curve. (From Sipola 2002)
Fig. 16. Conductivity logging in drill hole OKU-745 on the geological profile 199.000 (Kontinen et al. 2006). Blue = mica schist, purple = black schist, various greens = Outokumpu association rocks. Conductivity scale: 0 units = 0.001 S/m, 5 units = 100 S/m.
CONCLUSIONS

There is an abundance of highly conductive black schists in the Outokumpu region which, even as thin layers can mask other nearby or underlying conductors, such as ore bodies. As we have no previous deep-penetrating ground EM data directly on top of ore formations in the available data archives, the new Perttilahti Sampo survey was thought to give the current project a reference for using ground EM surveys as a direct exploration tool in the region. The conductors detected in the Perttilahti Sampo survey are assumed to be black schists. However, their location may not be truthfully detected with the available 1D interpretation method due to various 3D effects caused by for example the 35–40-degree dip angle of the structures. The interpreted Sampo conductors show moderate correlation with the geological loggings, which may be due to the screening by black schists or problems defining the tilt correction of Sampo measurements.

The geological setting and especially the abundance of black schists in Outokumpu is, as shown by various examples presented in this study, challenging for EM surveys, which in general are one of the most effective tools for sulphide exploration. In the case of the black schists appearing in connection with the Outokumpu association rocks, a correctly interpreted conductivity structure related to the former may lead to traces of the latter. However, direct geophysical exploration for sulphide ore still seems, based on these examples, a demanding task in this region. Careful survey planning, synthetic advance modelling, result interpretation and validation with all available reference data in a 3D environment become increasingly important as the complexity of the problem grows.
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GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS OF THE MIIHKALI AREA, EASTERN FINLAND

by

Ilkka Lahti1), Hanna Leväniemi2), Asko Kontinen3), Peter Sorjonen-Ward3), Soile Aatos3) and Esko Koistinen3)


The Miihkali area in the northeastern Outokumpu ore belt is an excellent place to carry out geophysical research, as the area is characterized by diverse geophysical anomalies and is also prospective for Outokumpu-type massive sulphide ore deposits. In this paper, we deal with geophysical surveys that have been conducted during the last decades in the area, including ground electromagnetic (EM) and potential field surveys, airborne surveys and petrophysical measurements of drill cores. These data have been acquired by the Outokumpu Mining Company, the Geological Survey of Finland, and their joint venture, the GEOMEX project. We also report new data from deep EM (ZTEM and AMT) surveys carried out during the ongoing Outokumpu (OKU) mining camp project. All these geophysical data are summarized and modelled from various standpoints, and the geological implications are discussed.

The potential field datasets predate the current project. In this study, we tested both 3D forward modelling and inversion as deep exploration tools. The results imply that the current drilling scope covers the majority of the anomaly sources, although some discrepancies remain between the new density model and the drill core logs. We applied 3D inversion to a regional magnetic dataset with partial success; it became clear that comprehensive interpretation would need to accommodate for remanent magnetization, which plays a significant role in magnetic modelling in the Miihkali region. The 3D models suggest that the deep-set magnetic and high-density features east of the more shallow main Miihkali massif may not be thoroughly explored, and further work on these features could provide some previously undiscovered information on the Miihkali massif region.

Deep EM results show conductors along the western margin of the Miihkali mica gneisses and the Miihkali serpentinite massif. The ZTEM and AMT 2D inversion results indicate a gently eastwards–dipping conductor in the Miihkali mica gneiss located at the bottom of the inferred Miihkali nappe, reaching depths greater than 1 km near to its eastern margin. The gently dipping conductor is probably related to the N–S elongated airborne EM anomalies coinciding with the Miihkali serpentinite massif and the black schist band along the western margin of the allochthonous Miihkali mica gneisses. In most cases, the near-surface airborne EM anomalies can be
connected to deep ZTEM anomalies, showing the continuation of elongated conductive features to greater depths. The densely spaced Sampo soundings distinguished separate conductors in the Miihkali serpentinite massif and within the western margin of the Miihkali mica gneisses. In addition, the Sampo surveys revealed conductors at the Kiskonjoki prospect, which have been proved to be caused by Outokumpu-type rocks intersected by drillings at the depth of 300 m.
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GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Miikhali area is located within the northern part of the largely metasedimentary early Proterozoic North Karelia Schist Belt (NKSБ) (Fig. 1). The main content of the c 12 000 km² NKSБ are Kaleva stage (2.1–1.9 Ga) intercalated wackes and shales. Older, Sariola (2.3–2.2 Ga) and Jatuli stage (2.2–2.1 Ga) arenitic sequences are found narrowly rimming the belt in the north and east, deposited on a deeply eroded dominantly gneissic–migmatitic granitoid (>2.6 Ga) basement. A major part of the main Kaleva fill is composed of deep-water metaturbidites that contain zones of black schists, the latter in part with sheets and lenses of serpentinized peridotites±metagabbroic–amphibolitic rocks interpreted as fault-bound ophiolite fragments. The ophiolite-bearing parts of the NSKB have long been considered allochthonous (Wegmann 1928, 1929, Väyrynen 1939, Koistinen 1981, Park & Bowes 1983, Park & Doody 1990), and are collectively known as the Outokumpu nappe/nappe complex or allochthon (Park & Doody 1990, Peltonen et al. 2008). The Saramäki sulphide deposit (Hallikainen 1980) is located in the present study area.

A special feature of the Outokumpu allochthon is that the peridotite bodies in the included ophiolite fragments are all not only serpentinized but also thinly altered (max 50 m) at their margins to carbonate, carbonate–silica and silica rocks (birbirites). This alteration occurred before or early during the regional deformation and metamorphism (Kontinen 1998, Peltonen et al. 2008). The alteration appears to have been most intense where the parent serpentinized peridotite bodies were/are located against particularly thick layers of sulphide–graphite rich black shale. The assemblage of serpentine–carbonate–calc–silicate rock–quartz rock–black schist constitute the Outokumpu association or assemblage (Gaal et al. 1975, Park 1988), famous for being the host environment of the Outokumpu-type massive–semi–massive sulphide deposits.

Fig. 1. Geological map of the study area (Bedrock of Finland − DigiKP). The dashed rectangle indicates the location of the study area. The location of the cross-section view in Figure 2 is shown by the black E–W line (N=6990000). The red dashed line denotes the approximate location of the antigorite–out isograd from Säntti et al. (2006), implying <550 °C peak–M conditions to the east and >550 °C to the west of the line.
The Miihkali area comprises the northernmost part of the eastern main segment of the Outokumpu allochthon. The allochthon rests in the area on an Archaean-aged, dominantly granite gneissic basement thinly covered by a relatively flat-lying Early Proterozoic (Jatuli-stage) sequence of cratonic–epicontinental feldspathic to quartz arenitic metasands with some thin calc silicate rock–carbonaceous metapelitic intercalations. The interface of the basement and the Jatuli sequence is intruded by laterally extensive, up to 200–300 m thick, metamorphosed–deformed pyroxenite–gabbro–leucogabbro sills. The basal contact of the allochthon is defined in the area by a thrust fault with thin slivers of obvious Archaean gneisses, as seen, for example, at Saarivaara and Matovaara, west of Lake Miihkalinjärvi.

In the study area, the Outokumpu allochthon is traditionally divided, largely based on the interpretation of anomaly patterns on geophysical maps, into two overlapping thrust sheets or nappes (e.g. Park & Doody 1990, Sorjonen–Ward et al. 1997). The lower one, in the area of the basal unit of the Outokumpu allochthon, we refer to as the Sukkulansalo nappe, while we refer to the discontinuously serpentinite and black schist–rimmed, c. 10 x 25 km oval feature in the middle part of the Miihkali area as the Miihkali nappe. This is traditionally interpreted as a down–folded thrust sheet into a structural basin on the Sukkulansalo nappe.

There are a few minor lenses of ophiolitic rocks in the Sukkulansalo nappe, whereas the interpreted western basal part of the Miihkali nappe hosts perhaps the largest cohesive serpentinite–mafic massif so far recognized in the Outokumpu allochthon, the near 15 km long and up to 1.5 km wide Miihkali massif. Unlike the better known bodies in the Outokumpu–Vuonos–Horsmanaho zone, which tend to be >90% ultramafic, there is a variably significant, in some parts over 50% mafic, mostly coarse–grained, foliated metagabbroic component within the Miihkali massif. Most of this component occurs as relatively thin dykes or sills in the serpentinite; for example, within a 735 m, near perpendicular section through the massif, the drill hole Jumi–46 contains 38.4% (n = 79) mafic sheets/dykes averaging 3.58 ± 6.54 m in thickness. This may be exploration–wise an important aspect to recognize, as the Outokumpu alteration assemblage appears to be a noticeably less abundant component in the Miihkali massif than in the sulphide–ore–blessed Outokumpu, Vuonos or Kylvlahti massifs (Saastamoinen 1972). It must be noted here that the drill–core logging by the Outokumpu company for the Miihkali prospect was predominantly rather sketchy, failing to consistently distinguish skarns (calc–silicate rocks) from metabasic rocks (metagabros and amphibolites) and ultramafic–derived quartz rocks (metabirbitrites) from epiclastic quartzites, and lumping together the various, petrophysically differing types of ultramafic rocks (antigorite serpentinite, serpentinitized talc–olivine and olivine–talc–carbonate rock). The ambiguity in rock identification and naming severely complicates and reduces the usability of the drilling–related lithology database.

An important factor to consider in most geophysical interpretations is the increasing metamorphic grade from east to west in the Miihkali area. A change from lower to middle amphibolite facies takes place across a line running approximately N–S through the middle of the Miihkali area, coinciding with the antigorite out/talc–olivine (in low CO_j/H_2O reaction in ultramafic rocks (Säntti et al. 2006). The discordant nature of the isograd implies that the peak metamorphism post–dated the main deformations. Because of the metamorphic zoning, ultramafic bodies in the eastern part of the area are antigorite serpentinites grading towards their margins (towards higher CO_j/H_2O at the peak of metamorphism) to carbonate–antigorite serpentinites and talc–carbonate rocks, whereas in the western part these rocks are transformed into talc–olivine rocks and distinctly olivine porphyroblastic (“dalmatian”) talc–carbonate rocks. In a similar way, only tremolite is met in calc–silicate rocks in the east, whereas diopside appears in compositionally similar rocks in the west. The reactions involved increase rock density (Lehtonen 1981, Leväniemi 2016 (this volume)), and also contribute to the rock magnetic properties, but these effects are complicated by the usually extensive retrogression of ferromanganese minerals, especially olivine, to lizardite and chrysotile serpentinite.

No detailed field–based study has yet been carried out on the structural geology of the Miihkali area. All existing structural interpretations have been presented in connection with broader regional overviews, based on rock distribution and structural data on 1:100 000 scale maps, which were compiled during the 1970s (based on data mainly collected by trainee students), and on the interpretation of geophysical anomaly maps. Some
attempts to geophysically evaluate/model the Miihkali structure were made by the Outokumpu company, but the results were mostly only presented in company reports (e.g. Ketola 1973, Ketola 1974, Soininen 1979, Lehtonen 1980). An early attempt to model the 3D structure of the whole northern part of the eastern NKSB is included in an exploration report by Saastamoinen (1972), in the form of a block diagram constructed on seven interpreted SW–NE trending cross-sections. The diagram was prepared before the major revitalization of the early adopted Alpine concepts in the 1980s (Wegmann 1928, Väyrynen 1939) in the analysis of the tectonic nature of the NKSB, but now from a plate tectonic perspective (e.g. Koistinen 1981, Park & Bowes 1983, Park et al. 1984, Park & Doody 1990). Reflecting its time of completion, the interpretation in Saastamoinen (1972) makes no distinction between autochthonous and allochthonous units, and the Kalevian deep water sedimentary rocks are also considered autochthonous materials sedimented in a geosynclinal basin and the enclosed serpentinites as intrusive units. For the geosynclinal Kaleva, a maximum thickness of about 5 km was inferred in the middle part of the Miihkali area. The Kalevian sediments were seen as directly deposited on the Archaean basement or its thin cover of Jatulian quartzites.

In the more recent regional structural models (e.g. Koistinen 1981, Park & Bowes 1983, Park et al. 1984, Sorjonen-Ward et al. 1997), the Miihkali nappe is usually interpreted as an erosional remnant of the Outokumpu nappe that now includes as its main preserved part the Viinijärvi “basin” delineated in the west by the Outokumpu–Vuonos–Horsmanaho and in the east by the Sola–Onkilähti–Petäjäjärvi serpentinite black schist chains. As there is a quite distinct difference in the mafic-ultramafic ratio between the Miihkali and Outokumpu ophiolite fragments, it is possible that they represent different oceanic proto-sources, and hence their Miihkali and Outokumpu hosts possibly separate nappe sheets. We note here that in their synthesis across the NKSB, Park and Doody (1990, Fig. 11) correlated the Miihkali structure with their Kaavi area “duplexes”. Large recumbent folds have been interpreted as an early (F1) character of the Viinijärvi basin or synform (Koistinen 1981, Park & Doody 1990). If the routinely assumed direct correlation of Miihkali and Viinijärvi was true, the possibility of early recumbent folds should also be assumed in the Miihkali nappe.

Finally, we note that the customary interpretation of the Sukkulansalo and Miihkali nappes forming a simple synformal structural basin is in fact in conflict with structural data on the published geological maps, which show that across the entire central part of the assumed basin, schistosities systematically dip eastwards (<20–60 degrees) and do not therefore define any structural basin. Our own observations for c. 50 outcrops across the central part of the Miihkali nappe are in agreement, as in all of these outcrops, an east-dipping spaced foliation was the most readily observable structural aspect. Even more critically, we found from this tentative mapping that bedding was
also mostly dipping to the east, although gently N or S plunging outcrop-scale S-type folds were locally observed to cause minor complications to this simple general pattern. Importantly, we also saw no outcrop evidence suggestive of the expected (see above) isoclinal/recumbent F1 folding. One option is that the Miihkali basin was actually defined by a west overturned asymmetrical syncline (with a steeper eastern limb), although this model also requires subsequent faulting to explain departures from the expected ideal structural (foliation/So) pattern.

If the Miihkali nappe is still poorly understood, even less is known for certain about the internal structure of the underlying Sukkulansalo nappe. Overall, the only reconnaissance-style general mapping currently available for the area does not allow a truly meaningful structural analysis, which we assess would be a very difficult task, even if detailed, structurally-oriented field mapping was carried out, given the missing topographical relief and only mediocre exposure in the area. Quite plentiful and partly fairly deep-reaching (up to 1.2 km) drilling helps to constrain the structure of the western part of the Miihkali area, but in the eastern part there are only a few deeper holes concentrated around the Lipasvaara talc-mining site. Figure 2 presents an interpreted geological cross-section (E–W) of the area.

**POTENTIAL FIELD MODELLING**

The potential field dataset for the region modelled in this study (Fig. 3a) comprises systematic line-based and regional gravity data collected by Outokumpu Oy (Ketola 1973) and the airborne magnetic dataset of GTK (Hautaniemi et al. 2005).

The systematic gravity survey comprises Bouguer anomaly data with mainly 100 and 200 m line spacing and 20 m sample spacing along the lines. The station density for the regional gravity data in the study area is 4.65 p/km² in the west and c. 2 p/km² in the east (Fig. 3c). The modelling dataset was constructed by combining the interpolated grids of the systematic and regional surveys so that the former data (first corrected for level) were used where available and the latter were used to fill the remaining regions (Fig. 3d). The final modelling dataset was sampled from the combined grid with 500 m line spacing and 50 m sample spacing along lines that cross the study area in an east–west direction.

The magnetic dataset (Fig. 3b) contains data from the Juankoski airborne survey area completed in August–September 1980, corresponding to an IGRF total field intensity of 52 129 nT, field inclination 74.5° and declination 7.5°. The survey was conducted with 200 m line spacing; in the study area, the median sample spacing was 24 m and the median altitude 35 m. For this work, the data were interpolated with 50 m cell spacing, upward continued to 50 m altitude for noise-reduction purposes and finally sampled with 100 m line spacing (east–west lines) and 50 m sample spacing. The regional level of 51 300 nT was removed from the data.

We used both forward modelling and 3D inversion in interpreting the Miihkali potential field dataset. The density model was constructed using forward modelling. This approach was selected due to the abundance of drill hole data that could be used in model construction and also because of the double-peaked density distribution of the Miihkali massif rocks (see Leväniemi 2016), which proved challenging for the inversion algorithms tested in the course of the work. The aeromagnetic data for the Miihkali region were modelled with 3D inversion algorithms. We employed the UBC–GIF inversion algorithm MAG3D v4.0 (e.g. Li & Oldenburg 1996) run via the Pitney Bowes ModelVision v14.0 interface; the latter was also used for all forward modelling.

**Gravity modelling**

The forward modelling was performed on east–west-trending lines with 500 m line spacing and 50 m station spacing. Terrain topography was included in the model. The Bouguer anomaly map (Fig. 3d) shows two main gravity components: a broad, long-wavelength regional maximum and more local features, most of which are probably related to the Miihkali massif. The modelling was respectively performed in two phases: firstly, the generation of the regional level model, and then modelling of the ultramafic massif.
Regional level

A wide long-wavelength anomaly located in the mid-part of the study area (Fig. 3d) is assumed to be caused by the metasediments (‘mica schists’) that lie over the slightly lower-density Archaean basement, as earlier demonstrated by Ketola (1974). In the model, the mica schist density was set to a relative value of 0.08 g/cm³ (in comparison to the Archaean gneisses) (see Leväniemi 2016) and the model regional level at −8.5 mgal. With these parameters, we were able to model the mica schists with a 3D model body that has a maximum thickness of less than 3 km and a western contact dipping towards the east (Fig. 4).

The regional level model conforms moderately well to the geological cross-section presented in geology chapter (Fig. 2) and in Figure 5, and as such it is plausible that the regional gravity maximum is mainly caused by the metasediments. Any mafic dykes and sills within the underlying Jatuli and Archaean rock are assumed to be of
relatively high density and would also contribute to the total anomaly, but with the currently available information, the undisputed separation of various regional anomaly sources is unavoidably infeasible.

The calculated gravity response of the mica schist model body was removed from the original Bouguer anomaly data in order to disclose the residual response, mainly comprising the response from the Miinhkali massif rocks (Fig. 6).

Fig. 4. Two cross-sections of the regional level model.

The potential field modelling study area is outlined in black.

Fig. 5. Regional level model (dark blue) in comparison with the geological cross-section of N=6990000 (Fig. 2). The potential field modelling study area is outlined in black.

Fig. 6 a) Modelled response of the mica schist body, i.e. regional level and b) the gravity residual. Note the difference in the colour palettes. The residual data were only modelled for the region outlined in black on the gravity residual map.
Modelling of the Miihkali Massif

The residual gravity data for the Miihkali massif (Fig. 6) consist of gravity maxima and a distinct gravity minimum. This indicates that the massif, in terms of densities, is divided into a low-density part, i.e. the chrysotile serpentinite, and a high-density part, which comprises the rest of the Outo-kumpu altered ultramafic rocks (OAUM), as well as the metagabbros.

For the residual model, we used relative density values of -0.25 g/cm$^3$ for the serpentinites and 0.18 g/cm$^3$ for the OAUM rocks and metagabbros (in comparison to the mica schist; Leväniemi 2016). For the serpentinites, the density used in modelling was lower than the local median value of serpentinites (see Leväniemi 2016); this value was selected by comparing the modelling results with drilling: a lower density gives better-suited bottom depths for model bodies. We suggest this is because the modelled units contain few ‘impurities’ that would increase the density, i.e. the modelled units represent the low-value end of the density histogram, perhaps partly due to incompatibilities between the geological logging terminology and geophysical characteristics.

The final model is presented in Figure 7 and its response in comparison to the residual gravity data in Figure 8.

Fig. 7. The Miihkali massif density model, with the transparent gray surface part outlining the extent of the massif on the bedrock map. Model colours: Green = serpentinite, orange = OAUM rocks and metagabbros.

Fig. 8 a) The residual gravity data from Figure 6b, and b) the response from the model presented in Figure 7.
In the course of forward modelling, the classification data of the drill core lithology were used as guide in constructing the model bodies. However, as the model only uses two density values, some discrepancies between the drilling results and the model remain. For example, in Figure 9, in the eastern bottom part of the massif (e.g. drillhole JU–MI–114), black schists appear among the OAUM rocks, which lowers the bulk density; the model density may be assumed to be too high and consequently the bottom depth too shallow. In this profile, a noteworthy feature is the high-density feature at the eastern end of the massif; this was modelled as a curved, upward-reaching extension of the ultramafic rock.

In some cases, in order to maintain the best compatibility with drilling results, the low-density serpentinite sections in the drill hole were omitted, i.e. were assumed to be of high density. An example of this is shown in Figure 10.
Modelling of the Saramäki deposit

The Saramäki mineralization south of the main massif (see Fig. 1) consists of a <20 m thick and 300 m wide sheet of dominantly disseminated to massive sulphides located in a relatively thin (<50–100 m) fault-bounded sliver of Outokumpu association rocks, mainly calc-silicate±carbonate rich skarns, but also skarnoid (Ca-metasomatised and metamorphosed) metabasic rocks (Kontinen et al. 2006). The sliver of Outokumpu-type rocks is enclosed in highly tectonized, mylonitic black and mica schists, apparently controlled by a NE striking, 20–25 degrees SW dipping fault plane. The main metals in the mineralization are, as is typical in OKU-type deposits, Co (0.086 wt%), Cu (0.71 wt%), Zn (0.63 wt%) and Ni (0.05 wt%) (Kontinen et al. 2006), although the grades are significantly lower than, for instance, in Outokumpu and Vuonos deposits. The ore has a moraine-covered surface exposure, from which it plunges, along with the sliver of OKU rocks, towards the northeast to the depth of at least 700 m. The metal grades increase towards greater depths (Hallikainen 1980).

On the residual gravity anomaly map, presented with the regional (upward continued for 1 km) level removed, especially the region in the vicinity of the disseminated, low-grade ore outcrop appears as a local maximum (Fig. 11a). The magnetic vertical component data (Fig. 11b) show some of the deeper extension of the ore-hosting rock assemblage as a long-wavelength anomaly extending northeast from the sharp short-wavelength main anomaly zone resulting from shallow magnetic sources.

Two cross-sections of the mineralized zone (Fig. 12) highlight the petrophysical properties of the Saramäki deposit. On the southern section, at shallow depths (Fig. 12a), the highest drill core sample densities are mainly due to skarns and quartz rocks, except for a thin mineralization intersection in drill hole JU–MI–36. At the northern cross-section (Fig. 12b), the higher ore grades result in high densities; the relative proportion of skarns and carbonate rocks is lower at shallow depths in this cross-section. The highest magnetic susceptibilities correspond to skarns and black schists, but as discussed above, the remanent magnetization may greatly affect the magnetic anomaly signatures in this region.

To test the extent to which the measured gravity data can be explained with current knowledge of the Saramäki deposit, the data were inverted with the help of constraints based on the drill hole data (for drill-hole locations, see Figure 11b and c) using the UBC GRAV3D algorithm (Li & Oldenburg 1998). The mineralization is mainly hosted by skarn and skarnoid mafic rocks, the density of which is higher than that of the mica schist (Fig. 12), and the sequence can be followed from one drilling section to another (Fig. 13). A “high-density body” was built to surround this sheet-like host rock sequence (Fig. 14). In inversion, the density was required to
exceed a relative value of 0.1 g/cm³ within this body; outside the body boundaries, the density was allowed to vary between −0.1 and 0.5 g/cm³. The aim of inversion is to determine whether the recovered model suggests high densities outside the limiting body. The cell size in inversion is 50 by 50 m.

The resulting constrained inversion model (Fig. 15) suggests that either the high densities related to mineralization and its host rock do not extend further north than is covered by the current drilling, or, if there is continuation, the density contrast is not high enough to give rise to gravity anomalies in ground surveys. At the northern end of the model, the altered rocks of ultramafic origin in the Miihkali massif explain well the shallow density structures in the recovered inversion model.
Fig. 13. Drill-hole lithologies.

Fig. 14. The constraining high-density body viewed from the a) east and b) south.

Fig. 15. The Saramäki 3D gravity inversion model (clipped at 0.06 g/cm³).
Magnetic modelling

Magnetic modelling was conducted with the MAG3D inversion algorithm. The size of the study area was 19.0 km by 16.5 km; the inversion cell size in a horizontal direction was selected to be 100 m. The input data were upward-continued to a nominal altitude of 50 m prior to inversion. The inversion parameter values are listed in Table 1.

Remanent magnetization in inversion

In the Miihkali region, as in the Outokumpu region in general, there is one inversion precondition that is known not to be fulfilled: the inversion algorithms do not usually take remanent magnetization into account. However, most notably, the black schists in the study region are known to have high ratios of remanent to induced magnetization (Koenigsberger ratio or Q ratio). In Miihkali, the ratio for black schists is c. Q = 10 and the direction of remanent magnetization also deviates from the inducing field direction (inclination = 45°, declination = 90°) (Ahokas 1980), i.e. the magnitude of the remanent magnetization is ten-fold greater than the induced magnetization, and the magnetization direction also differs from that of the induced magnetization. For serpentinites and skarn rocks, Ahokas (1980) reports such wide value ranges and standard deviations that the generalized remanent magnetization parameters for these rocks cannot be established from the sample set.

Figure 16 presents a synthetic model response of a dipping black schist body 1) with induced magnetization only and 2) with added remanent magnetization, such as the body would appear in the Miihkali region. With remanent magnetization of this direction and magnitude, the amplitude of the modelled response is c. ten-fold greater in comparison to the response from the induced magnetization only; the shape of the anomaly is also notably different.

To examine the effect of remanent magnetization in inversion that only assumes induced magnetization to be present, unconstrained inversions of the calculated responses from the synthetic

![Figure 16](image)

**Fig. 16.** a) Model body with dimensions 100 x 400 x 620 m and 30-degree dip, magnetic susceptibility k = 0.02 SI and the top surface at the depth of 10 m, the magnetic anomaly response b) without and (c) with remanent magnetization (Q = 10, I = 45° and D = 90°). The results are modelled at 15 m altitude with field parameters matching the Miihkali airborne survey. Note the difference in the magnitudes of the magnetic anomalies.

![Figure 17](image)

**Fig. 17.** Cross sections of inversion results of the synthetic model responses of Figure 16: a) the model with Q = 0 and b) the model with Q = 10. The original model body is outlined in white. Note the difference in the colour scales.
models demonstrated that the inversion algorithm manages to solve the general dip direction and depth extent for the model with $Q = 0$, although the inferred dip is too steep (Fig. 17a). However, for the model $Q = 10$ (Fig. 17b), the direction of the remanent magnetization greatly affects the solved dip direction as the recovered model body appears nearly vertical. The results provide an indication of the effects we may see in the Miihkali inversion results whenever black schists are present.

Inversion results

The magnetic inversion was performed for the entire study area (Figs. 3a–b).

Due to the strong remanent magnetization of the black schists, in particular, it is challenging to find reasonable ways to employ geological or petrophysical inversion constraints on the Miihkali region. Constraining the variation in the magnetic properties based on the density model would disregard the black schists altogether, as they may occur outside the density model bodies; similarly, guiding the inversion towards a reference model built from measured drill core susceptibilities would bias the calculation. Thus, the inversion was finally left unconstrained. The inversion parameters are presented in Table 1.

Looking at the recovered magnetic susceptibility model at various depths (Fig. 18), the shallow parts of the model reflect the known Miihkali massif rocks and black schists. The deeper parts of the magnetic structure, visible on the magnetic map (Fig. 18a) as long-wavelength anomalies, become clearly visible in the model at depths greater than 500 m below the surface.

The 3D model can be clipped to only show magnetic susceptibilities ($k$) exceeding a certain threshold value. In Figure 19a, clipping the model to show higher susceptibilities only ($k > 0.05$ SI), we can see a structure closely corresponding to the surface presentation of the Miihkali massif rocks, although the dip of the model structure can be considered unduly steep with regard to prior geological knowledge. With a lower threshold value ($k > 0.025$ SI) in Figure 19b, the deep-set curving “extensions” of the magnetic body (also detected in Fig. 18a) can be outlined.

Comparison of the recovered susceptibility model with drill-hole information (e.g. Fig. 20) draws attention to the dip and depth extent of high-susceptibility parts of the model. Considering the effects introduced in Figure 17, the remanent magnetization of the black schists (top part of drill hole JU-MI-81, bottom parts of the drill holes JU-MI-114 and JU-MI-78 in Figure 20) may well cause an overly vertical dip for the structure, as well as a false low-magnetization region on the eastern side of the black schists flanking the meta-ultramafic and gabbro rocks. In this case, it is difficult to estimate the exact effects of the remanent magnetization on the inversion results. In addition to the dip directions, the high total magnetization in the vicinity of the black schists (c. ten-fold in comparison to induced magnetization) may well cause the depth extent of the structures to be unreliably portrayed; comparison of the model and the measured susceptibilities certainly reveals inaccuracies in the inversion results. However, drill hole JU-MI-78 shows a section of high-susceptibility serpentinite rocks, and the inversion model susceptibilities also increase east of the drill hole; the top depth of the inversion structure fits the drilling results. This suggests that even though the recovered inversion model result is admittedly at least partially open to dispute, the model can still highlight interesting magnetic features outside the scope of the current drilling information.

Table 1. Parameters used in the magnetic 3D inversion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inversion parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesh size</td>
<td>$194 \times 169 \times 40$ cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell size</td>
<td>$100 \times 100$ m, cell height increasing downwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample interval</td>
<td>$100 \times 100$ m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum depth</td>
<td>4000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data error</td>
<td>20 nT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chifact</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model bounds</td>
<td>0.0–1.0 SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topography</td>
<td>Constant 150 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>Constant 200 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth weighting</td>
<td>$\beta = 3.0, z_0 = 74.45$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial and reference models</td>
<td>0.0 SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field parameters</td>
<td>$B = 51219$ nT, $I = 74.5^\circ, D = 7.5^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 18. Residual total magnetic intensity data (a) and the recovered magnetic susceptibility model at depths of
b) 200 m, c) 500 m and d) 1000 m below the surface.

Fig. 19. Recovered magnetic susceptibility model clipped at a) 0.05 SI and b) 0.025 SI for the Miihkali massif,
which is outlined in both a) and b) for its surface geology.
DEEP ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEYS

In the Outokumpu region, the Mihkali area is exceptionally favourable for electromagnetic (EM) measurements, as the area is located far from major sources of anthropogenic electromagnetic disturbances. This is particularly beneficial for ZTEM and AMT surveys, which utilize natural electromagnetic fields. Prior to the current project, scalar AMT (Soininen 1979, Lehtonen 1980) and Gefinex 400s (Kuronen et al. 2003) surveys had been carried out in the Mihkali area. New ZTEM and tensor AMT data were collected during this project. Figure 21 presents the survey lines of the aforementioned deep EM measurements plotted on airborne magnetic and electromagnetic maps. The airborne EM map (Fig. 21b) shows a shallow conductivity structure (generally < 50 m), which helps to assess the spatial correlation of surface and deep conductivity anomalies.

ZTEM survey

As a part of the Outokumpu mining camp project, GTK purchased a helicopter Z-axis tipper electromagnetic (ZTEM) survey that was carried out by Geotech Airborne Ltd in June 2013. The ZTEM system provides information from sources located in the depth range of approximately 0.5–2 km. Altogether, ~ 1250 line km were measured with line spacing of 500 m, 1 km and 2 km. Line spacing in the Mihkali area was either 1 or 2 km. The contractor provided 2D and 3D inversions of the ZTEM data (Geotech Ltd 2013). The description of the survey and the inversion results are presented in the same volume by Kurimo et al. (2016).
The ZTEM system measures naturally occurring magnetic field variations as the magnetotelluric (MT) technique (Condor Consulting Inc. 2012). From a geological point of view, the ZTEM system responds best to conductivity contrasts associated with large-scale geological features. The moving receiver measures the vertical magnetic field \(H_z\) and the horizontal components \(H_x\) and \(H_y\) are measured simultaneously at a base station. The processed data (tipper components) comprise the ratios of \(H_z/H_x\) and \(H_z/H_y\), commonly referred to as the tipper ratios \(T_{zx}\) and \(T_{zy}\). The data consist of 24 parameters in total: real (in-phase) and imaginary (quadrature) parts of the tipper transfer functions derived from the in-line \(T_{xx}\) and the cross-line \(T_{xy}\) components of six frequencies (25, 37, 75, 150, 300 and 600 Hz). It is noteworthy that \(H_z\) is generated by the horizontal conductivity contrasts (2D and 3D structures), and the system is consequently not sensitive to 1D (layered earth) structures. The obvious advantage of ZTEM is that multi-frequency deep EM data can be acquired from a large area in a short time.

It is well known that owing to the inherently ambiguous nature of geophysical data, significantly differing interpretations can be relevantly produced from the same data. However, features that are consistent between various interpretations are probably more reliable. Top views of 2D (interpolated) and 3D inversion results are compared in Figure 22. As an example of modelling differences, the Saramäki deposit area (Fig. 22a) is conductive in the 2D results, whereas 3D results do not show a clear conductivity anomaly associated with the target. On the other hand, the Saramäki area is not optimal for 2D inversion, as the conductivity anomalies are not perpendicular to the modelling lines (flight lines), which is a pre-assumption of 2D inversion. Therefore, the obtained conductivity structure could partly be a modelling artefact. Both results, however, show conductive graphite-bearing schist to the west of the deposit, which is clearly seen in the airborne EM results (Fig. 21b).

2D inversion is independently performed for each survey line, whereas 3D inversion uses all data simultaneously. Thus, for cases with a large line separation, 3D results may become unreliable, as the geoelectric structure is “under-sampled”, that is, the penetration depth or the EM field is less than the line spacing. This might be the case in the NE part of the ZTEM survey area, where the line spacing was 2 km. The limitation of 2D inversion, in turn, is the abovementioned pre-assumption that the electrical structure is perpendicular to the modelled survey lines. This assumption is often likely to be violated in the study area. In this study, for example, in the north-easternmost part of the survey area, at least shallow conductors are elongated along the flight lines (e.g. see Fig. 21b). The other disadvantage of 2D inversion is that only 50% of ZTEM data are used, i.e. the in-line \(T_{zx}\) i.e. TE mode) component, as the TM mode tipper response is zero in a 2D model.

Figure 23 shows ZTEM models in a perspective view together with the surface geology. It can be seen from the images that both the 2D and 3D
Fig. 22. Spatial distribution of ZTEM conductors: a) interpolated 2D and b) 3D inversion results. A red colour denotes 500 Ωm and blue (at 50% transparency) 3000 Ωm isosurfaces, respectively. The locations of the deep EM profiles presented in Figure 21 are shown as thin black lines. Thick black lines outline some main geological boundaries. The Saramäki deposit is indicated by a yellow star.

models show a pronounced conductor (C1), which appears spatially closely related to the Miihkali massif. The geological explanation for the apparently enhanced electrical conductivity of the serpentinite–metagabbro massif is unclear. It is noteworthy, however, that according to galvanic electrical conductivity measurements (Kettola 1973), for instance, Outokumpu serpentinites could themselves be quite conductive. The band of conductive sulphide–graphite bearing schists occurring along the western margin of the Miihkali mica gneiss area may also contribute to the observed ZTEM anomaly. Furthermore, the thin black schist layers and/or sulphides frequently occurring in or at the serpentinite body margins certainly cause EM anomalies. In the 2D model images, the C1 conductor is seen to gently dip eastwards below an overlying resistive unit (R1). In the 3D model, a deep conductor C2 is detected at the depths of 500–750 m. Although the deep conductor C2 is absent in 2D inversion results, many conductive and resistive features are quite similar (C1, C3, C4 and R2) in both the 2D and 2D inversion results. The conductor C2 is located in the area of widely spaced survey lines (2 km), and its existence should thus be tested with drilling or by additional deep EM measurements.

Fig. 23. Perspective views of a) the interpolated 2D and b) 3D ZTEM inversion results. A red colour denotes 500 Ωm and blue (50% transparency) 3000 Ωm isosurfaces, respectively. For reference, a transparent geological map is also presented. The view is from the south.
AMT soundings were performed in the Miihkali area in 1979–1980 and during the current project. The older measurements were performed by Outokumpu Oy with scalar AMT equipment (Soininen 1979, Lehtonen 1980). In addition, Lakanen (1981) reported results from control source AMT tests in Miihkali. In the scalar measurement of the 1979–1980 survey, a single polarization impedance sounding curve was acquired and the sensors needed to be rotated in order to obtain information from other polarizations. The system measured nine apparent resistivity values in the frequency range of 8–3700 Hz. The older survey was carried out along a 14 km long profile, which transected the Miihkali mica gneiss area in the E–W direction (Fig. 21). The measurements in “TE mode” were performed using 200 m site spacing and in “TM mode” at 400 m site spacing. In the TE mode, the induction coil magnetometer is perpendicular and electric dipole parallel to the geoelectric strike direction. In the TM mode, the electric dipole is perpendicular and induction coil magnetometers parallel to the strike. Therefore, when using these concepts, the structure is assumed to be 2D, and in the case of Miihkali the 2D electrical conductivity structure was assumed to be N–S elongated. One-dimensional interpretation was carried out for both modes and results were displayed as pseudosections. An eastwards-dipping conductor was interpreted to follow the lower boundary of the Miihkali mica gneiss/Sukkulansalo nappe. The results also inferred a shallower depth for the central part of the mica gneiss unit (depths 600–900 m) followed by an abrupt increase in depth to the east. In the easternmost part, the unit was interpreted to be thinning eastwards.

GTK has recently carried out tensor AMT surveys using acquisition procedures that include remote referenced measurements (Gamble et al. 1979), robust processing (Egbert & Booker 1986, Chave et al. 1987) and subsequent 1D/2D/3D modelling and inversion. The instruments used and the surveys have been described in Lahti (2015). In the measurement procedure, five components \( (H_x, H_y, H_z, E_x, \text{ and } E_y) \) of the EM field are simultaneously recorded. In addition, a remote reference site records two components \( (H_x \text{ and } H_y) \) during the survey, which helps to reduce uncorrelated EM disturbances. During this project, AMT data were acquired along five profiles, including one for the Miihkali area. The AMT data at the frequency range 1–10 000 Hz were acquired during the day, whereas long night recordings enabled data to be obtained in the frequency range of 0.01–10 000 Hz. Measurements were performed using two Metronix 24 bit ADU-07e broadband electromagnetic acquisition systems. Robust remote reference processing mostly yielded good data quality, particularly for data recorded during the night.

The central part of the Miihkali mica gneiss unit is appropriate for E–W 2D modelling, as it is characterized by roughly N–S elongated, laterally extensive conductors indicated by low-altitude airborne electromagnetic data. The remote referenced AMT data were acquired at 21 sites along an 18 km long E–W profile. The profile is located ca. 2 km to the north of the old Outokumpu Oy AMT profile. 2D inversion was jointly carried out for the TE and TM data using the nonlinear conjugate gradient algorithm by Rodi and Mackie (2001). It is well known that galvanic distortion can shift apparent resistivity curves (e.g. Wannamaker et al. 1984, Jiracek 1990). Therefore, an error floor of 15% was assigned for the apparent resistivity and 5% for the phase, respectively. Satisfactory fit and stable inversion solution was obtained for the profile with the RMS error of 3.3. The inversion result (Fig. 24a) shows a gently eastwards dipping conductor in the Miihkali mica gneiss located at the bottom of the inferred Miihkali nappe, reaching depths greater than 1 km at approximately the eastern margin of the oval Miihkali nappe. Further to the east, the conducting feature vanishes. The conductivity model is mainly consistent with the old AMT survey results and the new ZTEM 2D model (Fig. 24b). The gently dipping conductor is probably related to N–S elongated airborne EM anomalies that are seen to coincide with the Miihkali serpentinite massif and black schist band along the western margin of the allochthonous Miihkali mica gneisses. The old AMT results inferred a steeply west-dipping conductor at the eastern margin of the Miihkali nappe, which is probably related to conductor C4 seen in ZTEM results. The AMT model in Figure 24 does not clearly show this feature, most likely because the profile is located north of C4 and the old AMT profile. The ZTEM conductor C2 in 3D ZTEM inversion results is not seen in the new AMT 2D model.
Sampo (Gefinex 400S) is a frequency domain EM system developed by the Outokumpu Oy for Outokumpu Exploration and GTK (Aittoniemi et al. 1987, Soininen & Jokinen 1991, Sipola 2002). The practical maximum survey depth of the method is less than 1 km, but if dense measurement spacing is used, the spatial resolution is higher compared to other deep EM techniques. The measurements in the Miihkali area were carried out in 2002 during the joint Outokumpu–GTK GEOMEX project (Kuronen et al. 2003) in two target areas at Kiskonjoki and Lipaspuro. The measurements were performed using a “broadside” loop configuration in which the transmitter and receiver were located at opposite sides of the E–W directed profiles. The distance between the transmitter and receiver was 500 m. The line spacing was 500 m and the station spacing 100 m. Altogether 224 soundings were made along 10 profiles with a total length of 21.4 km. The acquired data were interpreted using 1D inversion software developed by GTK and the results were visualized as pseudosections (Fig. 25).

The densely spaced Sampo soundings enable distinguishing separate conductors in the Miihkali serpentinite massif and within the western margin of the Miihkali mica gneiss. These conductors are probably all superimposed in one larger coherent feature in the image of the 2D AMT results due to the larger site distance and the smooth 2D inversion procedure used (Fig. 25). The Sampo method

---

Fig. 24. a) New AMT 2D inversion model (RMS = 3.3) and b) comparison of new AMT 2D and interpolated ZTEM 2D models (grey 500 Ωm isosurface). For reference, surface geology is also shown as a transparent layer. The view is from the southeast.

Sampo soundings
detected conductors in the Lipaspuro area and in
the northernmost profiles of the Kiskonjoki survey
area (Kuronen et al. 2003). To the south, no signifi-
cant shallow conductors were detected, which is in
agreement with all the deep EM results previously
presented here.

A total of four drill holes (1382.85 m) were drilled
during 2001–2002 at the Kiskonjoki prospect. A
significant intersection of Outokumpu-type rocks
was made, starting approximately at the depth
of 300 m. The drill hole is located at the north-
ermost Sampo survey profiles at Kiskonjoki. The
drilled Outokumpu rocks are seen as conductors in
the Sampo models.

The nearly flat-lying conductor at Lipaspuro
most likely represents black schist on the top of an
Outokumpu-type formation or within mica schist
(Kuronen et al. 2002). The GEOMEX project had
no drilling resources to test these Sampo results
further.

Electrical conductivity of Miihkali drill core samples

Petrophysical measurements of the Miihkali drill
core samples indicate significant resistivity varia-
tions in the target area. This is illustrated by Figure
26, showing galvanic resistivity loggings for four
drill holes. The resistivity of the granitic gneisses,
mica gneisses and schists is high, mainly above
$10^5$ Ωm (JU-MI-80). The resistivity of the skarns,
carbonate rocks and metabasites is typically over
$10^4$ Ωm (JU-MI-81, JU-MI-85). Resistivities of
the Miihkali serpentinites are lower, usually $10^4$–
$10^5$ Ωm (JU-MI-81, JU-MI-85). The serpentinites
therefore have a resistivity contrast of about 2–4
orders of magnitude with background rocks, which
together with narrow and extremely conductive
black schists layers (< $10^3$ Ωm) could at least partly
explain the deep EM anomalies of the area.

Fig. 25. A stacked image of the Lipaspuro and Kiskonjoki Sampo (Gefinex 400S) 1D conductivity model pseudo-
sections. An image of the AMT 2D model and the surface geology, the latter as a transparent map layer, are also
presented. Orange to red colours denote conductive features. The view is from the southwest.
CONCLUSIONS

In this research, both forward modelling and inversion approaches were employed in potential field data modelling. The forward modelling of the residual gravity data benefited from the relatively dense drilling in the region, as the model could be constrained with the drill core logging results and the related density values. In general, the regional model and the residual model are both well in accordance with the geological cross-sections and drilling results, which implies that the current drilling scope covers the majority of the gravity sources. However, some (relatively small) discrepancies remain between the density model and the drill core logs, mainly because of inconsistencies and inordinate generalization in the rock identification and naming in the existing drill core logging data, resulting in possibly biased density classifications and density model / drill core log comparisons.

High remanent magnetization intensities pose a challenge in magnetic modelling and inversion. The direction of remanent magnetization differs notably from the inducing field direction, and as such the high Q ratios in the region should not be ignored in modelling. However, accommodating magnetic inversion techniques to include remanent magnetization over large areas is still largely an unfeasible task. In Miikkalni, most notably the black schists with high Q values contort the inversion results and render the inversion model results at least locally imprecise; however, in limited regions, the inversion model was related to the drill-core data and could be used as guidance, as long as the inaccuracies due to the high remanent magnetization intensities are taken into account.

In general, the potential field models do not reveal entirely new and unknown features in the Miikkalni region. The deep-set magnetic and high-density features running along the main Miikkalni massif have not, based on the potential field models, been thoroughly covered by drilling, and further research on these features could provide some new information on the Miikkalni massif and its geological setting.

Deep EM results demonstrate conductors related to the western margin of the Miikkalni mica gneisses and the Miikkalni serpentinite massif. The ZTEM and AMT 2D inversion results show a gently eastwards-dipping conductor in the Miikkalni mica gneiss located at the bottom of the inferred Miikkalni nappe, reaching depths greater than 1 km at approximately the eastern margin. The gently dipping conductor is probably related to N–S elongated airborne EM anomalies that are seen to coincide with the Miikkalni serpentinite massif and black schist band along the western margin of the allochthonous Miikkalni mica gneisses. In most cases, the surficial EM anomalies indicated by
low-altitude airborne surveys can be connected to deep ZTEM anomalies, showing the continuation of elongated conductive features to greater depths. The densely spaced Sampo soundings distinguish separate conductors in the Miihkkali serpentinite massif and within the western margin of the Miihkkali mica gneisses. In addition, the Sampo technique detected conductors in the Kiskonjoki prospect, which proved to be caused by Outokumpu-type rocks intersected by drillings at the depth of 300 m.
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3D MODELLING OF OUTOKUMPU ASSEMBLAGE ROCKS
– A GEOSTATISTICAL APPROACH

by

Eevaliisa Laine


The targets of the present 3D modeling study are the middle part of the Outokumpu assemblage and Sola mineralization in the Outokumpu ore district in Eastern Finland – a metallogenic province about 100 km long x 60 km wide – hosting a Palaeoproterozoic sulfide deposit. Cu–Co–Zn–Ni–Ag–Au sulfide ores are associated with Palaeoproterozoic ophiolitic metaserpentinites derived from depleted mantle peridotites that were subsequently tectonically interleaved with allochthonous metaturbidites. The metaperidotites have been extensively altered to quartz–carbonate–calc-silicate rocks which are called as Outokumpu assemblage. The aim of the present study was to visualize, model and analyse structures related to Keretti, Vuonos and Sola sulphide mineralizations. The methods included 3D geological modelling and geostatistical simulation by using geological cross sections, drill core data, seismic profiles and the helicopter–borne Z-axis tipper electromagnetic (ZTEM) inversion results. 3D visualization of 3D inversion results of ZTEM measurements were used together with the earlier fault interpretations to define large crustal discontinuities of 10–30 km in length. Geological structures and lithological heterogeneity was studied by using geostatistical variogram analysis and turning bands and sequential indicator simulations. The unfolding of drill core data was done by flattening the gently folded geological layers. This unfolding process made it possible to model anisotropy parallel to layering. As a result, geostatistical simulations applied to metal contents and rock types as categorical variables indicate lithological heterogeneity and the elongation of rock units and mineralizations striking parallel to Outokumpu assemblage and very little continuity perpendicularly to the observed elongation. In addition, faulting and major shear zones divide the Outokumpu assemblage into separate blocks which differ structurally and geochemically from each other and with small relative displacements. However, these small displacements may affect thin (~10 m) ore bodies, and make the ore exploration in the Outokumpu area challenging.
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INTRODUCTION

The target of the present 3D modelling study is the Outokumpu assemblage located in Eastern Finland (Fig. 1) in the Outokumpu ore district – a metallogenic province about 100 km long x 60 km wide – hosting a Palaeoproterozoic sulfide deposits (Cu-Co-Zn-Ni sulfide ores) characterized by an lithological association called Outokumpu assemblage. The aim of this study was to build regional (study area A; Fig. 1) and camp scale 3D geological models (study areas B-E; Fig. 2) using geological cross sections, drill core logs, structural geological observations and geological maps in order to analyse structural controls of sulphide mineralizations in the Outokumpu area. Geostatistical tools were tested to characterize and visualize the geological structures found surrounding the ore mineralizations. The traditional 3D modelling and visualization was combined with geostatistical simulations of subsurface rock type distributions. The present work uses the earlier built 3D geological models, and fault and shear zone interpretations by Saalmann & Laine (2014) to unfold data and divide interpolation grids for geostatistical analysis and simulation. The resulted geostatistically simulated realizations of subsurface geology are not locally accurate but they reproduce the modelled spatial correlation and data variability. Together with traditional 3D geological modelling, geostatistical simulations makes it possible to create and test several different alternative 3D voxel representations for subsurface geology. Hundreds of alternative voxel models representing the subsurface geology may be used to quantify the uncertainty. There are several different simulation approaches, such as turning bands (Mantoglou and Wilson 1982), sequential indicator (Journel & Alabert 1990, Journel & Gómez-Hernández 1993), multiple-point (Strebelle 2002), truncated Gaussian (e.g. Allard 1994) and plurigaussian (Armstrong et al. 2011) simulation. Geostatistical simulation can be applied to study lithofacies or rock type distributions of mine sites or mining camps (e.g. Schetselaar 2013).

Despite of data sparsity and complex geology, geostatistical simulation techniques were tested with selected data sets from the Outokumpu area. The ZTEM (Z-axis tipper electromagnetic system) 3D inversion results (Kurimo et al., this volume) were used to model large fault zones in order to find new possible targets for ore exploration in the Outokumpu area.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Outokumpu assemblage comprises of lens-shaped serpentinized mafic and ultramafic bodies and their alteration association including tremolite- or diopside bearing calcite, dolomite and quartz rocks, fuchsite, tremolite and uvarovite (Peltonen et al. 2008). Outokumpu assemblage (Fig. 1) is located in the North Karelia Schist Belt, which was thrusted on the late Archaean gneissic–granitoid basement of the Karelian craton during the early stages of the Svecofennian Orogeny between 1.92 and 1.87 Ga (Koistinen 1981). Two major tectono-stratigraphic units can be distinguished, a lower, parautochthonous ‘Lower Kaleva’ unit and an upper, allochthonous ‘Upper Kaleva’ unit or ‘Outokumpu allochthon’. The latter consists of tightly-folded deep marine turbiditic mica schists and metagraywackes containing intercalations of black schist, and the Outokumpu assemblage, which comprises ca. 1950 Ma old, serpentinized peridotites surrounded by carbonate–calc–silicate (‘skarn’)–quartz rocks. Late tectonic solid–state remobilisation, related to the duplexing of the ore by isoclinal folding, upgraded the sulphides into economic deposits.

The studied Keretti and Vuonos orebodies, and Sola sulphide mineralisations are enclosed in the Outokumpu assemblage, which is thought to be one part of a disrupted and incomplete ophiolite complex (Vuollo & Piirainen 1989) that can be traced to the Kainuu schist belt (Fig. 1) further north where the well–preserved Jormua ophiolite is exposed (Kontinen 1987, Peltonen & Kontinen 2004). In general, ophiolites have been defined as “suites of temporarily and spatially associated ultramafic to felsic rocks related to separate melting episodes and processes of magmatic differentiation in particular oceanic tectonic environments” by Dilek & Furnes (2011). Their geochemical characteristics, internal structure, and thickness are strongly controlled by spreading rate, proximity to plumes or trenches, mantle temperature, mantle fertility, and the availability of fluids. The age distribution of ophiolites displays pronounced maxima during the time intervals 50–200 Ma, 450–1000 Ma,
Fig. 1. Geological map of the Kainuu and North Karelia schist belts and location of the Jormua ophiolite and Outokumpu ore district and distribution of deposits. The box outlines the study area A for the regional 3D geological model (Fig. 4). Cu deposits: Outokumpu/Keretti (1), Vuonos (2), Perttilahti (3), Kylylahti (4), Sola (5), Saramäki (6), Luikonlahti (7). Talc deposits: Horsmanaho (a), Alainen (b), Uutela (c), Lahnaslampi/Punasuo (d), Maailmankorpi (e), Tyynelä (f), Jormua (g), Mieslahti/Pitkänperä (h), Tiitolanvaara (i), Vasikkakangas (j). Modified after Sääntti et al. (2006), Peltonen et al. (2008) and Saalmann & Laine (2014).
and 2500–2750 Ma. Outokumpu assemblage rocks represent the rare occurrence of early Proterozoic ophiolites. In addition to Finland, early Proterozoic ophiolites have been reported from Canada (Scott et al. 1992).

The Keretti orebody was discovered in 1910 following a recognition, in 1908, of a large ice-borne boulder 50 km to the SE (Trüstedt 1921). It contained 28 million tons of ore of which 23 million tons was extracted by the end of 1980. The Keretti Cu orebody is lens-shaped, ca. 4000 m long, 250 to 300 m wide, and usually less than 10 m thick, but it may attain a thickness of 30–40 m. The ore averages 3.80 % Cu, 1.00 % Zn, 0.24 % Co, 0.12 % Ni, 28.10 % Fe and 25.30 % S. The Vuonos orebody, 10 km NE of Keretti, contained 6 million tons of ore of which 4 million tons was extracted. At Vuonos, Outokumpu-type ore body is ca. 3500 m long, 50–200 m wide and an average of 5 to 6 m thick. Characteristic average tenors of metals were 2.18 % Cu, 1.38 % Zn, 0.13 % Co, 0.12 % Ni, 14.76 % S, 10 ppm Ag and 12 ppm Se. Its discovery in 1965 followed the geochemical studies conducted by Huhma and Huhma (1970). In addition, the Ni ore above the main orebody was mined. Sola is a minor sulphide mineralization at ca. 0.1 Mt at 2 wt.% Cu, 1 wt.% Zn, 0.1 wt.% Co, 0.15 wt.% Ni and 17 wt.% S associated to skarn–quartz rocks within roundish Sola serpentinite formation to the east from the main Outokumpu ore field (Fig. 2).

Regional scale structural interpretations have been conducted by several geologists. Frosterus & Wilkman (1920) presented the emplacement of allochthonous Archaean basement gneisses over Lower Proterozoic cover sequences in Eastern Finland. Wegmann (1928) and Väyrynen (1939) emphasized the significance of nappe tectonics during the Svecofennian orogeny. Bowes et al. (1984) and Koistinen (1981) made a structural geological interpretation of the polyphase deformation in the Outokumpu area while Ward (1987) proposed a model for the progressive deformational history of the Outokumpu area. Kohonen et al. (1991) constructed the regional structural geological model of North Karelia including the major Proterozoic shear zone – the Nunnanlahti–Holinmäki Shear zone as well as numerous related second order structures that traverse the Outokumpu area.

Even though Outokumpu assemblage rocks form a seemingly continuous formation (Fig. 2), it has been dissected by several crosscutting discontinuities. Faulting can be seen in different scales from outcrop scale to regional structures that divide the bedrock into large blocks when cutting the former shear zones. According to Saalmann & Laine (2014) thrust stacking was followed by several stages of faulting that divided the ore belt into fault-bound blocks with heterogeneous displacements: (i) NW–dipping faults with unresolved kinematics, (ii) reverse faults along c. 50 degrees–60 degrees SW–dipping faults, and (iii) SW–NE to SSW–NNE striking faults which may have formed at an earlier stage and have been reactivated. Figure 3a shows the faulting and fracturing of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks in the Horsmanaho open pit. Keretti ore body faults have been interpreted by Saalmann & Laine (2014) by using the old mine sections from GTK archives (Laine et al. 2012) (Fig. 3b). Regional faulting and shearing is shown in the Figure 3c. Ore bodies were found to be located
between two major shear zones and cut by several faults (Saalmann & Laine 2014). According to Saalmann & Laine 2014 there might have been uplift between Keretti and Vuonos orebodies, and a possible ore body has been eroded away. In addition, the metamorphic grade of Outokumpu assemblage rocks change from high amphibolites facies in the southwest to lower amphibolite facies rocks in the Kylylahti area in the northeastern part of the Outokumpu area (Säntti et al. 2006).

Fig. 3. Faulting in different scales. a) A Sirovision model from the Horsmanaho open pit. Photo and interpretation (Esko Koistinen in Laine et al. 2012); b) Faulting of the Keretti ore body after Saalmann & Laine (2014) c) A geological map of the Outokumpu region after Gáal et al. (1975) and faults and shear zones along the Outokumpu assemblage (Saalmann & Laine 2014) colored according to the associated uncertainty with light colors for structures inferred solely from surface data and dark colors for structures inferred using seismic sections and surficial geological and geophysical data.
DATA AND 3D MODELLING METHODS

Data

The applied structural geological framework was based on the structural observations derived from geological maps and structural geological interpretations (e.g. Gáal et al. 1975, Koistinen 1981, Saalmann & Laine 2014). Lithological data were obtained from the drill core logs and mine sections (GTK database) and from the geological cross sections done by Koistinen (1981). Geochemical data consisted of Cu, Ni, Zn and Co contents along Outokumpu drill cores (GTK database).

The two geophysical data sets were used for geological interpretations and 3D visualisations, ZTEM inversions (Kurimo et al., this volume) and seismic profiles. ZTEM is a variant of the electromagnetic (EM) airborne tipper AFMAG method (Ward 1959) for studying the electrical conductivity of bedrock in the depth range of 0–2 km. High resolution reflection seismic profiles in the Outokumpu area have been measured during the FIRE project (Kukkonen & Lahtinen 2006) and the HIRE project (Kukkonen et al. 2009). Seismic profiles have been interpreted by Saalmann & Laine (2014) and the results were used in the present study.

3D modelling

The 3D modelling was done at three different scales:

1. **Regional 3D model** (study area A) was built for an interpretation of 3D ZTEM inversion results together with faults and shear zones (Saalmann & Laine 2014). In addition, the regional features were investigated using schistosities (S₀ and S₁) digitized from the structural geological map of Koistinen (1981) and visualized by small discs in 3D (Mira Geoscience tools and Paradigm GO-CAD).

2. **3D model of the Outokumpu assemblage** (study area B) consisted of a fault block model and 3D visualization of the Outokumpu assemblage rocks together with ZTEM inversion results.

3. **Camp scale 3D models** were built for Keretti and Sola areas. Keretti camp scale 3D model was built by using geological cross sections by Koistinen (1981) and Keretti ore model built by Esko Koistinen (Laine et al. 2012), and Saalmann & Laine (2014). The lithological contacts were drawn using implicit approach by Geomodeller software. The implicit representation was done by isosurfaces of a 3D scalar field (f(x,y,z)=constant) (e.g. Calcagno et al. 2008, Lajaunie et al. 1997). The Sola serpentinite was modelled using similar approach with Geomodeller software. Geostatistical simulation was used to analyze the distribution of rock types and geochemical contents in the Vuonos and Keretti areas using ISATIS software. In the Vuonos case, geostatistical analysis was based on the earlier 3D geological model by Saalmann & Laine (2014).

Geostatistical simulation methods

Different kind of geostatistical simulations were applied for metal grades and rock types as categorical variables. In general, the main task of geostatistics is to predict unknown values between known values using a spatial correlation model. Bedrock mineralogy and geochemistry are products of many interacting tectonic, physical and chemical processes. These processes are physically determined, but their interactions are so complex that the variation appears to be random. This complexity and incomplete understanding of the processes means that a deterministic or mathematical solution to quantify the variation is hardly possible. Accordingly, geostatistics applies a random model (Matheron 1965) for the estimation the unknown values Z(x) (called random function) constrained by known z(x) values using a spatio-statistical variogram model.

Geostatistics is based on a spatial correlation model. If one single spatial model is applied on an entire domain, an assumption is made that random function Z is a stationary, which means that the statistical properties are assumed to be similar on the entire domain. For the strict stationarity, the random function satisfies the invariance of the statistical distributions under an arbitrary translation of the points by a vector h. The milder form is the second order stationarity when the mean is constant on the entire domain and the covariance function only depends on the separation h. In geo-
statistics, even milder hypothesis, so called intrinsic hypothesis is assumed so that for every vector \( h \), the increment \( Z(x+h) - Z(x) \) is second order stationary. The variance of the increment is 

\[
(1) \ Var[Z(x+h) - Z(x)] = 2\gamma(h)
\]

and \( \gamma(h) \) is called the variogram function which can be approximated by calculating the sample variogram:

\[
(2) \ \gamma(h) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (z(x_i + h) - z(x_i))^2
\]

where \( N \) is number of sample pairs having a distance \( h \) (approximately) between each other. Theoretically the sample variogram is zero for a zero distance. However, the sample variogram is calculated for distance classes, so that the smallest distance class reflects the nearby variation of sample values. Especially in the case of metal grades, this value, so called nugget effect, is often high. Usually, the sample variogram increases with increasing distance, i.e. the sample values are getting more and more dissimilar. The value or the distance \( h \) at which the variogram values stop increasing is called the range of the sample variogram. The maximum value of the sample variogram is called sill. The sample variogram is modelled by using these three parameters, nugget, range and sill, as simple functions of \( h \). The obtained variogram parameters are used in kriging interpolation, which is similar to inverse distance interpolation. Both methods weight the surrounding known values in order to predict values for unmeasured locations. The formula for these interpolators is a weighted sum of the known data surrounding the unknown value location:

\[
(5) \ Z^*(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i Z(x_i)
\]

where \( Z(x_i) \) is the known value, \( \lambda_i \) is an unknown weight for the measured value at the \( i \)th location. \( x_0 \) is the location for the predictive value and \( N \) is the number of known variable values. In inverse distance interpolation the weight, \( \lambda_i \), depend on the distance between the unknown and known values. In kriging, the weights \( \lambda_i \) are solved based on the variogram model by minimizing estimation error under unbiasedness condition. Geostatistical simulations (e.g. Deutsch and Journel 1998, Chiles and Delfiner 1999) are based on the variogram model but values are estimated along the random path using known and earlier estimated values. Geostatistical simulations reproduce the original data variability and given spatial properties including spatial correlation modeled by the sample variogram. Based on summary statistics of several realizations, the probability distributions of ore grades and rock types can be calculated and visualized in 3D.

The main emphasis of the present study was to use variogram modeling in order to analyse spatial distribution of rock types and geochemical compositions relative to 3D modeled faults and shear zones. The simulated realizations were used to study probable 3D structural geological features. By geostatistical simulation several different realizations can be produced. The used geostatistical interpolation and simulation methods included:

1) **Ordinary kriging** (e.g. Chiles & Delfiner 1999) is used when the mean is not known. Ordinary kriging was applied to map the Co contents along the Outokumpu assemblage.

2) **Turning bands simulation** (Mantoglou & Wilson 1982), in which, at first, the data are kriged and then conditional simulations are created using a set of randomly distributed bands, or lines. Turning bands simulations were done using ISATIS-software to simulate Cu and Ni content distributions in the central Vuonos area.

3) **Sequential indicator simulation** (Deutsch & Journel 1998) was applied to rock types as categorical variables in the Vuonos area, and to Ni and Zn contents inside a small block bordered by faults and shearing within Vuonos area. Instead of original Ni- and Zn-grades, the indicator transforms were used. The sequential indicator simulation method was also used to visualize quartz rock distribution in the Keretti area.

### Unfolding methods

Geostatistical methods are mainly using rectilinear coordinates and the Cartesian grid. However, geological formations are mostly at least gently folded. Different methods have been developed in order to be able to model spatial properties parallel to the naturally folded surfaces:

1) The unfolding can be done by a simple vertical translation of data (e.g. ISATIS), according to (or with) a flattened reference surface.

2) In the unfolding based on the coordinate transformation, two of the coordinate axes are rotated locally to be parallel and the third one to
be perpendicular to the reference surface. The coordinate transformation may be done using the reference surface in Paradigm GOCAD. Resulted grid, so called SGrid, is sophisticated in that the user can conform the SGrid to bounding surfaces and split the SGrid along fault surfaces.

3) In the case of tectonically complicated terrains it would be ideal to use local orientations defined by a vector field. The problem is the lack of knowledge of subsurface orientations. In the present study, local orientations were derived from a piece of flat ore body using ISATIS software. In this way, the unfolding does not differ from the previous ones.

It is obvious that in the case of Outokumpu area there is very little information about exact geometries of lithological contacts and shear zones. Hence, all the unfolding techniques described above are questionable and uncertain except for well-defined ore bodies. However, the geostatistical analysis can be used to validate the used geometries. If after unfolding process, the studied variable values show a clear spatial correlation, the reference surfaces, such as lithological contacts or shear zones, correspond probably the real subsurface structures. In the tectonically complicated areas, the best alternative could be to use local anisotropy orientations which may be obtained from the oriented drill cores. Even though almost all the rock properties follow the main layering, the shearing or intense faulting change the orientations to be oblique to the main orientation field. Moreover, very often partial melting may change the orientations of the rock units quite randomly in high grade terraines.

**Discontinuity structures**

Outokumpu assemblage is cut by several faults and shear zones. They were used to build a discontinuity model (or fault block model) based on the interpretation of faults and shear zones by Saalmann & Laine (2014). The discontinuity model could be used for geostatistical simulations and kriging to honour the the structural discontinuities. In the case of camp scale models, structurally different units were separated manually for geostatistical analysis.

**3D MODELS**

**Regional 3D model**

The area for the regional 3D geological model is shown in the Figure 1. The large scale structures were modeled using tectonic observations in 3D and ZTEM 3D inversion.

Planar structures (mostly S<sub>1</sub>) were digitized from the geological map of Tapio Koistinen (Koistinen, 1981) and visualized in 3D using discs using Mira Geoscience tools for Paradigm GOCAD software (Fig. 15a). The schistosities strike mainly from southwest to northeast. Dip directions have bipolar distribution with two modes about 125 and 280 degrees from the north (Fig. 15b) i.e. to the south-east and northeast dipping planar structures. In the northwestern part of the Outokumpu area schistosities are mainly gently dipping (10–40 degrees). Ore bodies (Keretti, Vuonos and Kylylahti) are located in the narrow zone from southwest to northeast, along which there are also almost vertical schistosities. The area between this ore zone (O) and Sotkuma dome seem to be divided by possible shear zones (S) along which the schistosities are steeply dipping (Fig. 4). Sola serpentinite hosting minor Cu–mineralization is located in the wide zone where the schistosities are steeply dipping.

Figure 5 shows resistivity values resulted by 3D inversion from the ZTEM measurements together with faults and shear zones (Saalmann & Laine 2014). The high resistivity values (>3000 Ωm) are shown. SW dipping and S–N striking faults (S–N) could be continued as electrically conductive zones to probable faults of more than 20 km in length. The ore zone (O) is clearly visible and there seem to be several parallel high conductivity zones. The possible uplifted block interpreted by (Saalmann & Laine 2014) is electrically resistive. The regional fault/shear zones seem to be form a clear pattern by two orientations. Ore mineralizations locate along these electrically conductive zones.
Fig. 4. a) Planar structures digitized from the geological map by Koistinen (1981) and visualized in 3D using Mira Geoscience and Paradigm GOCAD software. The ore zone (O) and a shear zone (S) from South to North are marked by arrows. Letter K is for the Keretti ore and letter V for the Vuonos ore. Black lines (m) mark the metamorphic zoning after Säntti et al. 2006. b) A histogram of the dip directions of the digitized planar structures on the map.
Fig. 5. Electrical resistivity (values larger than 3000 $\Omega$ m) resulted from the regional ZTEM 3D inversion together with Outokumpu shear and fault structures after Saalmann & Laine (2014). Shear zones with a green color and faults with a light brown color. The black dashed lines are interpreted probable large fault/shear zones.
Outokumpu assemblage in 3D

Figure 6 shows the inversion done by ZTEM MT-3Dinv program with a grid with 72x61x74 cells (Kurimo et al., this volume). The cell size in the core area is 60x250x50 m. Six-frequency data (XIP, XQD, YIP, and YQD) were used in the 3D inversion topography and bird altitude effects considered. Electrical resistivity values less than 3000 Ωm were filtered from the visualization. The electrically resistive rocks locate as elongated bodies to the northwest from the electrically conductive Outokumpu assemblage rocks hosting thin and elongated ore bodies. Seismic reflections probably representing the Outokumpu assemblage rocks (Saalmann & Laine 2014) are visible as green points at locations with high reflectivity (the amplitude > 2.0).

The discontinuity models (Fig. 7) were used to constrain the kriging interpolation of the Co-content. Geochemical analyses were available mainly from the Vuonos area (Fig. 7a). Co, Cu, Ni and Zn contents have all skewed distributions. Because variogram modelling and kriging prerequisite normally distributed data, the normal score transformation was applied to Co, Cu, Ni and Zn contents. The resulted kriged section (Fig. 7b) shows the thin layer of Co-rich ore. Kriging was based on the exponential variogram with a nugget of 0.5, the sill of 0.47 and the range of 185 m (Fig. 7c). Very similar models were obtained for Cu and Zn contents. These results are in accordance with the ore model. In addition, structural features related to faulting and folding could be interpreted from this visualization (Fig. 7).

The Vuonos drill cores were composited, so that every sample had an equal length of 1 m. In case of a change in rock type, the sample was colored red. The resulted visualization of the drill cores, shows that at least Vuonos and Keretti are located in heterogeneous parts of the Outokumpu assemblage (Fig. 8). Lithologies such as serpentinite, soap stone, calc-silicate rocks, quartz rocks and ore were coded separately. Mica shists, gneisses and felsic volcanites were grouped together as well as felsic intrusive rocks.

![Image of Outokumpu assemblage in 3D](image-url)
Fig. 7. a) Drill holes and Co contents along the drill holes in the Keretti and Vuonos areas. b) Kriged Co contents. c) The sample variogram (dashed line) and the corresponding variogram model (solid line) for normal score transformed Co contents.
Keretti and Vuonos ore bodies were surrounded by Outokumpu assemblage rocks (Fig. 9). The Keretti 3D geological model shows how the Keretti ore was located on the southeast dipping shear zone (Fig. 10). In addition, it was cut by faults vertically and horizontally (Saalmann & Laine 2014). The ore was enclosed inside the Outokumpu assemblage which, in turn, is inside the black schist envelope. In detail, mine sections (e.g. Fig. 10) show that the thin ore was mainly associated thin layers of country rocks, such as calc-silicate rocks, quartz rocks and serpentinites. Contacts were sharp between the country rock and the Keretti ore, so the ore body could be quite uniquely modeled from the mine sections.

Geostatistical simulation was tested on the distribution of altered rocks such as quartz rocks around the middle part of the Keretti ore body. Quartz rock has a density below 2600 kg/m³ and it forms a clear density contrast to the dense calc-silicate rocks containing pyroxenes (densities about 2800 kg/m³). Rock type data from drill cores were composited to samples of a length of 1 m and integer-coded for geostatistical analysis. In the present study, the rock type variable was transformed to an indicator variable for each rock.
type. For example, indicator variable for quartz rock gets a value of 1 if quartz rock is present and 0 else. Local orientations were derived from the geometry of the flat ore body (Fig. 12e) and copied to the voxel cells of a size of 5x5x5 m$^3$ above and below the flat ore body. The sample variogram of the indicator transformation for quartz rocks was modelled with an exponential model (a nugget of 0.07, a range of 50–130 meters and a sill of 0.12) (Figs. 12a and 12b). The corresponding 2D simulation was used to visualize the variogram model (Fig. 12d). Search ellipsoids were oriented parallel to local orientations derived from the flat ore body. Summary statistics of 100 sequential indicator simulations was used to visualize the probable spatial distribution of quartz rocks below and above

Fig. 10. Keretti/Outokumpu mine section 48 from GTK database.

Fig. 11. Keretti 3D model. The lithological contact between black schist and mica gneisses have been colored with a violet color and between black schist and Outokumpu assemblage with a dark green color. The shear zone is colored using light green and Keretti ore using red.
Keretti orebody (Fig. 12e). The results indicate that quartz rocks might not occur as continuous, but rather as successive slightly elongated inclusions parallel to the main elongation of the ore body. The geological section drawn by Koistinen (1981) (Fig. 12c) shows the similar distribution of quartz rocks but with a geological interpretation based on the structural geological analysis and drill core logs.

Fig. 12. The directed sample variogram and the corresponding model for the quartz rock as a categorical variable in the Keretti area a) 41 degrees and b) 131 degrees from the North; c) Geological Keretti cross section by Tapio Koistinen (1981); d) the 2D simulation based on the variogram model; e) A small part of the Keretti ore body in red and simulated 3D grid of the probability that quartz rock prevails at a certain location, grid cells with the probability of greater than 0.45 are colored with a yellow color.
Vuonos

The Vuonos Cu-orebody has been earlier modeled during the project “3D/4D modeling – Outokumpu area as a case study” (Laine et al. 2012) by using Paradigm GOCAD software and mine sections (Fig. 13). As in Keretti, also in Vuonos the ore body was located on the shear zone (Fig. 13b). In Vuonos, there is a clear difference between rocks below and above the shear zone. The rock unit above the shear zone was called the serpentinite zone and below it the transition zone according to Saalmann & Laine (2014). Geostatistical variogram modeling and turning band simulation were used to study the differences between structures in these two rock units.

Gently folded Vuonos structures (Fig. 14) were used to transfer the drill core data parallel to flattened reference surface, in this case the boundary between the transition and serpentinite zones. The new simulation grid of a cell size of 5x5x5 m³ was created for the unfolded drill core data parallel to flattened reference surface. The same boundary was used to divide the data and the simulation grid for the geostatistical analysis (Fig. 14). In the Vuonos case the used indicator variable represents the presence of the calc-silicate rock or serpentinite.

According to the sample variograms and the corresponding models for the calc-silicate rock as a categorical variable (Fig. 15) there are structural differences between the transition and serpentinite zones. In the transition zone the variogram range was 105 m in the direction of 41 degrees from north, 60 m in the perpendicular direction and only 40 m in the vertical direction. In the serpentinite zone the variogram ranges were almost the same (about 40 m) in all directions. However the variograms have high nugget effects implying that the calc-silicate rocks are nearly randomly distributed in space. This is visualized by the 2D unconditional simulation.

Fig. 13. a) Vuonos mine sections (GTK database) in 3D; b) Vuonos Cu-ore body (red) and serpentinites (green) and black schists (violet) after (Saalmann & Laine 2014).
The spatial correlation of the indicator variables for the presence of serpentinites was tested using the same unfolded data set. In the serpentinite zone the sample variogram for the presence of serpentinite was modeled with a nugget of 0.03 and a spherical model having a range of 100 m in all directions, and a sill of 0.16 (Fig. 16a). Figure 16b shows the corresponding 2D simulation. In the transition zone the sample variograms for the presence of the serpentinite was modelled by a nugget 0.02 and a spherical model having, a range of 160 m parallel to the Vuonos deposit and 80 m perpendicularly to this direction, a sill of 0.07 parallel to the Vuonos deposit and 0.15 perpendicularly to this direction (Fig. 16 c). Figure 16d shows the corresponding 2D simulation. The result of 100 sequential indicator simulations were performed and the simulation grid was folded back to the original geometry (Fig. 17). These results are in accordance with the general idea of the roundish geometry of the serpentinite bodies along the Outokumpu assemblage.

In addition to structural differences, the serpentinite and transition zones differ geochemically. The turning bands simulation was tested for Cu and Ni contents in the Vuonos area (Fig. 18). Structural differences are appearant in both cases. Based on the variogram model and 100 simulations, the elevated Cu contents (greater than 0.5%) are more probable within the thin layers in the transition zone. Based on the variogram modelling and 100 simulations the Ni contents greater than 0.1% seem to occur in elongated zones in the transition zone. Cu and Ni contents show only weak spatial correlation in the serpentinite zone. In the small block in the Vuonos area, bordered by faults and shearing (Fig. 19), the sequential indicator simulation was applied to indicator variables for Ni greater than 0.03% and Zn greater than 0.01%. In this case the sequential indicator simulation was done into a SGrid built using the boundary between the serpentinite and the transition zone using Paradigm GOCAD. The results show a clear enrichment of the nickel in the serpentinite zone (Fig. 19a) and of the zinc in the transition zone (Fig. 19b).
Fig. 15. a) Directed variograms and corresponding variogram models for the calc-silicate rock as a categorical variable in the serpentinite zone, 41 degrees and 131 degrees from the North, and b) the unconditional simulation based on this variogram model; c) Directed variograms and corresponding variogram models for the calc-silicate rock as a categorical variable in the transition zone, 41 degrees and 131 degrees from the North, and d) the unconditional simulation based on this variogram model.
Fig. 16. a) Directed variograms and corresponding variogram models for the serpentinite as a categorical variable in the serpentinite zone, 41 degrees and 131 degrees from the North, and b) the unconditional simulation based on this variogram model; c) directed variograms and corresponding variogram models for the serpentinite as a categorical variable in the transition zone, 41 degrees and 131 degrees from the North, and d) the unconditional simulation based on this variogram model.
Fig. 17. Sequential indicator simulation of the serpentinite as a categorical variable. Simulation grid cells with the probability values greater than 0.1 are shown.

Fig. 18. Turning bands simulation of a) Cu contents in the transition zone, the probability that Cu content is greater than 0.5 %, simulation grid cells with values greater than 0.1 are shown; b) Ni contents in the transition zone, the probability that Ni content is greater than 0.1 %, simulation grid cells with values greater than 0.1 are shown.
Fig. 19. Indicator sequential simulation, Sgrid cells are black when a) the Ni content is larger than 0.01 %; b) the Zn content is larger than 0.03 %.

Sola

At Sola area (Fig. 2) the Outokumpu assemblage rocks occur as a roundish body slightly elongated in the SW–NE direction. The 3D model of Sola serpentinite was built using geological cross sections and drill core data. The implicit approach (e.g. Lajaunie et al. 1997) was applied using Geomodeller software (Fig. 20a). Fig. 20a shows 3D geological model of Sola serpentinite on the old map (GTK database) of the Sola area between two to the west dipping large faults (Saalmann & Laine 2014). The old map by an unknown author (GTK database) (Fig. 18b) shows an interesting fault interpretations which are mostly in an agreement with the faults interpreted from the seismic sections by Saalmann & Laine (2014). In addition, the interpreted fault zone is parallel with the ore zone (O) and the large structures (S) interpreted from the visualization of the planar structures by Koistinen (1981) and the ZTEM inversion results (Figs. 15a and 16).
Fig. 20. a) Sola 3D model built using GeoModeller with the geological map of the Polvijärvi map sheet and three west dipping faults Saalmann & Laine (2014). b) Polvijärvi map sheet 4224 02 (GTK database).
CONCLUSIONS

In the regional scale, large fault/shear zones could be interpreted from the subsurface electrical resistivity distribution obtained by 3D inversion of ZTEM data. The regional fault/shear zones seem to form a clear pattern by two orientations striking to the north and to the northwest. The similar structures could be interpreted by visualizing the planar structures (schistosities) in 3D. All the known ore mineralizations are located along these ore potential structures characterized by higher electric conductivity and near vertical planar structures (schistosities).

ZTEM inversion results along the Outokumpu assemblage show an elongation of electrically resistive rocks parallel to the Outokumpu assemblage. The kriged Co contents along the Outokumpu assemblage show discontinuities and gentle folding. Similar elongation could be modeled using geostatistical simulation. The structures surrounding the Keretti and Vuonos ore body show a clear elongation along the ore zone (O). In addition, using geostatistical simulation methods, it was found structural and geochemical differences between different fault blocks especially in the Vuonos area. Moreover, geostatistical modelling results indicate elongated and planar structures below the Vuonos ore associated with shearing. This is in line with the ore body geometries and earlier interpretations. Geostatistical simulations reproduced geometries characteristic for different rock types within the Outokumpu assemblage. These alternative realizations may be useful in the future, especially in the numerical modelling.

Keretti, Vuonos and Sola mineralizations are mainly associated with sheared zones, which was demonstrated by 3D geological models. The ore bodies are thin and surrounded by altered and sheared rocks, hence the stochastic methods such as geostatistical kriging and simulation may be an alternative to explore this kind of ore bodies in the Outokumpu area. Because the drill cores are mostly about 300 m deep and the thickness of Outokumpu assemblage is in many places over 500 meters, there might be several undiscovered ore bodies at greater depths. Furthermore, the understanding of fault displacements could aid the ore exploration and also interpretation of ductile structures across the discontinuities.
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SUKKULANSALO NATIONAL TEST LINE IN OUTOKUMPU: A GROUND REFERENCE FOR DEEP EXPLORATION METHODS
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The Sukkulansalo National Test Line (SNTL) is located in the historical mining district of Outokumpu, Finland. The purpose of the SNTL is to establish a well-known test site for exploration in order to facilitate the development and testing of new deep exploration methods, including not only new measurement technologies but also methods for data integration and common earth modelling. The data set from the SNTL includes high-resolution seismic reflection profile V7, acquired as part of the HIRE project, providing an image of subsurface reflectivity down to the depth of 10 km. Due to the lack of deep drill holes along the SNTL, the seismic reflection profile forms the basis for geological interpretation in addition to a few outcrop observations and aero-geophysical maps.

The high-resolution seismic reflection data are complemented by deep-penetrating audio-magnetotelluric measurements. Furthermore, airborne ZTEM (Z-axis tipper electromagnetic) data inversions solving the conductivity structure of the subsurface down to 2 km depth have been acquired in the Outokumpu area, and here we integrate the results of these deep-penetrating methods. Additionally, forward modelling of the gravity data provides information on the subsurface density distribution underneath the SNTL. We present a tentative geological cross-section of the SNTL that is based on the integrated interpretation of the deep-penetrating geophysical data. In the future, this cross-section and geophysical data of the SNTL could be used as a basis for testing new geophysical methods.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, finding new ore deposits has become a major challenge almost all around the world because easy-to-find outcropping ore deposits have already been found during the decades of exploration. However, Fennoscandia has substantial ore potential especially in the deep subsurface. In the vicinity of mining camps, in so-called brownfield environments, easy-to-find shallowly buried deposits have typically already been discovered but the continuation of mining urgently requires new resources. Consequently, exploration is increasingly turning towards deeply seated mineral deposits. With increasing exploration depth, the resolution of the conventional geophysical methods is decreasing and new efficient methods are needed to aid the detection of mineralization at depth in the vicinity of the known ore deposits in order to continue the mining activities and utilization of existing infrastructure. High resolution methods are especially needed to guide expensive deep drilling. The development of deep exploration methods benefits from geologically and geophysically well-known test sites where methods can be tested and new data compared with existing information about the area. Choosing and providing publicly available background information for a possible test line was among the objectives of the Developing Mining Camp Exploration Concepts and Technologies – Brownfield Exploration project led by the Geological Survey of Finland, and is hereafter referred to as the Sukkulansalo National Test Line (SNTL). This article provides data from multi-parameter geophysical surveys together with a geological cross-section that has been reconciled with all the available geophysical data.

The SNTL is located within the Outokumpu area, which is one of the historically most important mining districts in Finland. This article presents the data currently available for the SNTL as

Fig. 1. Location of the Sukkulansalo National Test Line (SNTL) in Outokumpu, shown in purple. The line is located in a rural area extending from Lake Viinijärvi to Ontreinpuoli in the north. Close to the southern extent of the profile lies the Perttilahti deposit. The SNTL is parallel to the Sukkulansalo road, which provides easy access to the profile. Contains data from the National Land Survey of Finland Topographic Database 01/2016.
well as a geological interpretation along the profile. Most important objective of the article is to support future development of deep exploration methods. It must be noted that understanding of the subsurface physical rock properties and geology are expected to improve with time and as new data and interpretations will be accumulated in the future projects. The Outokumpu area still has a large potential for as yet undiscovered mineral deposits (Rasilainen et al. 2014), and the results of this and future studies will thus undoubtedly have practical importance and application for exploration.

The surface track of the SNTL is presented in a map in Figure 1. The line is ca. 25 km long, beginning from the Archaean bedrock in Ontreiinpuoli and continuing southeast to the Murtoniemi area. The line crosses the margin of the Outokumpu allochthon, just east of the Outokumpu-Vuonos-Perttilahti zone, which consists Outokumpu-type rocks and massive sulphide deposits. Geological background of the SNTL area is described in the following chapters. The line was selected mainly on the basis of an high-resolution seismic reflection profile (HIRE V7; Kukkonen et al. 2012) providing optimal image of the subsurface regional structures. Profile is crossing all relevant geological units of the Outokumpu district and can thus improve the understanding of the setting of the ore deposit in the entire mining district on a regional scale. It is noteworthy that geoscientific data are typically not acquired exactly at the SNTL but in its close vicinity for practical reasons such as the existence of roads, suitable locations for measurement field stations or rock outcrops. Furthermore, not all data are available along the full profile, but from parts of it.

As already mentioned, the good quality of the seismic reflection profile HIRE V7 acquired in 2008 supported the selection of the Sukkulansalo test line. ZTEM data were acquired during this project, and complement well the HIRE V7, as the two sets of data were collected along almost parallel measurement lines. In 2012, GTK also acquired audio-magnetotelluric (AMT) data along the profile. The depth penetration of the seismic reflection surveys, AMT and ZTEM is much better than for conventional geophysical methods used in mineral exploration, and this particular location was thus chosen as a deep exploration baseline instead of some other site in Outokumpu with more abundant data collected from rock outcrops or using conventional geophysical methods.

One of the aims of the SNTL is to provide, with time, a location that has been geophysically and geologically studied in a thorough and diverse way, where different and especially new methods can be effectively tested and compared with existing data, and important new knowledge can be accumulated and shared. We believe that future deep exploration efforts in the Outokumpu area would be best supported if new data collected along the SNTL was always made publicly available. Data already collected along this profile are available upon request from Geological Survey of Finland and its use is strongly encouraged, for example, in teaching deep exploration and data integration methods at universities.

**GEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND**

The Sukkulansalo National Test Line is located on the western margin of the Outokumpu nappe complex in the Outokumpu area. Published geological information along the SNTL include geological map compilations by Huhma (1976), Gaal et al. (1975) and Koistinen (1981). The test-line area is poorly exposed and has a nearly flat topographic relief, restricting opportunities for field-based structural geological analysis. The maps by Huhma (1971a,b,c) and Gaal et al. (1975), as summarized in the Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP compilation by GTK (Fig. 2), show that the main surface rock type along the SNTL is mica gneiss. At its southeastern end, the SNTL crosses the Outokumpu-Vuonos-Perttilahti serpentinite–black schist zone with Outokumpu-type sulphides. The mica schist dominating the middle part of the test area hosts some thin intercalations of more sulphidic–graphitic black schists enclosing lenses of serpentinized meta-ultramafic rocks and rocks marked as skarns in the geological maps. Within its northwestern part, the SNTL crosses the basal contact of the Outokumpu allochthon. The basement below the contact consists of stacked thrust slices of Archaean granodiorite–granite gneiss, Marine Jatuli quartzites (1.97 – 2.20 Ga; Laajoki and Paakkola 1988), calc-silicate rocks and partly graphitic–sulphidic mica schists. Both the basement gneisses and Proterozoic rocks have been extensively intruded by metadolerite sills and dykes. All these rocks are deformed to mylonite.
Fig. 2. The Sukkulansalo National Test Line on a geological map (Huhma 1975). The locations of deep-penetrating geophysical data, geological bedrock observation points and drill hole collars are also shown. Map Bedrock of Finland − DigiKP. Digital map database [Electronic resource]. Espoo: Geological Survey of Finland [referred 01.01.2016]. Version 1.0.

gneisses and schists. Major structural boundaries crossed by the SNTL are also demonstrating their existence in the geophysical data.

There is only few drill holes in the vicinity of the SNTL. There is a 2-km long drill hole profile paralleling the SNTL just 1 km northwest of it, across the Sukkulansalo area. A few drill holes are intersecting the Perttilahti Co-Cu-Zn mineralization, just at the southeastern end of seismic profile V7. Additionally, GTK has recently made a profile of four holes with nominal depth of 150 m across the Outo-kumpu allochthon basement contact at Saarivaara (Kontinen & Säävuori 2012). The geological information obtained from these drill holes is included in the geological cross-section presented at the end of this article.
GEOPHYSICAL DATA ALONG THE SNTL

The area of the Sukkulansalo National Test Line has been covered by several geophysical surveys, including low-altitude airborne magnetic, electromagnetic and radiometric data, high-resolution seismic reflection data, airborne ZTEM data and audiomagnetotelluric (AMT) data.

Airborne magnetic and electromagnetic surveys in the SNTL area

Figure 3, 4 and 5 show different geophysical maps acquired in the airborne surveys conducted by the Geological Survey of Finland. A detailed description of the methodology and measurement system (e.g. equipment used, measurement configuration and processing details) can be found in Airo (2005) and references therein. The SNTL crosses several prominent electromagnetic (Figs. 3 and 4) and magnetic anomalies (Fig. 5). These anomalies are continuous throughout the wider area in the Outokumpu region, and the SNTL crosses the SW end of the magnetic anomaly caused by an apparent overthrusted Miikkali nappe between Alakylä and Saunasuo.

In electromagnetic (EM) measurements, an alternating magnetic field is established by passing a current through a coil. In the presence of conductive earth material, a secondary magnetic field is induced and the strength of this secondary field is measured and compared with the strength of the...
primary field. Typical conductive minerals causing anomalies in EM measurements are graphite, pyrite and pyrrhotite. The depth penetration of the GTK 3 kHz airborne electromagnetic (AEM) data (Figs. 3 and 4) is up to 50 m. The AEM in-phase map (Fig. 4) generally shows the most conductive features in the bedrock, while the quadrature component (Fig. 3) is more sensitive to moderately conducting features and overburden conductors such as clays. No prominent outcropping sulphide mineralizations are known in the Outokumpu region, and the main conductors are black schists due to their graphite and sulphide content. Thus, most high-amplitude AEM anomalies in the SNTL area can be attributed to black schists. Serpentinites can also have higher conductivity than the surrounding resistive bedrock (Lahti et al., this volume), and they typically have high susceptibilities (Leväniemi 2016, this volume). In GTK’s frequency-domain AEM surveys, serpentines are shown as a negative response of the in-phase component (e.g. Grant & West 1965). The black schists often additionally carry pyrrhotite, which also causes a response in magnetic measurements.

Aeromagnetic measurements record the total intensity of the magnetic field, which is locally influenced by the relative abundance of magnetic minerals. The most common magnetic mineral is magnetite. According to Ruotoistenmäki and Tervo (2006), magnetic linear anomaly zones are related to traces of fault surfaces separating overlapping thrust sheets in the Outokumpu area. Similar features are seen in the AEM data. In the southeastern part, the SNTL profile reaches the anomalies

---

Fig. 4. In-phase component of airborne electromagnetic mapping by the Geological Survey of Finland in the SNTL area.
caused by the Outokumpu formation proper in the Perttilahti area (Fig. 5). In general, coinciding anomalies in magnetic and electromagnetic maps mark electrically conductive, pyrrhotite-bearing black schist layers, while locations with high magnetic but low electromagnetic readings are attributed to magnetite-bearing poorly conductive rocks (Ruotoistenmäki and Tervo 2006). In the case of a negative AEM in-phase and high magnetic response, the rock can be presumed to be serpentinite because of its high susceptibilities.

In Figure 6, a geological map is overlain with aeromagnetic and electromagnetic in-phase data plotted in grey scale. In the northwest of the SNTL, both magnetic and EM data show a clear positive anomaly on the contact between tonalitic gneisses in the north and mica gneisses in the south. Structural forms, such as the Miihkali and Outokumpu nappe, are clearly demonstrated in the airborne geophysical maps. The majority of narrow anomalies in the mica gneiss are caused by thin black schist interlayers having a strong response in both EM and magnetic measurements.
Seismic reflection data

In the course of the FIRE (Finnish Reflection Experiment 2003–2006; Kukkonen & Lahtinen. 2006) and HIRE (High Resolution Reflection Seismics for Ore Exploration, 2007–2010; Kukkonen et al. 2012) projects, altogether 82 km of high-resolution 2D seismic data were acquired in the Outokumpu region. Seismic reflection surveys are used to image subsurface structures to depths of several kilometres. Seismic waves are produced using a controlled source, such as explosives or special vibrator trucks. The waves propagate through the subsurface until they encounter an abrupt change in acoustic impedance (product of seismic velocity and density). The contrast can be caused, for example, by lithological changes or a fracture zone. Reflected seismic waves are registered in the surface with geophones. In the case of the HIRE surveys, a spread of 402 geophone groups was used. Because the ratio of the actual reflection signal to the noise is typically very low, seismic surveys are designed so that the same subsurface point is measured several tens of times with different source-to-receiver distances.

As already pointed out, the SNTL parallels one of the HIRE survey lines, providing information on subsurface reflectivity down to almost 10 km depth. The data acquisition for this HIRE V7 was carried out by the Russian company VniigEOFizika, which also carried out the basic data processing. Even though the resulting 2D seismic image is of good quality, carefully tailored algorithms have been found to further enhance the quality of final seismic sections, as demonstrated in the studies by Koivisto et al. (2012) and Heinonen et al. (2013). Reflection seismic data along V7 have been reprocessed and described in detail in the Master’s thesis of Aihemaiti (2014).

Profile V7 was measured by using a vibroseis source along a slightly winding road (Figs. 1 and 2). Although the winding is mostly only a minor factor, it nevertheless leads to the spreading of theoretical reflection points locally into a broader zone instead of common depth points being located underneath the acquisition line. In the parts where the acquisition road turns more sharply, the near and far offset reflection points can diverge almost 300 m in a cross-line direction. Clearly, in such parts of the final seismic profile, the observed reflectivity does not represent features exactly below the surface trace of the profile, but rather a certain smeared average of reflectivity. This is important to keep in mind in detailed interpretation, such as when setting drilling targets, solely based on seismic data.

Figure 7 presents an example of shot gathers acquired along the SNTL and V7 seismic profile. In these unprocessed shot gathers, clear reflectivity is observed at two-way travel times greater than 600 ms. Prominent first breaks demonstrate the high quality of the raw data.
An image of the seismic section commercially processed by Vniigeofizika is provided in Figure 8. Details of the commercial data processing are presented in technical reports by Vniigeofizika and Kukkonen et al. (2012). The reflectivity is characterized by a few strong continuous features along profile reaching surface at CMP 2500 and 3100, dipping towards the southeast with angles of 25° and 20°. These reflectors coincide at the surface with skarn rock formations in contact with mica gneisses and thrust faults. Strong reflectivity in the northwestern part of the profile at two-way travel times above 0.5 s (~1.5 km) projects in the surface at the border between Maarianvaara granites and mica gneisses of the Outokumpu allochthon. A more detailed geological interpretation of the seismic reflection data is provided in the context of the geological cross-section provided for the SNTL.

Gravity data

Most of the Outokumpu Belt has been covered by regional gravity measurements; the dataset has an average point density of 2.1 points/km². The regional gravity dataset is suitable for modelling larger units (e.g. the Outokumpu formation as one unit), but for detailed modelling it is necessary to use the systematic gravity survey dataset. These data are a legacy of Outokumpu Oy (Ketola, 1973), and were acquired with 100-m line spacing and 20-m point spacing for the majority of the data. Figure 9 displays a regional Bouguer anomaly gravity map of the SNTL surroundings.
GTK acquired a ZTEM (Z-axis tipper electromagnetic system) helicopter survey from Geotech in June 2013. ZTEM was used in the Outokumpu area to reveal the resistivity contrasts of the surface down to depths of 2 km. The method uses the natural or passive fields of the Earth as the source of transmitted energy. These natural electromagnetic fields originate from global thunderstorm activity. The vertical magnetic component of the EM field is recorded by a moving receiver and horizontal components of the electromagnetic field are simultaneously measured with ground base stations. Any vertical field is caused by conductivity changes in the Earth. The method primarily responds to the resistivity gradient at the contacts.

Most of the Outokumpu mining camp has been covered by ZTEM flight line spacing of 1000 m or, at the edges, 2000 m, but in the central survey area, also including the SNTL, the line spacing was reduced to 500 m. The total number of flight lines of the ZTEM survey was 51, with a total of 1200 line kilometres flown. The flight altitude was ca. 95 m. The estimated skin depth of the ZTEM data collected in Outokumpu is 600–2000 m measured with six frequencies (25, 37, 75, 150, 300 and 600 Hz). GTK ordered both 2D and 3D inversions of the ZTEM data from Geotech. Details of the ZTEM measurements, data processing and interpretation are presented in Kurimo et al. (this volume).

Two different sets of inversion results along the ZTEM flight lines close to the SNTL are presented in Figure 10. The first inversions were carried out along the flight lines by Geotech Ltd. The 2D inversion code used takes into account the variation in topography and flight altitude. The code assumes that the strike length of subsurface features is infinite and orthogonal to the profile. A homogeneous half-space with a resistivity of 2000 Ωm was used as an initial model. Figure 10 also shows the results of fine mesh 3D inversion with a cell size of 50 x 250 x 50 m. Similarly to 2D inversions, the 3D inversion also used 2000 Ωm in a start model,
and resistivity was allowed to vary between 1 and 100,000 Ωm. In addition to the results presented here, a 3D inversion with a coarser grid cell size was run with resistivity limits of 100–100,000 Ωm.

In Figure 10, a resistivity anomaly at a distance of about 4000 m along the profile coincides with the contact between the Maarianvaara granites and mica gneiss of the Outokumpu allochthon. Anomalies at distances of approximately 6000 m and 10,000 m can be attributed to the thrust zones and skarn rocks on the geological map (Fig. 2), although the increased conductivity can probably be attributed to the black schist in the thrust zone, not to the skarns. Additionally, a power line at 8900 m influences the results. Similarly to the previous one, an anomaly at around 1400 m distance is related to thrust structures. Outokumpu assemblage rocks in the Perttilahti area also cause a clear response in the ZTEM data (distance 16,000–18,000 m).

**AMT**

Audio-magnetotelluric (AMT) data were collected along the SNTL alongside four other profiles in the Outokumpu area during field campaigns in 2012–2014 with the aim of imaging deep conductivity structures. The SNTL AMT profile consists of 11 measurement sites (Fig. 2). High-frequency data
(f = 1–10,000 Hz) were acquired using two Metronix 24-bit ADU-07e broadband electromagnetic acquisition systems. Each AMT measurement was made with a perpendicular pair of 50–100-m electric dipoles that consist of two non-polarizing Pb–PbCl₂ electrodes (EFP06), two orthogonal magnetic field sensors (MFS07e) and one vertical magnetic field sensor (MFS07e). Two-dimensional inversion was jointly carried out for TE and TM data. Further details of the data processing are provided in Lahti et al. (this volume). The motivation to do both ZTEM and AMT surveys in the same area was to compare the resolution and results of the two methods. Additionally, AMT data provides information of deeper structures than ZTEM.

MODELLING AND INTEGRATION OF THE GEOPHYSICAL DATA

Seismic forward modelling

Seismic forward modelling is used to simulate the seismic data that would be recorded given an assumed geological structure and a particular acquisition geometry. For forward seismic modelling, a preliminary geological model of the target of interest is needed, along with knowledge of the density and seismic velocity structure of the medium in which the seismic waves propagate. Based on comparisons of the forward modelling results with measured reflection seismic data, the geological model can be tested and further improved. More throughout discussion about seismic forward modelling is provided by Komminaho et al. (this volume).

For the SNTL, an algorithm based on the Born approximation (Eaton 1997) was used for seismic forward modelling. The Born approximation gives the theoretical elastic-wave scattering response of

Fig. 11. AMT profile at the SNTL site. View from the southwest.
a geological model in a weakly heterogeneous medium. It is based on a representation of the geological model as a distribution of weak elastic perturbations superimposed on a smoothly varying, or constant, background model (Eaton 1997). The forward modelling was performed using Paradigm GOCAD® and Mira Geosciences Ltd. GOCAD® Mining Suite.

The geological model used for forward modelling is based on the model by Saalmann & Laine (2014), part of which located along seismic line V7 is illustrated in Figure 12. The 3D geological model is based on drill core observations and loggings, surface geology, geological cross-sections by Koistinen (1981), and aeromagnetic and reflection seismic data. Details of the 3D geological model can be found in Saalmann & Laine (2014). The geological model used for forward modelling consists of surfaces representing contacts between different rock units. Each surface was assigned P-wave velocities and density values, or more precisely, the change in these values compared to the background value representing mica schist. The average density and seismic velocity values for each rock type were

Table 1. Average density and seismic P-wave velocity (Vp) values for the rock types present in the 3D geological model used for seismic forward modelling (mica and black schists from Airo et al. (2011) and Elbra et al. (2011); Archaean basement and gabbro from Salisbury et al. (2003); values for ore theoretically calculated (see text for details)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Vp (m/s)</th>
<th>Density (kg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mica schist</td>
<td>5443</td>
<td>2668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mica schist (heterogeneous, dense)</td>
<td>5361</td>
<td>2790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaean gneiss</td>
<td>6910</td>
<td>2940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>6910</td>
<td>2940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outokumpu assemblage (black schist)</td>
<td>5330</td>
<td>2899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive sulphide ore</td>
<td>5997</td>
<td>3813</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
obtained from measurements made in the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole (Airo et al. 2011, Elbra et al. 2011) and are listed in Table 1 and also presented in Figure 12. The Achaean basement was assumed to consist of mafic rocks and the average density values and seismic velocities (6910 m/s and 2940 kg/m³) were obtained from Salisbury et al. (2003). Black schist envelopes the Outokumpu assemblage rocks (e.g., Koistinen 1981) and its density and seismic velocity were chosen to represent the Outokumpu assemblage in the forward modelling. In order to keep the model simple, it does not have the internal structure typical for the Outokumpu assemblage. The values for gabbro units were also obtained from Salisbury et al. (2003), since the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole does not intersect any gabbroic rocks.

To demonstrate how the Outokumpu-type ore would be detectable in reflection seismic data, theoretical P-wave velocity and density values were calculated for ore. The massive sulphide ore was assumed to have the following composition: pyrrhotite 23.2 vol%, pyrite 21.0 vol%, chalcopyrite 11.0 vol%, sphalerite 1.7 vol%, pentlandite 0.5 vol% and, as a main gangue mineral, quartz 42.6 vol% (Peltola 1978, Kukkonen et al. 2012). The values for P-wave velocities and densities for individual minerals were obtained from Salisbury et al. (2003) and Schön (2004), and the values for Outokumpu ore were calculated from the assumed mineral composition of the ore and from the theoretical property values for these individual minerals (Table 1). A small ore inclusion (ca. 500 m x 100 m) was added to the southeastern part of the 3D geological model within the Outokumpu assemblage unit (Fig. 1A indicated in red).

The modelled results are presented in Figures 12b and 12c together with the measured reflection seismic data from line V7 in Figure 12d. Reflections that are comparable between the simulated and measured data from line V7 are marked with R1–R5. Reflections R1 and R2 originate from the assumed contacts to the Archaean basement and they can be found in both simulated and measured data. As expected, the detailed reflectivity of the unit cannot be explained by the geological model used. Komminaho et al. (this volume) speculate that the reflections attributed here to a continuous Archaean basement below the measurement line might partly, in particular the reflections marked by R1, be caused by 3D effects and be produced by features that are not located immediately below the measurement line.

Reflections due to assumed gabbros are marked with R3. The overall shape of the observed reflections (R3 in Fig. 12c) can be explained by that of the high-density gabbros in the geological model. Reflections marked by R4 indicate the contact to the assumed Outokumpu assemblage unit in the mica schist background. It should be noted that in the model, this unit is not internally reflective because of a homogeneous composition (i.e., no internal
lithological contacts within the formation that would produce reflections), and the theoretical ore inclusion produces a clear diffracted signal in the synthetic data (Fig. 12c). Typically, Outokumpu assemblage rocks are associated with a strongly reflective internal structure (Kukkonen et al. 2012), which complicates the use of seismic reflection data for direct ore exploration. Reflections marked by R5 are the reflections due to more dense altered mica schists within the homogeneous and light mica schists. However, these reflections are not clearly visible in the measured data from V7 (Fig. 12d), as also indicated by similar acoustic impedances (Fig. 13).

Seismic forward modelling shows that the relatively simple geological model presented by Saalman and Laine (2014) can explain the majority of the observed reflectivity, but the geological complexity and internal structure of the reflective formations cannot be repeated with the forward modelling algorithm using Born approximation. In particular, this type of algorithm is not able to repeat the amplitude variations of the real seismic data and does not take into consideration the full waveform behaviour of the seismic waves, including diffraction, scattering and wave conversions. However, because the algorithm is fast and easy to use, it provides a rapid tool to test whether the geological interpretation of the seismic data is feasible in the first level. Furthermore, the discrepancies between modeled and measured seismic data areas can reveal interesting features for exploration.

Forward modelling of gravity data

Gravity modelling on the SNTL profile was performed as forward modelling using Tensor Research ModelVision 14.1. The initial model capitalized on the Saarivaara interpretation, which concluded that the strong reflectors in the V7 seismic profile are due to alternating and internally strongly foliated sequences of low-density quartzite, arkosite, high-density amphibolites, calc-silicate rocks and amphibolite layers in the underlying Archaean gneisses (Kontinen & Säävuori 2013). Based on the density measurements of Kontinen & Säävuori (2013), we used the total bulk density of 2800 kg/m³ for this highly varying rock package. It should be noted that this is very close to the densities of the altered ultramafic rocks in the Outokumpu association (e.g. Leväniemi 2016, this volume) and these two rocks cannot be distinguished by using gravity method. It is noteworthy that uncertainties related to the dimensions and geometries of the gravity model bodies are substantial, and forward modelling is suggestive rather than definitive. As usual in geophysical modelling, several different geological models can cause a similar response in the gravity measurements.

Outlining for the Saarivaara sequence of rocks in the V7 seismic profile (Fig. 14) constrains the rest of the model. The metasediments (mica schists) in the Outokumpu allochthon are estimated to be of a higher density than the underlying Archaean gneisses (Leväniemi, this volume). Thickness of mica schist increases towards the southeast and it is a major component in the regional long-wavelength gravity anomaly. The presumed high-density amphibolites and calc-silicate rocks are modelled with a single density value, and it should be noted that based on the seismic profile they are internally layered. Thus, the gravity model bodies are inevitably simplifications of the true geological features.

At the northwest end of the gravimetric profile there are two small maxima in the measurement data on the Archaean rocks. These were modelled as two upward-reaching limbs of the Saarivaara sequence rocks. The surficial counterparts for the limbs can be located on the detailed geological map of the Saarivaara area by Kontinen & Säävuori (2013) and are included in the geological interpretation of the SNTL at the end of this article.
Joint interpretation of the geophysical data on SNTL

Figure 15 presents a combination of seismic, ZTEM, AMT and gravity data together with aerogeophysical maps of the SNTL area. In Figure 15f, the 2D inversion results of ZTEM flight line 1104 are projected on the seismic profile. In Figure 14e, the seismic reflection profile is overlain by a gravity model and isocurves of the AMT measurements. Gravity model is based on the seismic reflection data due to the fact that both of these methods respond to density changes unless change in density is compensated with opposite change in velocity (that is, acoustic impedance remains the same). In the northwestern part of the SNTL, ZTEM and AMT data both show similar conductivity structures, but in the middle part of the profile and on its southern end the results do not correlate. Possible reasons for this include the presence of a 110 kV power line at a distance of ~10 km in Figure 15 and the gap in AMT stations around this same area (see Fig. 2 for AMT measurement points). ZTEM data have much denser sampling compared to AMT, and conductors of AMT data might be superpositioned.

The most common conductors in the SNTL area are the black schists interlayers in the mica gneiss that also envelopes ore-hosting Outokumpu assemblage rocks. However, black schists do not have a significant density contrast to mica gneiss and are not a source of gravity anomalies, resulting in a general lack of correlation between gravity and ZTEM anomalies (Fig. 15). However, base of the allochthon metasediments was interpreted from gravity and seismic data and this same feature is delineated with the conductor isocurves in the NW part of the profile. Increase of conductivity can indicate presence of black schists in the contact imaged with seismic data.

A closer comparison of the ZTEM 2D inversion results on profile 1104 with the bedrock map and other geophysical datasets reveals the origin of several conductors (Fig. 15). At the contact of the Maarianvaara granite and the Kaleva allochthon (conductor 1 in Fig. 15), Kontinen & Säävuori (2013) report black schists in Saarivaara drill holes. The general shape of this conductor follows the seismic reflector that Kontinen & Säävuori (2013) attributed to the Heinä-Sukkula sequence rocks of highly variable densities and seismic velocities, and as such most likely marks the eastward-dipping basin of the metasediments. A similar feature is also apparent in AMT data (Fig. 12). A separate conductor (2) could be related to this feature or be an individual conductor of unknown origin; no strong seismic reflectors coincide with this feature, but it is rather located between strong reflectors in a non-reflective zone. The tip of the Miihkkäli nappe and its conductors (presumably also black schists) can also be observed in the ZTEM inversion results (conductor 3).

Between Saarivaara and Perttilahti there is one strongly conductive feature (conductor 4) that can be connected to a surface conductor in the AEM in-phase map (Fig. 15b). This feature is possibly caused by graphite schist layers within the mica schist, as they are abundant in the area. Based on the surface geological map, skarn rocks have been observed in the vicinity of the conductor but skarns are not known to contain conductive minerals. The tip of the conductor aligns with a gently SE-dipping reflector, and AMT isocurves at this site seem to divide the seismic section into an area of prominent reflectivity and a seismically homogeneous area. This observations suggest that conductor 4 may be related to a tectonic feature, such as a fault zone in which black schist has acted as a sliding surface according to interpretation by Kukkonen et al. (2012).

Strong subvertical ZTEM conductor 5 is associated with the known Outokumpu Belt. However, neither AMT nor seismic data extend to this zone. The southeastern end of the seismic profile shows discontinuous reflectivity that could result from the subvertical general direction of structures or extensive faulting. Comparison of Perttilahti geological cross-sections (Kontinen et al. 2006) of the Outokumpu Belt with ZTEM conductivity anomalies reveal that conductor 5 is related to a shallow Outokumpu assemblage series with black schists on the fringes of the altered ultramafic rocks. However, the deeper Outokumpu “sequence” hosting the mineralization cannot be clearly distinguished in the inversion results. On the southeast side of the Outokumpu Belt, the conducting features 6, 7 and 8 in the inversion results can be linked to weak surficial conductors on the AEM in-phase map that appear to be a continuation of the features surrounding the Sola formation (Fig. 4), and are also visible on the magnetic map in Figure 15d and Figure 5.
Fig. 15. The Sukkulansalo National Test Line on geological (a) in-phase electromagnetic (b), gravity (c) and magnetic maps (d). In Figure e) 2D inversion results of flight line 1104 are shown, and in f) these results are underlain by seismic profile V7. Figure g) shows the seismic data, isocurves of conductivity along the AMT profile and density blocks resulting from gravity modelling (green 2800 kg/m³, light blue 2720 kg/m³, dark blue 2740 kg/m³, background 2670 kg/m³). Geological cross section in figure h) is discussed in detail below.
GEOLOGICAL CROSS-SECTION ALONG THE SNTL

The in-depth geology and structure along the Sukkulansalo National Test Line is only tentatively understood due to poor exposure and a lack of dedicated structural mapping for most of it. In order to make the SNTL a more prominent location for testing deep exploration methods and to draw more reliable conclusions regarding the geological structures, the interpretation presented here needs to be tested by deep drilling. Current geological understanding of the SNTL is mainly based on the geophysical data and is presented in the following.

The archaean basement rocks in the northwest of the SNTL profile contain younger sedimentary Jatuli rock sequence that projects at a shallow angle (c. 20°) below the Outokumpu allochthon. The stack appears as a strongly reflective unit in seismic reflection profile V7 because it contains both high density amphibolites and less dense felsic rocks. This Saarivaara reflector can be traced over 10 km to the southeast below the Outokumpu–Vuonos–Perttilahiti zone, where the base of the allochthon appears to be at approximately 4 km depth.

A geologically complicating factor is the presence of the young pegmatitic Maarianvaara granites extensively exposed c. 10 km west of the Saarivaara/Pohjoispää hill. Based on Bouguer gravity anomaly maps (Fig. 9) and observations from the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole (Västi 2011), these light (density 2631 kg/m³, Airo et al. 2011) granites underlie the whole SNTL area below 0–2 km in terms of depth.

Although the exposure over large parts of the SNTL is poor, existing ground and low-altitude airborne geophysical surveys indicate some occurrences of serpentinite and sulphidic–graphitic rocks along the SNTL. Down-plunge analysis from the sides towards the SNTL suggest this is also the case at depth. Considerable bodies of gabbros at depth have been suggested to contribute to the reflectivity underneath the SNTL (Saalman & Laine 2014) but we attribute many of the reflectors in V7 above the interpreted allochthon–basement contact to late fault planes in the turbidite stack because currently no known surface examples of gabbro bodies in the Outokumpu allochthon area exist.

The term Maarianvaara granite (A in Fig. 16) originally referred to the fairly uniform granodiorite–granite–pegmatite granite domain NE of Lake Rikkavesi and between the Kaavi and Outokumpu branches of the Outokumpu allochthon. The SNTL interpretation recognizes three separate large masses of Maarianvaara–type granite, labelled as A1, A2 and A3. From these, A1 refers to the well- and widely exposed Maarianvaara granite proper, whereas A2 and A3 are unexposed subsurface elements inferred from the indications by the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole and seismic reflection profiles. Maarianvaara granites are an important component below the entire Outokumpu area, but the subsurface shapes and depth extent of the granite cannot be realistically outlined based on the currently available data. As the potential to generate granite from the crust or/and mantle-derived magmas is limited, there must be some other, genetically related rock masses below the granites. Regional gravity anomaly maps suggest that in the North Karelia belt the subsurface becomes increasingly dense with an increase in the granodiorite–granite component in the surface towards the SE. This correlation and the presence of dioritic enclaves within the granodiorite–granites in the SW areas suggest that intermediate–mafic plutonic rocks are an important component of the deeper crust below 5 km. The presence of A3, for

which there is no direct evidence, as there is for A2 provided by granite in Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole, has also been suggested by Sorjonen–Ward (2006).

Archaean gneisses of the Onnivaara domal structure are marked with B in Figure 16. The domal structure has been interpreted from the foliation and magnetic anomaly patterns. The magnetic anomalies are related to the Proterozoic gabbroic sills intrusive in the mostly gently dipping Archaean gneisses, which are dominantly granodiorite–granite, often with large K-feldspar phenocrysts or porphyroblasts. Further in the north, in the Nilsiä area, similar granodiorites–granites have been identified in the Sanukitoid clan. As in the Nilsiä area, in the Onnivaara structure the Archaean granitoids are also typically strongly foliated or lineated. This additionally applies to the Proterozoic mafic dykes and sills intrusive in the Archaean gneisses.

The Saarivaara imbricate package (C) consists of the interface of the Onnivaara gneisses and allochthonous Kaleva. The Saarivaara package is an approximately 1.5–km-thick, gently east-dipping unit of thin fault-bounded slices of pervasively foliated Archaean gneisses and Proterozoic, “marine Jatuli” quartzites, amphibolites, calc-silicate rocks and graphitic–sulphidic wacke–pelite schists. A recent drilling profile across the poorly exposed package on the eastern slope of Pohjoispää hill at Saarivaara has revealed that a thin sliver of Archaean gneisses is underlain by the marine Jatuli rocks just below the Outokumpu allochthon, emphasizing the tectonic stack nature of the Saarivaara package (Kontinen & Säävuori 2013). The down-dip extension of the Saarivaara package (D) is clearly seen in the seismic reflectivity data as a laterally extensive, 1–1.5–km-thick reflectivity pattern, greyed and labelled with the letter D in Figure 16. The upper surface of the package is an east-dipping reflector at the depth of 500 m at the western end of seismic profile V7. Down-dip projection of the Saarivaara package observed in the drill holes results in a perfect match with the reflectivity layer. Measured physical properties of the Saarivaara package indicate that it has highly variable seismic velocities and densities, resulting in strong reflectivity. Based on these observations, Kontinen & Säävuori (2013) proposed that the D feature in Figure 16 was a lateral continuation of the Saarivaara package and that its upper boundary was marking the basal contact of the allochthonous Kaleva mica gneisses of the Outokumpu. This interpretation is consistent with the results from ZTEM, AMT and gravity measurements.

The basal part of the Outokumpu allochthon in the Saarivaara area (E) solely consists of wacke-dominated metaturbidites typical of the allochthonous Upper Kaleva. Based on geophysical maps, these rocks are fairly homogeneous and do not contain significant occurrences of sulphide–carbonaceous interlayers or enclosures of Outokumpu-type mafic–ultramafic bodies.

As in the previous zone, the Muktavaara zone (F) is also for its surface part mostly composed of wacke-dominated metaturbidite. Only within the western part of the intersection does it cross the hinge of the traditionally assumed Miihkalap synform, indicated in the sketch by a form line and the label Mi. To match up with the local schistosity data, the synform has been sketched assuming an E-dipping axial plane. The shape of the Miihkalap synform can be interpreted from seismic data, and ZTEM inversion results show indications of the structure. Fault-bound sheets and lenses of Outokumpu-type ultramafic–derived rocks occur along the alleged basal contact of the Miihkalap synform, also here within its southern hinge, and these ultramafic rocks enveloped in black schists probably cause the conductivity anomalies in ZTEM inversion results. A dozen shallow (<250 m) exploration holes drilled in the Miihkalap structure near to the SNTL have revealed thin slivers of Outokumpu-type rocks, mainly skarn rocks, but without any clear indications of Cu–Co mineralization. A cluster of deeper (1000–2000 m) reflectivity is observed in the V7 seismic reflection profile in the eastern part of the Muktavaara zone. The cluster has been interpreted as a case analogous to the Miihkalap synform, as is outlined by the form line marked with the label Su-d (Sukkulansalo deep synform) in the cross section. Anomaly maps of the low-altitude airborne magnetic and electromagnetic surveys indicate an oval-shaped antiform or synformal structure NE of seismic profile V7 in the Sukkulanjoki area (G).

In the SNTL geological cross-section, a synform is assumed, as is outlined by the form lines. Drillings in the area are scarce but consistently show that the magnetic and conductive zones in Sukkulanjoki mainly contain graphitic–sulphidic wackes–shales or black schists. Thus, current geological knowledge of the Sukkulanjoki structure does not support the idea that surficial or deeper parts contain significant amounts of Outokumpu-type ultramafic–derived rocks, despite the strong reflectivity pattern, greyed and labelled with the letter D in Figure 16.
tivity and ZTEM conductivity anomalies probably caused by black schist.

Seismic profile V7 terminates prior to proper intersection with the Outokumpu Mining Zone (H), which is magnificently distinguished from the surroundings in its richness in geophysically well-discernible rock types such as highly sulphide-graphitic black schist and reasonably large masses of various types of primarily ultramafic rocks. The latter are the environment of all mining in the Outokumpu area. As a reflection of the exploration interest, abundant drilling has been directed to this zone and its structural style is fairly well documented down to a depth of 200–600 m for almost its entire length from Outokumpu to Hormanaho. Many cross-section sketches of the zone have been published, and these fairly consistently indicate that the commanding structural feature was from ten-metre to kilometre-scale tight folding with 40–70 degrees eastwards–dipping axial planes and a shallow SW–or NE-plunging axis, with some NW-verging thrusts and imbrication faulting along the axial planes. The dominant folds are usually interpreted as the earliest regionally observed (F2) folds. Perhaps the most intriguing structural aspect of the Outokumpu Mining Zone is the remarkably straight–striking nature of the black schist–dominated turbidite layers up to tens of kilometres long, which are obvious from geophysical maps. Clearly, any folding and faulting post-dating the remarkably coherent F2 event must have been of relatively minor structural importance for the Outokumpu Mining Zone.

The wide, and for its large parts also reasonably well-exposed Viinijärvi domain (I) between the Outokumpu Mining Zone (H) and Sotkuma dome (M) has been interpreted as a remnant nappe sheet deformed into a synformal structural basin marked at its base by the Outokumpu and Sola zones. However, the Viinijärvi basin lacks published structural analysis that is based on systematic field mapping. The lack of research reflects the lack of intercalations of such materials as sulphide-graphite–rich black schists or Outokumpu–type mafic-ultramafic rocks that would be of interest for exploration. The SNTL crosses the northeastern part of the alleged Viinijärvi synform in the area where it is largely covered by Lake Viinijärvi. The limited structural data on published maps indicate consistently steep–dipping prominent foliation across the whole area. The situation is also similar within the better-exposed central part of the basin, in the Harmaansalo area SW of Lake Viinijärvi. Recent observations from this area suggest that bedding is also consistently steep dipping (>60°), often near vertical. With insufficient critical structural data, such as younging information, it is impossible to infer what types of structural processes have rotated the bedding and foliation to their present steep attitudes. Although signs of isoclinal folding are rare on the outcrop scale, kilometre-scale isoclinal folding may be involved. This is conceptually indicated by the form lines in the SNTL cross-section. This interpretation indicates that the monotonously wacke–pelite nature of the Viinijärvi structure probably continues to depth.

The total thickness of the Outokumpu allochthon with serpentinite enclosures below the Viinijärvi basin is difficult to constrain from the sparse available data. Previous proposals include >8 km by Gaal et al. (1975) from a down-plunge model for the N part of the Viinijärvi basin and >15 km by Park & Doody (1990) from regional structural modelling. Gaal et al. (1975) noted the problem with steep–dipping (km-scale) structural elements and the shallow depth (c. 2 km) of the Viinijärvi basin interpreted from the seismic data acquired by Penttilä (1972). Based on our interpretation of the bottom contacts of the Outokumpu allochthon west of the Outokumpu zone and Sola inlier, the maximum thickness of the allochthon at Viinijärvi on the SNTL could hardly be more than about 5 km. This is also supported by the interpretation of the FIRE 3 crustal-scale seismic reflection profile presented by Sorjonen–Ward (2006), suggesting a thickness of about 4 km for the allochthon.

Along the Sukkulansalo National Test Line, the Sola shear zone (J) appears as a narrow zone between two steeply west-dipping faults. It is at the southwards continuation of the northwards-widening shear zone, which contains the Sola serpentinite lenses and additionally some other serpentinite lenses in the north and south from Sola. Foliations reported further north from the Sola shear zone are mostly steeply dipping (75°–85°) towards the west–southwest (Gaal et al. 1975, Huhma 1976). Although the zone is generally interpreted as a shear zone, there is little evidence of shear-related rotations in the foliation patterns on the published maps, despite the zone being fairly well exposed. On the other hand, bedding in the zone is reported with a ubiquitous outcrop scale, typically 30–50° SW-dipping dextral folds (Gaal et al. 1975). Based on the bedding, younging and lineation (stretching, fold axis) data for the Sola and the below-described Huutokoski–Orivesi
zones reported by Gaal et al. (1975), the area is characterized by kilometre-scale isoclinal folding with very steeply west-dipping axial planes and 30–50° plunging axes. Gaal et al. (1975) interpreted the structure at Sola to be an overturned synform overprinting older, very large recumbent folding that is seen in the outcrops as refolded isoclinal folds (F3).

The Huutokoski–Orivesi sheet at Ahoniemi (K) is a 1–4-km-wide N–S-oriented fault-bound sheet of sand-dominated Upper Kaleva-type metaturbidite schists, extending over 70 km from Huutokoski in the north to Orivesi in the south. The orientation of bedding, foliation and, most likely, stretching lineation of the schist are remarkably consistent. Foliations are mostly 50–70° south–southwest dipping over the whole sheet and lineations 20–60° S–SW plunging. At Ahoniemi, where the SNTL crosses the Huutokoski–Orivesi sheet, the typical figures for foliation and lineation are 50–70° W–SW and 30–50° S–SW, respectively. As for the Sola shear zone, the structural data of Gaal et al. (1975) suggest kilometre-scale isoclinal folds. In the Ahoniemi area, there are a few narrow slivers of partly skarnoid metabasites (carbonate–altered and metamorphosed) along the eastern football contact. Otherwise, the Huutokoski–Orivesi sheet is without any observations of possible Outokumpu-type ultramafic–mafic-derived rocks. Gaal et al. (1975) marked the eastern football contact east of Sola with a narrow zone of mylonite schist.

Epicontinental sediments fringing the Sotkuma basement inlier (L) form a narrow sheet of partly graphitic–sulphidic mica schist with 1–130 m quartzites, calc–silicate-bearing quartzites, diopsides skarns, chlorite–biotite schist and various breccias and conglomerate rocks at its base between the mica schists of the Upper Kaleva type, as at Ahoniemi, and the Archaean gneisses of the Sotkuma inlier. Gaal et al. (1975) considered the basal quartzitic rocks “epicontinental” and suggested that they have directly deposited on palaeoweathering granitoid gneisses of the Sotkuma dome.

The foliations of the sedimentary rocks fringing the Sotkuma dome dip 40 degrees W at the SNTL intersection. A thin strip of epicontinental sedimentary rocks, without much depth extension, is marked in the geological cross-section in Figure 16, although exactly at the profile line they may be missing. As is shown in the cross-section, the dome is also fringed on its southern margin by epicontinental conglomerates and quartzites (Laiti 1985). Note here that the profile only crosses the very SW corner of the inlier. The gravity gradient south of the dome suggest the cover–basement interface to be dipping towards the south with a relatively shallow angle, and the sediment rock lid south of the dome is thus rather thin. Actually, the entire Pyhäselkä block south of the Sola inlier appears to be a basement block with only a thin, gently folded (E–W hinge lines) if not almost flat-lying sediment cover. The very different deformation pattern in the Pyhäselkä block compared to that of the above-described Huutokoski–Orivesi zone is a striking contrast, but obviously as such a key aspect in understanding the structure of the North Karelia schist belt.

The traditional interpretation of the 10 x 20 km Sola basement inlier (M), mainly comprising late Archaean granitic gneissic rocks plus Proterozoic mafic dykes, is a structural dome or a fault-bound, uplifted basement block (e.g. Gaal et al. 1975, Kohonen 1995, Park & Doody 1987). Possible interpretations of Sola inlier also include it being a relatively thin thrust lens pushed from the west or sheet in the sedimentary. Based on gravity modelling, Ruoistenmäki & Tervo (2006) have proposed that the inlier would represent an approximately 4-km-thick thrust lens while. Sorjonen-Ward (2006) has interpreted the inlier as a 2-km-thick thrust sheet, stating that at least the eastern margin of the inlier is evidently a thrust contact. The FIRE3 seismic reflection profile indicates distinct layered reflectivity below the inlier, down to a depth of 10 km (black lines in the sketch pick up some major reflector traces). Sorjonen-Ward (2006) interpreted the reflectivity by correlatives of the Höytiäinen domain sediments below the inlier, down to 10 km depth. However, gravity maps suggest that the inlier would have a subsurface extent, under only a thin sediment cover, at least 7–8 km further east of its exposed east contact. Furthermore, a recent deep-sounding AMT survey has found crust below the inlayer free of conductors at least down to a depth of 8–9 km, despite the fact that highly conductive N–S-running units comprise a major part of the strata in the Höytiäinen domain.

The gneisses as exposed in the surface part of the Sola basement inlier seem an inappropriate source of the layered reflectivity deeper below the inlier, even noting the abundant mafic dykes along its eastern contact. In the FIRE3 seismic reflection profile, similar reflectivity fabric to the Sotkuma inlier is only seen in the presumably Archaean basement below the Outokumpu allochthon and
Maarianvaara granite domain. Furthermore, we note the stark difference in the reflectivity of the Archaean crust between the strongly reflective Iisalmi and seismically almost transparent Ilomantsi blocks. Consequently, the relatively reflective crust below the North Karelia Schist belt could be a continuation of that in the Iisalmi rather than the Ilomantsi block.

Even though the metasediments immediately east of the Sotkuma inlier appear to dip at an approximately 40° angle below the inlier, this cannot be considered a permissible interpretation. The best explanation seems to be that the east contact was actually defined by a somewhat steeper than 40° west-dipping fault with a reverse dip-slip component. The gravity anomaly maps and FIRE3 image consistently indicate that the western contact of the Sotkuma inlier was west dipping at an angle of less than 45°. Consequently, at the Sola shear about 4 km west of the inlier, the Archaean–Proterozoic contact would be at the depth of c. 4 km. Based on the assumptions presented above, at this same depth further to the west, bodies of young Maarianvaara granite could appear.

CONCLUSIONS

The Sukkulansalo National Test Line (SNTL) is located in the historical mining district of Outokumpu, Finland. High-resolution seismic reflection data, audio-magnetotelluric (AMT) measurements, gravity modelling and deep penetrating ZTEM (Z-axis tipper electromagnetic) data supplemented with geological interpretation provide a ground reference for the development and testing of new deep exploration methods.

In addition to massive sulphide ore, sulphide-bearing black schists are the main cause of conductivity anomalies in the SNTL area. This is demonstrated in the ZTEM inversion results as abundant conductive anomalies that in some locations also coincide with seismic reflectors. Black schists have probably acted as sliding surfaces during past tectonic evolution and are thus also reflective due to the acoustic impedance contrast with the surroundings. In gravity data, black schists are not separable from the mica gneisses that dominate the geology in SNTL area due to the lack of density contrast, and there is no clear correlation between the gravity and ZTEM results. Seismic reflection data and the results of forward modelling of gravity data are compatible due to the fact that both methods respond to changes in density.

All available geophysical data sets consistently show a similar base contact for the basal part of the Outokumpu allochthon in the northwestern part of the SNTL, in Saarivaara. The allochthon is underlain by a so-called Saarivaara imbricate package, characterized by strong reflectivity due to gneiss-es, quartzites, amphibolites, calc-silicate rocks and black schists having varying acoustic properties. The presence of black schist results in conductivity anomalies in ZTEM and AMT data, while high-density amphibolites might be the main reason for the gravity anomaly. The continuation of Saarivaara-type reflectivity, or the base of the Outokumpu allochthon, can be followed on seismic reflection profile V7 towards the southeast at depths of 3–4 km.

The geological cross-section of the SNTL suggests the presence of low-density young granites along the SNTL underneath the Outokumpu allochthon, characterized in the seismic reflection profile by transparent areas. The shapes of Miihikki and Sukkulanjoki synforms can be identified from the seismic reflection data, and these thrust-related synformal structures are also characterized by conductivity anomalies due to the presence of black schists.

Currently, the lack of deep drill holes and systematic structural geological mapping prevents the detailed interpretation of the SNTL geology. Furthermore, in situ petrophysical studies are required to link geological observations to geophysics, and to improve the inversions and interpretation of the geophysical data. However, results presented in this paper provide a solid basis and reference data for the future deep exploration efforts by providing geological framework that can be used to build structural model of the ore deposits in the area and even to aid targeting of the drilling. Especially interesting are anomalous features in the geophysical data that are not explained by the current geological model.
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REGIONAL ANALYSIS OF HYDROTHERMAL NICKEL PROSPECTIVITY IN THE OUTOKUMPU MINERAL DISTRICT
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This paper describes the methodology and analysis of the regional prospectivity of hydrothermal nickel in the Outokumpu Mineral District. This forms a case study which is part of the Developing Mining Camp Exploration Concepts and Technologies – Brownfield Exploration project that focused on developing deep exploration concepts and technologies for Outokumpu-type metal deposits in crystalline bedrock areas.

Although the focus in future metal exploration and mining is gradually concentrated in greater depths, the key to understanding deep ore potential is still grounded on a good understanding of the geology of the Earth’s surface. Evolving mineral deposit concepts, good geophysical and geochemical data coverage and modern GIS modelling tools enable us to identify and evaluate regional geological characteristics and the related metal potential of brownfield areas.

In this semi-quantitative study, pseudo-lithological features of the Outokumpu Mineral District were mapped from airborne electromagnetic and magnetic data using GIS technology and tools. Geochemical data were also used to delineate the regional to target scale prospective areas for follow-up exploration of Outokumpu-type hydrothermal nickel deposits using GIS fuzzy modelling techniques.
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INTRODUCTION

After a century of exploration and mining activities, the regional Outokumpu-type metal potential of Northern Karelia, Finland (Fig. 1), has recently been quantitatively assessed by Rasilainen et al. (2014). They focused on the still undiscovered resources of copper, zinc, cobalt and nickel in a permissive tract area of ca. 9800 km² to the depth of one kilometre and estimated a median tonnage of 580,000 t Cu, 220,000 t Zn, 53,000 t Co and 41,000 t Ni in one Outokumpu-type permissive tract area.

Genetic models of Outokumpu-type deposits in tectonized and metasomatized serpentinites have previously been described by Kontinen et al. (2006) and Peltonen et al. (2008) for the period from 1921 to 1999. Saalmann & Laine (2014) pointed out the significance of deep structural controls on the ore deposit settings after Kontinen et al. (2006), Peltonen et al. (2008) and Kukkonen et al. (2012). Zhang et al. (2006) concluded, based on their fluid flow modelling results, that multiphase regional structural processes affected hydrothermal events at Kylylahti, Polvijärvi, and thus also the metal enrichment and remobilization in the Outokumpu nappe area (Fig. 2). Peltonen et al. (2008) described Outokumpu as a Red Sea-type ophiolitic ore deposit originating from a tectonic setting resembling Phanerozoic passive margins.

Due to the ongoing active exploration and mining in the Outokumpu Mineral District (Fig. 2), GIS-based raster calculation and knowledge-driven fuzzy logic prospectivity modelling techniques were here used to identify tectonized Palaeoproterozoic mantle peridotites associated with Outokumpu-type nickel metallogeny that were described in Kontinen et al. (2006) and Peltonen et al. (2008) as having potential for hydrothermal nickel sulphides.

The concept for producing an Outokumpu-type ore deposit model was initially developed in the GEOMEX J.V. project operating in Finland in 1998–2003 (Kuronen et al. 2004, Kontinen et al. 2006, Peltonen et al. 2008), and later modified by Kontinen (2014). Their conceptual model of Outokumpu-type mineralization consists of two sub-concepts, the Cu-rich proto ore and the secondary Ni-Co sulphide disseminations. During the course of this study work, an interesting report on the hydrothermal nickel–(PGE) potential related to accretionary environments was published (González-Álvarez et al. 2010, 2013), leading to a need to explore for similar nickel–(PGE) deposits in the Outokumpu region. In this study, supplementary exploration vectors are added to the previous concept of the Outokumpu-type Cu–Co–Zn mineral deposit model based on new exploration knowledge of accretionary ophiolitic environments. These include the role of chromium, lithium and PGE as possible indicators of hydrothermal nickel–PGE and lithium deposits in the Outokumpu-type geological settings.
Fig. 1. The Outokumpu Mineral District (black quadrangle) is located in the Karelian metasedimentary border zone (light blue) partly thrust during the Svecofennian orogeny on the Archaean basement (beige) of the Karelian craton (Lahtinen et al. 2009). The Outokumpu Mining Camp area of the present project, also coinciding the ZTEM flight survey area (Kurimo et al. 2016), is outlined as a black octagon inside the Outokumpu Mineral District area. Bedrock map: Digital Bedrock Map Database of Finland (Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP).
Fig. 2. Lithology of the Outokumpu Mineral District modified from the 1:200 000 scaled Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP showing the main Outokumpu ore deposits. The modelling study area covers the Finnish 1:100 000 map sheet areas 4221 Heinävesi, 4222 Outokumpu, 4223 Joensuu, 4224 Kontiolahti, 4311 Luikonlahti (Sivakkavaara) and 4313 Koli (framed by black lines), coinciding with the area of the GEOMEX project (Kuronen et al. 2004). The Outokumpu Mining Camp area is outlined as a grey octagon inside the Outokumpu Mineral District area. Geological line features: thrust faulting (triangulated), major faulting (black), a minor fault (dashed) and black shales (purple). The spatial reference of the study was Finland zone 3 (KKJ 3). Ore deposits: GTK digital archives (see FODD 2015).
GIS-based tools and integrative computational analyses have been used in predicting regional metal prospectivity for over 20 years (e.g. Harris et al. 2000, Bonham-Carter & Cheng 2008, Nykänen 2008, Porwalski & Kreuzer 2010, Leväniemi 2013, Torppa et al. 2015). However, recent developments in proxy setting and prospectivity analysis in regional nickel prospectivity mapping has been undertaken also, for example, in Zimbabwe by Markwitz et al. (2010), and for Avebury-style hydrothermal-remobilized nickel mineral systems in Australia by González-Alvarez (2010) and Lisitsin et al. (2013).

In this study the conceptualization modelling approaches presented in the above papers were integrated with the model of Outokumpu-type mineral deposits by Peltonen et al. (2008) and summarized in Rasilainen et al. (2014). The vectors used for producing prospectivity analysis of the nickel sulphide prospectivity map for Outokumpu Mineral District are listed and described in Table 1. This study concentrated on outlining the practical features and constraints (Tables 1–3) revealed by geophysical and geochemical GIS data related to the prospectivity of secondary Ni sulphides originating along with serpentinization processes in the Outokumpu nappe complex. Visualization and enhancement techniques used by Airo (2015) were applied to Outokumpu-type geophysical anomalies for enhancing prospective areas and for the selection of additional geophysical vectors for modelling within the GIS. The study area was extended to match the previous GEOMEX project area delineation and was not restricted to the present mining camp project covering just the ZTEM flight area. This provides a wider area to explore (Fig. 2), and also matches the area in which a regional 3D geological interpretation of the mineral district was undertaken (see Aatos et al., this volume). The maximum depth of this prospectivity analysis data varies from surficial to shallow, according to the airborne electromagnetic (EM, 70–100 m depth at its best) and surface gamma-ray spectrometry data (Airo et al. 2014) used. The prospectivity model would primarily be considered a 2D model.

The presented 2D prospectivity analysis was based on existing geological, geochemical or geophysical data as summarized in Table 1. Airo (2015) has established the high magnetic susceptibility and some other characteristic geophysical features of Outokumpu ore (e.g. density, conductivity and Königsberger ratio) and Outokumpu-type anomalies (classified regional airborne conductivity and magnetic anomaly maps). Kontny & Dietze (2014) noted that magnetite and monoclinic pyrrhotite of ferromagnetic metaperidotite result in the high magnetic susceptibility of the Outokumpu assemblage. GTK’s in-house interpreted airborne electromagnetic (EM), magnetic and radiometric uranium data (Airo et al. 2014, Airo 2015) along with regional till geochemical (Salminen 1995) and lithogeochemical data (Rasilainen et al. 2007, 2008) were used to produce the Ni mineral prospectivity maps of the Outokumpu region (Tables 1 and 2).

A geoprocessing tool for spatial data modelling using fuzzy logic was used to build experimental models to produce prospectivity maps (Sawatzky et al. 2009) based on Outokumpu-type exploration vectors. Figure 3 presents an example of a built fuzzy logic model to produce a preliminary Ni prospectivity map based on Outokumpu-type exploration vectors (Table 2).

In the data conditioning phase, the point geochemical data were interpolated using a nearest neighbor algorithm for the till data and an inverse distance weighting algorithm for the lithogeochemical data due to the irregular or scattered sample points grid and non-normal distribution of the data values (Table 2). Whole-rock analyses in the Finnish lithogeochemical rock geochemistry database (RGDB) data (Rasilainen et al. 2007, 2008) were used to compute CIPW norms with the R application Geochemical Data Toolkit (GCDkit) (Janošek et al. 2006) to test whether there were any differences in Mg-bearing mineralogical distributions in the region (Tables 1 and 2). As an intermediate product in the GIS prospectivity analysis process a 2D pseudo-lithological interpretation (Fig. 4) based on airborne magnetic and apparent resistivity data (Airo et al. 2014) was also used in the modelling.

For the basis of this work, I compiled a set of exploration proxies conducted from the available geophysical and geochemical data and a prospectivity analysis workflow for the Outokumpu-type secondary Ni sulphide disseminations (Tables 1 and 2). As a result of applying the methodology and tools described in the previous sections, I produced a 2D computational GIS interpretation process for the Outokumpu-type secondary Ni potential occurring in or in relation to the serpentinized
Table 1. A simplified conceptual mineral system model for Outokumpu-type hydrothermal Ni deposits modified after Peltonen et al. (2008), Markwitz et al. (2010) and Lisitsin et al. (2013), and exploration vectors used for Outokumpu Mineral District prospectivity modelling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral systems concept</th>
<th>Exploration vector</th>
<th>Signature or response for modelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Availability of Ni source** | Presence of ultramafic rocks containing ophiolitic packages, ultramafic rocks (picritic basalts >12% MgO) | Regional: Ultramafics detected in the area of interest  
Targeting: Olivine or hypersthene in CIPW norm (Cross et al. 1902), lithogeochemical data |
| **Mobility of Ni (endogenous hydrothermal processes caused by regional metamorphism)** | Magnetite and Mg-bearing minerals formed after disintegration of olivine  
Serpentinization  
Skarnification | Regional: Positive airborne magnetic anomalies associated with massive magnetite occurrences within ultramafic rocks  
Regional: High simultaneous airborne EM imaginary (quadrature) component and magnetic anomalies associated with serpentinites and skarns  
Regional to site-specific targeting: High acid (aq. reg.) leachable Mg concentrations in till (reflecting the presence of serpentine and/or magnesite) |
| **Mobility of Ni (introduced by hydrothermal activity)** | Introduction of U  
Introduction of Cr (secondary), Li and B | Regional: Airborne U anomalies  
Targeting: High U concentration in lithogeochemical data  
Regional to site specific targeting: High acid (aq. reg.) leachable Cr and Li anomalies in till  
Detected boron-bearing minerals in bedrock |
| **Deposition of Ni** | Deposition of sulphides (black schists) vs. trap (tectonic contacts)  
Deposition of sulphasenides, sulphosalts (granitoids, black schists) vs. trap (tectonic contacts) | Regional: High conductivity due to possible pyrrhotite and other conductive metal sulphides, mainly in black schists  
Regional to site-specific targeting: Identification of suitable nappes, thrust zones, shear zones and faults  
Regional to site-specific targeting: High lithogeochemical concentrations of Cu and Pd  
Regional to site-specific targeting: High acid leachable Cu, Co and Ni anomalies in till  
Site-specific targets: Identification of podiform chromitites (stratigraphic constraint)  
Site-specific targets: Identification of boron minerals related to high-strain zones |
Fig. 3. An example of a fuzzy logic model built in this study. The model was built in a graphic user interface of an object-oriented spatial data model builder (Sawatzky et al. 2009). Fuzzy membership function algorithms based on the mean and standard deviation of the input data where either small or large values of data have high memberships (Sawatzky et al. 2009) were used. Mean multiplier and standard deviation multipliers were defined as 1. The application-specific methods used to combine two or more membership data were overlay types AND, OR or GAMMA (Sawatzky et al. 2009).

The regional pseudo-lithological model (Table 2, Fig. 4) was validated in discussions with experienced geologists having expertise in regional bedrock and structural mapping of the Outokumpu Mineral District. The geophysical anomaly mapping based on pseudo-lithological composite geodatasets with cut-offs (Table 2, Figs. 5 and 6) were validated using data available from known ore deposits and mineral showings from the Outokumpu Mineral District (FODD 2015, layman’s samples and observations archived in the GTK database). Geochemical metal anomalies in till were validated visually together with boulder data (Table 2, Fig. 8).

peridotites of the ophiolite bodies. Some of the most interesting of the produced prospectivity maps are reported in this study (Figs. 4–10).

In the case of brownfield exploration in mining camps, suitable bedrock maps that can be used for validating 2D GIS prospectivity maps are often more abundant than in the greenfield areas, depending on the scope, number and quality of previous surface exploration programmes or observations. In this study area the Outokumpu hydrothermal nickel prospectivity maps produced were validated based on expert knowledge and qualitative field-based observations.
Table 2. Regional data used for prospectivity modelling. The pixel size of each raster was 50 m x 50 m. The electrical conductivity and radiation of bedrock is dependent not only on the mineral composition but as well as, for instance, on the texture and water content of the mineral material of the glacigenic overburden (Airo 2015). Local anthropogenic anomalies have been visually screened out in the expert validation phase, especially in the interpretation of radiometric U results, as there are anomalous spots because of some human land construction activities, such as mining waste dumps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modelling data</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Data provider</th>
<th>Data domain</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Spatial data type or geometry</th>
<th>Modelling parameters [range of raster value]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KKJ map sheet areas, 1:100 000</td>
<td></td>
<td>National Land Survey of Finland (NLS)</td>
<td>Land survey</td>
<td>Geographical spatial data</td>
<td>Study area (Fig. 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Bedrock Map Database, 1:200 000</td>
<td>Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP</td>
<td>Geological Survey of Finland (GTK)</td>
<td>Geology</td>
<td>Bedrock geology</td>
<td>Vector</td>
<td>Background data for comparison (bedrock types, faults)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent resistivity, real (in phase) and imaginary (quadrature) conductivity</td>
<td>Airo et al. (2014)</td>
<td>GTK</td>
<td>Geophysics</td>
<td>Airborne EM</td>
<td>Raster</td>
<td>Electromagnetic anomalies: apparent resistivity = [0.5178], real (3 kHz) = [-2223, 17144] and imaginary (3 kHz) = [-1856, 11569]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic</td>
<td>Airo et al. (2014)</td>
<td>GTK</td>
<td>Geophysics</td>
<td>Airborne magnetics</td>
<td>Raster</td>
<td>Magnetic anomalies (DGRF-65) = [-3240, 9769]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural radioactivity</td>
<td>Airo et al. (2014)</td>
<td>GTK</td>
<td>Geophysics</td>
<td>Airborne radiometrics</td>
<td>Raster</td>
<td>U anomalies of the Earth's surface: U (eq.) = [-1.84, 11.08]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock geochemistry database</td>
<td>(Rasilainen et al. 2007, 2008)</td>
<td>GTK</td>
<td>Geochemistry</td>
<td>Regional lithogeocchemistry</td>
<td>Interpolated point data (raster)</td>
<td>Ni, Cr, Cu and Pd anomalies of bedrock (ppm): Ni = [14, 2722], Cr = [19, 3138], Cu = [17, 579], and Pd = [5, 26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Till geochemistry database</td>
<td>Salminen (1995)</td>
<td>GTK</td>
<td>Geochemistry</td>
<td>Regional geochemistry of till (raster)</td>
<td>Interpolated point data (raster)</td>
<td>Mg, Ni, Cr, Cu, Co, Li anomalies of till (ppm): Mg = [836, 41956], Ni = [3.1, 859], Cr = [7.5, 208], Cu = [5.8, 303], Co = [1.9, 90], Li = [0-95.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPW norm mineralogy</td>
<td>In preparation (Aatos)</td>
<td>S. Aatos, unpublished computational model evidence layer data</td>
<td>Petrology</td>
<td>Inferred regional ultra-mafic-mafic mineralogy</td>
<td>Interpolated point data (raster)</td>
<td>Computationally interpreted olivine anomalies of rocks (%): ol = [0.68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudolithology</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>This study, computational model evidence layer data</td>
<td>Geophysics</td>
<td>Inferred regional bedrock geology</td>
<td>Multiplication of the values of two rasters on a cell-by-cell basis (raster)</td>
<td>Classified composite geodatasets: 1) apparent resistivity (EM) * magnetic anomalies = [-6958404, 17964170] 2) quadrature component (EM) * magnetic anomalies = [-2311669.25, 11987580] 3) in-phase component (EM) * magnetic anomalies = [-13671361, 50215728]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

The pseudo–lithological map (Fig. 4) was found to be useful for not only as inclusion in the prospectivity modelling but also as an information source for bedrock mapping in places where geological information was sparse. For example, regional pseudo–lithological map provided indications that the airborne geophysical anomalies probably caused by 2.2 Ga old diabase sills originating from low–Al or karjalitic magma (Nykänen et al. 1994), ultramafic rocks and Maarianvaara granitoid can be visually separated from Lower and Upper Kalevian metasedimentary rocks, or that Outokumpu and Miihkli nappes can be clearly mapped (Figs. 1, 2 and 4).

Fig. 4. Pseudo–lithological map of the study area based on airborne geophysical apparent resistivity and magnetic GIS raster data. The model area comprises six 1:100 000 map sheets (grey outline), an area about 7200 km² in total. Colours of the model: purple = conductive and magnetic rock units (e.g. black schists), green = magnetic and resistive ground (karjalites, soapstone, Outokumpu–type talc rocks), blue = Lower Kalevian rock units, pale blue = Upper Kalevian rock units, and red = granitoids (e.g. Maarianvaara granite north–west of Vuonos). Raster data source: GTK (see e.g. Airo et al. 2014). Ore deposits: GTK digital archives (see FODD 2015).
Fig. 5. A pseudo-lithological map based on a composite quadrature and magnetic aerogeophysical GIS raster data (Table 2) with a model cut-off value coincide well with Outokumpu assemblage-type rock areas (e.g. serpentinites, skarns) or features having simultaneous high-electric imaginary (quadrature) and magnetic anomalies (grey to black areas). Metals reported from the layman’s bedrock observation database: Cu, Ni, Zn and U (GTK digital archives). Raster data source: GTK (see e.g. Airo et al. 2014). Base map: pseudolithological map from Figure 4.
Fig. 6. A pseudo-lithological map based on a composite in-phase EM and magnetic aerogeophysical GIS raster data (Table 2). The model delineates the conductive and magnetic pyrrhotite and other sulphides containing zones as overlapping high-electric EM real (in phase) component and magnetic anomalies (red purple). Low-magnetic, high-conductive anomalies (blue violet) may correlate, for example, with highly graphitic schists, low-sulphide or pyrite-only black schists. Besides Outokumpu assemblage-type rocks, copper anomalies may be originated also from karjalitic rocks. Metals reported from the layman’s bedrock observation data: Cu, Ni, Zn and U (GTK digital archives). Raster data source: GTK (see e.g. Airo et al. 2014). Base map: pseudo-lithological map from Figure 4.
Fig. 7. A lithogeochemistry-based fuzzy logic prospectivity model of the Outokumpu Mineral District suggesting areas of the most primitive ultramafic rocks with hydrothermal Ni (± PGE) potential (dark grey shading). The possible indications of chromitites (± related PGE minerals) as modelled based on the pseudo-lithological indications of serpentinite or ultramafic rock (Fig. 5), computed normative olivine in ultramafic rocks, and concentration of Cr, Ni, Cu or Pd in lithogeochemical data from the RGDB by Rasilainen et al. (2007, 2008) as conceptualized in Tables 1 and 2 (dark grey shading). Ore deposits: GTK digital archives (see FODD 2015). Base map: pseudo-lithological map from Figure 4.
Fig. 8. A till geochemistry–based fuzzy logic prospectivity model (deep purple) of the Outokumpu Mineral District suggesting areas having potential for remobilized hydrothermal Ni (± PGE). During the GIS visualization experiments of regional metal anomalies (e.g. Cu, Co, Ni, Zn, Cr) in till before constructing Outokumpu–type prospectivity models, anomalies of other than base metals, e.g. lithium, were also recognized. In the Paakkila–Kuusjärvi area, south–southwest of Maarianvaara granitoid, there exists a regional lithium anomaly (green dots) in till over an area of granite pegmatites related to a shear zone, which may indicate younger or more differentiated magmatic hydrothermal activity in the area compared to Maarianvaara granitoid. The lithium anomaly (24–95.9 ppm) appears to be in the close vicinity of maximum values of Outokumpu–type combined geophysical (pseudo–lithological serpentinite interpretation in Figure 5, airborne U) and till (Mg, Ni, Cr, Cu, Co) anomaly models (deep purple) (see model concepts in Tables 1 and 2). Purple circles mark nickel–mineralized boulders in the layman’s sample database of GTK. Base map: pseudo–lithological map from Figure 4.
Fig. 9. A 2D GIS view combining the two 2D geophysical GIS raster models from Figures 5 and 6, 2D GIS data on lithium anomalies in till within a range of 24 to 95.9 ppm from Figure 8, and 3D ZTEM inversion results (Kurimo et al. 2016, Lahti et al. 2016) interpolated with a cut-off value of 750 Ωm in a 3D grid (grey mesh), see also Figure 11. Base map: pseudo-lithological map from Figure 4.
Fig. 10. Regional 2D GIS prospectivity models with cut-off contours can be presented in same space, for example, with 3D geological models to further visualize the prospectivity scenarios in a 3D geology and mine planning software application. In this example, the main bedrock geological units of the Outokumpu Mineral District were visualized as 3D solid models (beige = Archaean basement, transparent blue = Palaeoproterozoic metasedimentary rocks and red = Maarianvaara granitoid). An example of an Outokumpu-type fuzzy logic GIS prospectivity model (orange contour = model cut-off value) based on geophysical and geochemical data of the Outokumpu Mineral District is visualized on top of the 3D geological solid model. The bedrock geological and fault interpretation were based on digital bedrock map of Finland (Bedrock of Finland – DigiKP), gravity map of GEOMEX project (Ruotoistenmäki 2006), pseudo-lithological interpretations of this study, and aerogeophysical raster data (Airo et al. 2014). The dimensions of the 3D solid model in this figure caption are about 80 km x 120 km x 5 km, corresponding to the 2D prospectivity modelling area of this article.
DISCUSSION

Underexplored hydrothermal nickel-(± PGE) prospectivity of the Palaeoproterozoic Outokumpu ophiolite regime

Global context of hydrothermal nickel-(PGE) deposits

Interest in hydrothermal–remobilized type nickel–(PGE) deposits and systems has increased in recent years (González-Álvarez et al. 2013). The hydrothermal multi-metallic nickel ore type potential in collisional or accretionary environments of different ages related to mafic–ultramafic magmatic and ophiolitic rocks has been identified, for example in North and South Africa (Ghorfi et al. 2008, Mark-witz et al. 2010), Canada (Chen et al. 1993, Heaman et al. 2009, Laznicka 2010), Western Australia (Pirajno et al. 2016) and in Russia (Grokhovskaya et al. 2009). Proterozoic Ni–PGE remobilizing hydrothermal processes and mineralization have also been detected in sediment-hosted environments (Distler et al. 2004), such as unconformities having U–Au potential (McCready et al. 2004, Cuzens 2010). Many of these deposits have world-class mineral potential.

According to the composite data, updated regional exploration vectors of remobilized hydrothermal Ni–(±PGE) deposits and the preliminary spatial modelling results of this study imply that many of these global features can be identified from Outokumpu–type deposits (e.g. Kokka, Vuonos, Horsmanaho and Vasarakangas at Kylylahti, too.
Table 3. Hydrothermal Ni–Cr–(±PGE) deposits detected from Proterozoic to Palaeozoic collisional or accretionary environments. This particular branch of mineral occurrences has a variety of ages and deposition environments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral system</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Ore type</th>
<th>Age (ca. Ma)</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avebury</td>
<td>McIvor Hill Complex</td>
<td>Low Cu, Ni with W, Pb, Bi, Mo, Sn, Sb and Au, Cr-spinels</td>
<td>510-390</td>
<td>Collision between a passive continental margin and intra-oceanic arc, later granitic intrusions. Ultramafic body, local skarns, contact aureole of a granite</td>
<td>Lisitsin et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sukhoi Log</td>
<td>Lena Goldfield, Boidabo Synclinorium</td>
<td>Multi-stage origin with a late metamorphic overprint</td>
<td>516–320</td>
<td>Thrusted passive margin sequence connected with ophiolites</td>
<td>Distler et al. (2004), Pirajno (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bou Azzer</td>
<td>Anti-Atlas, Morocco</td>
<td>Chromitite alteration, Co-Ni-As sulphide, low in PGE</td>
<td>788–305</td>
<td>Ophiolite under sedimentary cover</td>
<td>Ghorfi et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronation Hill, Rum Jungle Mineral Field</td>
<td>Pine Creek, Northern Territory, Australia</td>
<td>U-Au-PGE, Ni-Copyrite</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>Unconformity, mafic magmatism, reduced basement lithologies</td>
<td>Mernagh et al. (1998), McCready et al. (2004), Cuzens (2010), Orth et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokka (Figs. 2, 4 and 7)</td>
<td>Outokumpu Mineral District, Eastern Finland</td>
<td>Co-bearing, weak Ni mineralization (PGE?) in skarn or contact of serpentinite, black schist</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Allochthonous ophiolite</td>
<td>Inkinen (1969), Koistinen (1976), Jokela (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikomäki open pit, Vuonos Ni Mine (Figs. 2, 4 and 7)</td>
<td>Outokumpu Mining Camp, Eastern Finland</td>
<td>Ni ore (PGE?), Co-bearing Ni sulphides-arsenides, chromite, U-bearing minerals</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Allochthonous ophiolite</td>
<td>Rauhamäki (1975), Kauppinen (1976), Hänninen (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horsmanaho</td>
<td>Outokumpu Mining Camp, Eastern Finland</td>
<td>Ni mineralization (PGE?), Co-bearing Ni sulphides-arsenides in concentration of talc mine</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Allochthonous ophiolite</td>
<td>Hänninen (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasarakangas at Kylýlahi (Figs. 2, 4 and 7)</td>
<td>Outokumpu Mining Camp, Eastern Finland</td>
<td>Chromitite, PGM, laurite, irarsite, osarsite in euhedral matrix gersdorffites</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Allochthonous ophiolite</td>
<td>Liipo (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raglan, Delta (Povungnituk Group, Chukotat Group), Purtuniq Ophiolite</td>
<td>Cape Smith Belt, Canada</td>
<td>Fe-Ni-Cu-PGE mineralization</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Ultramafic-mafic differentiated bodies, sedimentary rocks</td>
<td>St-Onge &amp; Lucas (1994), Scott et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monchetundra</td>
<td>Pechenga-Imandra-Varzuga Rift System</td>
<td>Hydrothermal complex and multi-phased secondary PGE</td>
<td>2495</td>
<td>Tectonized, intense and long magmatic activity, layered ultramafic rocks</td>
<td>Grokhovskaya et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some of the potential mineral systems are related to the marginal hydrothermal mineralization processes of primary Ni deposits (e.g. Thompson, Purtuniq and Monchetundra). (Table 3).

During rifting and in accretionary orogens of Palaeoproterozoic age, existing or forming metal deposits were affected by complex processes related to deformation, metamorphism or even partial melting, causing various types of hydrothermal activity (Bierlein et al. 2009, Sharma 2009, Singh et al. 2016). Hydrothermal processes related to Palaeoproterozoic continental growth and cyclicity of shearing-controlled remobilization of chromium and PGE of chromites have been described, for example, from the Archaean Singhbhum craton – Palaeoproterozoic Singhbhum Mobile Belt, India (Mondal 2009).

In Finland, the role of structural processes in Ni–PGE remobilization was already identified in the 1970s at the Hitura nickel sulphide mine, Ni-vala, where PGE mineralization in the Palaeoproterozoic, originally sill-like ultramafic intrusive cumulate nickel ore deposit was detected to be controlled by hydrothermal processes, and shearing or contacting structures in sulphide enriched layers and veins (Hakli et al. 1976, Makkonen et al. 2011), with secondary PGM (Kojonen et al. 2004).

**The role of chromium in the Outokumpu-type mineral concept**

Mozier et al. (2012) have thoroughly described the USGS concept of global podiform chromitite metallogeny and potential and according to their work, podiform chromitites in ophiolites mainly occur within ultramafic rocks near cumulate contacts or tectonic zones, and they may indicate enrichment of PGEs (Table 4).

Palaeoproterozoic continental passive margins and accretionary orogens (Condie 2002, LIP Commission 2016) related to the Cr–Ni–PGE potential in podiform chromitites in 2.2 Ga highly differentiated karjalitic sills occur worldwide. Karjalites of the same age range also exist in the Outokumpu Mineral District (Vuollo et al. 1995, LIP Commission 2006, Knauf et al. 1999, Pekkarinen et al. 2006). Their origination may have a resemblance, for example, with the conditions in the Palaeoproterozoic margins of Singhbhum Craton (Singh et al. 2016). Hanski (2015) has described the primary metallogeny of the Outokumpu Palaeoproterozoic ophiolites from formation to the final breakup of the Kenorland supercontinent. Later Palaeoproterozoic multi-phased Cr–Ni–(PGE) alteration, remobilization or accumulating processes in mafic-ultramafic Outokumpu-type ophiolites have been described and conceptualized by Knauf et al. (1999), Kuronen et al. (2004), Kontinen et al. (2006), Santtii et al. (2006) and Peltonen et al. (2008).

Hydrothermal remobilization of the original PGE mineralogy of Outokumpu chromitites by PGE-gersdorffites is suggested to be linked with regional metamorphism after the formation of chromitites (Knauf et al. 1999). Regional thermal activity is also supported by the metasomatic alteration or even breakdown of chromite described by Santtii et al. (2006). Later hydrothermal replacement of the original PGE minerals reveals that PGE-related cobaltoan gersdorffite ((Ni,Co)AsS) could be an interesting pathfinder mineral for PGE prospectivity in the Outokumpu region. However, the economic value of the PGE in the Outokumpu region remains unknown due to insufficient information (Knauf et al. 1999).

In this study, at least two or three different kinds of chromium-bearing, structure-controlled PGE mineralization-favouring processes could be identified in the Outokumpu region that are presently intermingled in the same geological space (Figs. 7 and 8). Firstly, karjalites may include some PGE minerals (Srivastava et al. 2014). Secondly, ophiolite complexes may have chromitite pods enriched in PGE when they are sulphide-bearing (Prichard & Brough 2009). Chromitite pods with PGE minerals have been detected in the Outokumpu ophiolite complex by Vuollo et al. (1995), Liipo et al. (1998), and Liipo (1999) at Vasarakangas. The ophiolite bodies also contain Outokumpu-type massive or semi-massive Cu–Co–Zn–Ni±Au sulphide potential in ophiolite assemblages, which have been identified to belong to this geological context (Peltonen et al. 2008, Hanski 2015). Thirdly, later nickel–PGE remobilization connected with the disintegration of podiform chromites in cobalt-bearing hydrothermal mineralization processes has been identified near Outokumpu by Knauf et al. (1999).

The Outokumpu-type polymetallic sulphide ores are strongly remobilized and tectonized, and they seem to have received their metals from ultramafic rocks, and a minor part of the sulphur from black schists (Peltonen et al. 2008, Saalmann & Laine 2014). Hydrothermal alteration in the
Outokumpu Mineral District may have been related to regional metamorphism or late orogenic magmatic activity during the Svecokarelian orogeny, represented by ca. 1.86 Ga old Maarianvaara granitoid (Huhma 1986, Peltonen et al. 2008) and possibly Kitee-type granite pegmatites (Figs. 8–11). These magmatic events may have impacted on the podiform chromitites, chromites or chromium-bearing magnetites, also altering or remobilizing the possible PGE in them.

**Additional pathfinder proxies for targeting the exploration of hydrothermal Ni-(PGE) in the Outokumpu Mineral District**

Although zones and locations prospective for Outokumpu-type mineralization can be traced quite easily with geophysical anomalies at the regional scale, unfortunately they do not help in distinguishing or targeting the individual trace metal potential in them without geochemical or mineralogical parameters. For targeting trace metal mineralizations, lithogeochemistry or geochemistry of till may provide more detailed characteristics of the prospective spots (Tables 1 and 4). The regional till geochemistry data may reveal the presence of regional hydrothermal alteration more clearly than lithogeochemistry of less altered bedrock in situ. It is likely that metal anomalies of till generally originate from fragmented and weathered boulder material dispersed geographically and topographically by glaciofluvial forces (Fig. 8). Some of the weathered or secondary mineral material in till originating from the exotic mineralogy of bedrock may dissolve more easily with acids than fresh rock samples.

A few additions to the conceptual exploration model of Outokumpu-type hydrothermal–remobilised multi–metallic Ni–Cr–(PGE) mineral systems in the Outokumpu Mineral District can be made based on the features of analogous deposits elsewhere. Some of these exploration vectors (Table 4) resemble quite closely those developed for younger analogies (e.g. Grapes & Palmer 1996, Lisitsin et al. 2013, Rajendran & Nasir 2014, Cooper & Ireland 2015). As podiform chromititites are known to occur in Vasarakangas (Tables 3 and 4), in the Outokumpu case a surface or zone of chromitite pods could be used as a structural constraint in interpreting the boundaries of the ophiolite complex, as was predicted in the model presented in Figure 7. Nikkarinen & Salminen (1982) have developed a heavy mineralogical exploration proxy for till material connected to Outokumpu serpentinites. According to them, the abundance of tremolite and iron sulphides together with uvarovite can be used as pathfinder minerals in the bottom till material tracing the Outokumpu serpentinites. It should be noted that uvarovite is lacking from lower amphibolites facies environments, such as Kylylahhti, Sola and the northern part of Miihkali (pers. comm. Asko Kontinen). Cameron & Hattori (2005) have compiled specifications for exploring PGE in surface environments, e.g. with Quaternary cover materials. Mernagh et al. (1998) have suggested the U/Th ratio of airborne radiometrics to regionally uncover the unconformity type U–mineral sources caused by hydrothermal remobilization by metamorphism or granitic magmatism. Recently, Lehtonen et al. (2015) have developed new laboratory technologies and procedures for more cost-effective indicator mineral (e.g. PGM) exploration.

Regionally and, in places, in connection with some of the Outokumpu-type ore deposits, secondary chromium and boron have both been concentrated enough to form, for example, dravitelbaite–type Cr–bearing tourmalines (Outokumpu dravite and Kaavi Cr tourmaline (Peltola et al. 1968, Hytönen 1999)). Maarianvaara granitoid or later pegmatites related to the shear zone in Outokumpu show complex geochemical characteristics in places, e.g. chromian Na–Mg tourmaline dravite, and hydrothermal or otherwise secondary Cr minerals in Outokumpu-type skarns (Peltola et al. 1968). The presence of high chromium in high-grade silicate minerals in the Outokumpu mining area implies derivation from the original chromites and the migration of the released chromium into new minerals either in regional or contact metamorphic processes. Further disintegration of possible secondary chromium minerals can be witnessed, for instance, as anomalies of easily leachable (aq. reg.) chromium possibly of silicate origin in till (Fig. 8) or as overprinting of remobilized Cr–bearing hydrothermal minerals on the walls of underground museum tunnels of the closed Outokumpu mine (Fig. 12).

The role of Maarianvaara granitoid in the Outokumpu-type ore deposition style has once again come under discussion after a few decades of silence (pers. comm. Urpo Kuronen and Jarmo Vehanto). After the formation of the mineralized
Table 4. Some complementary suggestions of features that could be useful as exploration vectors for the Ni-Cr-(PGE) potential mapping of Outokumpu-type deposits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineralization style</th>
<th>Pathfinder mineralogy</th>
<th>Significance in the concept</th>
<th>Study material</th>
<th>Exploration proxy for prospectivity modelling</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outokumpu assemblage</td>
<td>Pentlandite, cobaltoan minerals</td>
<td>Significant at the targeting scale</td>
<td>Ni-Co anomaly in till</td>
<td>Ni/Co ratio in heavy mineral fraction of till</td>
<td>Nikkarinen &amp; Salmi–nen (1982), Kontinen et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Podiform) chromite &gt; remnants and secondary Cr minerals due to hydrothermal alteration &gt; strain-related Cr-Ni-(PGE?)</td>
<td>Chromite, uvarovite, Cr-bearing mica etc. Hydrothermally altered Cr minerals and Ni-sulphides in tectonized quartz rocks of the Outokumpu assemblage</td>
<td>Original deposit style minor. It would be indicative in targeting or deposit-scale exploration of altered zones</td>
<td>Cr anomaly in till</td>
<td>Acid-soluble Cr</td>
<td>Vuollo et al. (1995), Knauf et al. (1999), Aatos et al. (2006), Säntti et al. (2006), Prichard &amp; Brought (2009), Mosier et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remobilized Ni-PGE</td>
<td>Co-gersdorffite, Ni-arsenides</td>
<td>Original deposit style minor, but indicative on the regional to deposit scale</td>
<td>Ni-As anomaly in till, Pd in humus</td>
<td>Identification of potential minerals, metal distributions in them</td>
<td>Knauf et al. (1999), Cameron &amp; Hattori (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary and secondary Ni deposits</td>
<td>Secondary magnetites depleted of Ni and Cr</td>
<td>Detection of eroded Ni-Cu-PGE deposits under e.g. Quaternary sedimentary coverage</td>
<td>Differentiated or altered composition of magnetite in surficial sediments</td>
<td>Trace element distribution of magnetites</td>
<td>Boutroy et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain-related Cr-Li-B, can also be found in the Outokumpu Mineral District</td>
<td>Dravite, Cr-Li-bearing mica, corundum</td>
<td>Structural-genetic interest in case of serpentinites. In case of pegmatites, may indicate economic Li deposits</td>
<td>Cr-Li anomaly in till</td>
<td>Acid-soluble Cr, Li</td>
<td>Peltola et al. (1968), Grapes &amp; Palmer (1996), Hytönen (1999), Cooper &amp; Ireland (2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12. Remobilization of chromium can be observed, for example, in the barren rock walls of a driven tunnel in the Outokumpu mine museum, Outokumpu, Finland. The green wedge-shaped bodies dipping gently from left to right on the upper right edge of the image set contain abundant secondary chromium minerals other than chromite (photo collage by Esko Koistinen, GTK).
protolithic mafic–ultramafic–sedimentary sequences, later Paleoproterozoic orogens may have involved granitic–alkaline magmatism enriched with REE, Th and U, and having economic potential for lithium (LCT-type pegmatite dykes containing, for example, tourmaline). One example is the Trans–Hudsonian Wekusko Lake pegmatite field in the Flin–Flon domain, Manitoba, Western Canada. (Manitoba Geological Survey 2015)

According to young metasomatic Cr–Li–B analogies from the Pounamu Ultramafic Belt in New Zealand, Cr–Li-bearing minerals can be encountered in collisional metamorphic fault zones of LIP plateau–originated allochthonous ultramafics, although the mobility of Cr and introduction of external B and Li by granitic alkaline fluids from continental-derived sources may be needed to explain the abundance of, for instance, chromian minerals in this zone. (Grapes & Palmer 1996, Cooper & Ireland 2015)

The Tohmajärvi region further southeast of the Outokumpu Mineral District is known for its Li pegmatites, being part of the Kitee granite (Alviola 1974). Li-bearing minerals found in till in the Tohmajärvi region are mostly biotites rich in lithium (Nikkarinen & Wennerström 1982). Outside this study’s scope and without any further investigations, these ideas concerning metamorphic lithium enrichment or potential complex pegmatites in the Paakkila–Kuusjärvi area of the Outokumpu Mineral District remain untested.

**Outokumpu and Mihkali nappes involve differences in lithology**

The GIS fuzzy logic prospectivity models presented in this study (Figs. 7 and 8), based on regional till (Salminen et al. 1995) and bedrock lithogeochemistry (Rasilainen et al. 2007, 2008), indicate that Ni and Cr anomalies do not fully correlate with each other geographically, e.g. between Outokumpu and Mihkali nappes. According to the pseudolithological model presented here (Figs. 4 and 13), the metasedimentary rocks of the Mihkali and Outokumpu nappes have differing geophysical characteristics compared with each other, also implying differences in geology. The main cause for this may be different geological settings with diverging physico-chemical conditions of sedimentation or metamorphosis of metasedimentary rock units, probably caused by tectonic effects on the present stratigraphic positioning. In addition, some later tectono-magmatic events with complex hydrothermal activities after deposition following proto-ore may also have caused or enhanced the incoherent spatial distribution of chemical elements regionally (Figs. 2, 7–13).

Mihkali could have reserved more of its original ultramafic Ni–(PGE) potential and integrity towards the thermo-chemical effects caused by the granitic–alkaline magmatic or metamorphic fluids containing differentiated or dissolved base metals and U compared to the ultramafic bodies in contact with graphite schists in the Outokumpu nappe. The surface part of the Mihkali nappe area may have been stratigraphically or spatially more distant than the Outokumpu nappe, or weakly or not affected, for instance, by the regional metamorphic fluids or the Maarianvaara granitoid or younger pegmatite granite fluid fluxes, unless these dynamics could be evidenced in deeper parts of the Mihkali lithodeme.

If the Outokumpu and Mihkali nappes were of the same lithological origin, the Outokumpu ore belt could have been similar to the Mihkali type ultramafic formation, until it was exposed to the end phase of the collision-related thermal sources (Maarianvaara granitoid and younger pegmatites) or surficial fluids (fluxing agents, noble elements, Co, U, Li, B and others) (mobile Cr, Ni and possibly PGE), but at present it could be tectonically dislocated compared to the Mihkali basin. If the Mihkali basin showed fewer indications of hydrothermal remobilization of the original nickel and chromium, it could be considered as being more of an original mantle formation and a less tectonized upper thrust slice of the Outokumpu allochthon than the Outokumpu nappe.
In this study, supplementary exploration vectors were added to the previous concept of the Outokumpu-type Cu–Co–Zn mineral deposit model based on new exploration knowledge of collisional or accretionary ophiolitic environments. These include the role of remobilized chromium, lithium and PGE as possible indicators of hydrothermal nickel (±PGE) and lithium deposits in the Outokumpu-type geological setting.

The Palaeoproterozoic regime of the dismembered ophiolitic Outokumpu nappe complex, consisting of Outokumpu and Miikali nappes piled up on each other, includes potential for Red Sea–Oman-type Cu–Co–Zn–Ni metallogeny in a highly tectonized and remobilized environment. Olivine in the ophiolites was hydrated to serpentines, which in turn may have disintegrated and donated their nickel in the regional metamorphic processes to form conductive sulphidic minerals with external sulphur from sedimentary sources. In the serpentinization process, magnetite was also formed, making the ultramafic rocks magnetic in their present form in their regional tectonic setting. Regional metamorphic and later granitic–alkaline magmatic fluid activity launched by accretionary or collisional tectonic events may have remobilized and enriched parts of the nickel- and cobalt-bearing sulphides to form secondary
multi-metallic hydrothermal nickel type sulphide deposits, in which elevated PGE may or may not also occasionally be met. Zones enriched in sometimes exotic, hydrothermal or metamorphosed secondary chromium minerals originating from altered or decomposed chromites formed in the highly sheared footwalls of the Upper Kalevian Outokumpu nappe complex, which was thrust onto the Archaean basement covered by Lower Kalevian metasedimentary rocks. The existing Outokumpu-type mineral deposit model could be slightly updated with a hydrothermal nickel–(±PGE) deposit concept, developed based on its essential characteristics as identified worldwide. Structural proxies to delineate the boundaries of the Outokumpu nappe complex units in exploration were introduced by taking into account the chromite-bearing rock units as a structural constraint. Exotic secondary chromium minerals originating from chromite, such as dravite or other Cr-bearing silicates, could perhaps be used as indicators of tectonic high strain zones related to Outokumpu-type ophiolite constraining structures. Remobilized hydrothermal nickel–(±PGE) mineralizations may provide a complementary pathfinder feature to the structural boundary controls of the Outokumpu-type ophiolitic units. In addition to this, a regional-scale Li-anomaly detected around Maarianvaara granitoid in the western part of the research area was tentatively hypothesised as being related to younger pegmatite granites.

Interpretation of the metal prospectivity of the Outokumpu region was replenished by using GIS fuzzy logic modelling to compile and further develop conceptual and GIS prospectivity analysis of the region based on the electric, magnetic, radiation and geochemical properties of the geological surface materials, such as bedrock and till. A GIS fuzzy logic approach and other GIS tools were used in geophysical and geochemical data processing and in integrating computational mineralogy and modelling-based geological inference data with more conventional exploration data. Computational interpretation was used to help improve the surficial geological and ore prospectivity interpretation for deep exploration purposes.

Computational GIS analysis tools integrating aerogeophysical and surface geochemical data can also be used as delineating aids in the orienting phase of deep exploration of hydrothermal multi-metallic nickel–(±PGE) potential in brownfields at the regional scale. Computational pseudo–lithological interpretation may serve as one additional source of distinguishing information for geological and structural mapping in regions where an abundance of a seemingly monotonous single rock type dominates the geological interpretation, like the Palaeoproterozoic schists and gneisses do in the Outokumpu Mineral District. Pseudo–lithological and prospectivity interpretation layers can be used as surface faces of 3D exploration cuboids for further 3D prospectivity modelling or integrative visualization of different earth model data elements in 3D.

According to the prospectivity analysis of this study and the available regional GIS data, interesting metal-bearing geochemical anomalies were detected related to Outokumpu-type geophysical conductive and magnetic, as well as U anomalies in compliance with the regional 3D geological and exploration interpretations modelled in this project. The pseudo–lithology approach may provide some aid in locating mafic-ultramafic rocks and interpreting lithological variation in abundant, monotonous-looking schist areas in the Outokumpu Mineral District.
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fluid transport associated with mineralization and de-
formation in the Outokumpu Cu–Zn–Co deposit, Fin-
The project Developing Mining Camp Exploration Concepts and Technologies - Brownfield Exploration (2013–2016), funded by the Green Mining Programme of Tekes, the Finnish Funding Agency for Innovation, and operated by the Geological Survey of Finland and University of Helsinki, developed scalable deep exploration concepts and technologies for Outokumpu-type mineral deposits in the Outokumpu Mining Camp area, Eastern Finland. In the nine articles of this publication, integrative digital earth modelling and common earth modelling techniques applied in the project are described, with regional to case study examples where sufficient geological, geophysical and geochemical survey data, suitable computational solutions, or modelling and visualization applications were available. An optimized selection of deep exploration methods for Outokumpu-type mineral deposits (OKUDEX concept) consisting of one-to-multidisciplinary interpretations, 3D modelling, prospective GIS techniques and integrative visualization in 3D environments will help present and future prospectors and miners to more efficiently further delineate the most interesting geological structures and units implying deep mineral potential in the Outokumpu Mining Camp area and the surrounding Outokumpu Mineral District.